

**SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 6 JUNE 2012

AT 4PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM PAPANUI SERVICE CENTRE
CNR LANGDONS ROAD AND RESTELL STREET**

Community Board: Chris Mene (Chairperson), Anna Button, Ngaire Button, Kathy Condon, Pauline Cotter, Chris English and Aaron Keown.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Croucher
Phone 941 5414 DDI
Email: peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 16 MAY 2012**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
3.1 A Marshall and A Van der Zee– Positive Youth Development Fund Report Back
3.2 Brian Boddy - Purchase Street Work
- PART B 4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**
- PART B 5. NOTICE OF MOTION**
- PART B 6. BRIEFINGS**
6.1 Ivan Thomson – Proposed Urban Development Upper Styx-Harewood
- PART A 7. 22 NORTHCOTE ROAD - PURCHASE OF LAND**
- PART C 8. FARQUHARS ROAD – PROPOSED NO STOPPING**
- PART C 9. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD’S POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – EILISH FRANCIS CUMMACK**
- PART C 10. SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES**
- PART C 11. LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOP – FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE 201**
- PART B 12. CORRESPONDENCE**

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

INDEX

- PART B 13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
13.1 Current Issues
13.3 Council Update for April 2012
13.2 Guiding Principles: Framework for Community Board Decision Making
- PART B 14. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART B 15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
- PART C 16. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES– 16 MAY 2012

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Wednesday 16 May 2012 are **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Wednesday 16 May 2012 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 ALEX MARSHALL AND ANNEKA VAN DER ZEE – POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND REPORT BACK

Alex Marshall (Willows Cricket Club Tour) and Anneka Van der Zee (National Secondary Volleyball Championships) will be in attendance to update members on their participation in their respective events.

3.2 BRIAN BODDY – PURCHASE STREET WORK

Brian Boddy (Downers) will be in attendance to brief members on the work to be carried out by SCIRT in Purchase Street.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. BRIEFINGS

3.1 IVAN THOMSON – PROPOSED URBAN DEVELOPMENT UPPER STYX-HAREWOOD

Ivan Thomson, District Planning Team Leader, will be in attendance to brief the Board on the proposed urban development of the Upper Styx-Harewood area (Greenfield Residential area CN3).

7. 22 NORTHCOTE ROAD - PURCHASE OF LAND



General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Stuart McLeod, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. This report is submitted to the Board for recommendation to Council to authorise the purchase of the property situated at 22 Northcote Road, Christchurch.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The property situated at 22 Northcote Road contains 766 metres squared being Lot 4 Deposited Plan 21439 and is contained in Computer Freehold Register CBA1/38, (refer **Attachment 1**).
3. It has a road widening designation over it, (refer **Attachment 2**). The road designation is 5.5 metres wide along the entire width of the property. The designation was put in place after the current registered proprietor purchased the property in 1961.
4. The designation attaches to the land and acts as a warning that at some future time the Council requires the land for a public work. The land owner can force the Council to acquire the land or remove the designation.
5. The registered proprietor marketed the property for a number of months in late 2011 but had no success whatsoever in attracting interest in the property. The main reason cited for lack of interest was the road widening designation.
6. As a consequence of the inability to sell the property, the vendor has approached the Council and asked if we would purchase on hardship grounds as provided for under the Public Works Act.
7. The Council has, over the years, acquired several properties in this area and legalised the front portion as road. Some were held for a number of years as part of the Council's social housing stock before on selling the balance property to mitigate costs.
8. It is proposed to tenant the property until such time as the front portion of this property is declared to be road. This could take approximately six to 12 months. The property will then be sold on the open market with a minimum price to be set by an independent valuer.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. See public excluded report.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes – see above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

11. Yes, legal implications associated with this property purchase have been considered and an agreement for sale and purchase, conditional on Council approval has been signed by the vendor.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Yes, the work provides for a safe and sustainable road network that enables access to roads and services network leisure activities. LTCCP Vol 1 page 80.

7. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, the four laning of Northcote Road is planned for 2014-15. LTCCP Volume 1 page 84.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. Yes, there is a roading strategy in place LTCCP volume 1 page 80, Planning Building and Maintaining the public road network.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Shirley/Papanui Community Board recommend to the Council that the Council adopts a resolution in the following form:

- (a) Purchase of the property located at 22 Northcote Road containing 766 metres squared being Lot 4 Deposited Plan 21439 contained in Computer Freehold Register CBA1/38 is approved.
- (b) That the balance of the property at 22 Northcote Road is declared surplus to requirements and that it be offered for sale by way of public tender, subject to compliance with Section 40 Public Works Act 1981 (if required), with a minimum tender amount to be set by an independent valuer.
- (c) The Corporate Support Unit Manager is granted delegated authority to conclude the purchase and subsequent sale of the balance property at 22 Northcote Road, as supported by valuation advice and in consideration of other factors including marketing and market dynamics, including if the minimum price is not achievable by tender then the property may be sold by private treaty.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

8. FARQUHARS ROAD – PROPOSED NO STOPPING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Penny Gray, Traffic Engineer – Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at anytime outside 1 Farquhars Road (refer **Attachment 1**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. A request was lodged with the Council to investigate the kerb side parking adjacent to the traffic island in Farquhars Road; at the point Farquhars Road travels under Main North Road. When a vehicle is parked adjacent to this traffic island it creates a pinch point between the island and the parked vehicle.
3. Farquhars Road is a collector road with a 50 kilometre per hour posted speed limit. At the western end, Farquhars Road is accessed by a side road off Main North Road. This side road provides access for residents and businesses. There have been a number of roading improvements in this area which includes the traffic islands to direct and slow traffic and a speed hump.
4. Staff investigating the request identified that the road width kerb to kerb (central traffic island) is approximately 4.5 metres, which is not wide enough to have a parked vehicle and a traffic lane.
5. Installing the proposed 21 metre length of No Stopping lines at this location will prevent vehicles from parking next to the traffic island and improve safety.
6. No consultation was undertaken for this proposal. This is a safety issue.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$200.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions.
11. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

8. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003 and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. No consultation was undertaken for the proposal as it is considered a safety issue.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north-west side of Farquhars Road commencing at a point 376 metres west and then south-west (following the kerb line) of its intersection with Willowview Drive and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 21 metres.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

9. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – EILISH FRANCIS CUMMACK

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports, DDI 941-7093
Author:	Helen Miles, Community Recreation Adviser, DDI 941-5409

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Shirley/Papanui Community Board's 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme.
2. There is currently \$4,299 in the 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The purpose of the Positive Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Shirley/Papanui ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
4. The Positive Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - **Personal Development and Growth**
For example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - **Representation at Events**
Applicants can apply for assistance if you have been selected to represent your school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
5. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - Age groups 12-21 years.
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application per person permitted per year.
 - Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
6. The applicant Eilish Francis Cummack is a 13 year old, who lives in Erica Street. Eilish currently attends Papanui High and is in year nine. Eilish is seeking funding support to attend the Queensland Junior Squash Championships from 29 June to 1 July 2012 as part of the Christchurch Football Squash Club development trip.
7. The Queensland Junior Squash Championships attract players from all over the province. Last year the tournament attracted 137 players ranging in age from 9 to 19 years. The Championships will be held at Palm Beach this year. The Championships will give Eilish the opportunity to compete in her first overseas tournament and pit her skills against a wider playing group. Eilish will play two games each day.
8. Eilish started playing squash for the Christchurch Football Squash Club when she was 9 years old. She became interested in playing due to her brother's involvement in the game. Eilish is currently ranked number one for Canterbury Under 15 and in New Zealand she is ranked in the top eight players. Eilish currently competes in women's grade; this is due to her skill level and the number of competitors in her age group. Eilish loves the skill, challenge and the competition of squash. Her goal for this tournament would be to win all their games. Eilish's future goal is to make the Canterbury Under 19 squash team.
9. Eilish has been busy fundraising for this trip and is currently selling raffle tickets. The Club has also planned a Disco and will be doing a 24 hour Squashathon at Queen's Birthday Weekend.

9. Cont'd

10. Eilish has two older siblings who both live at home. Eilish comes from a very supportive family. Any financial assistance from the Shirley/Papanui Community Board would be greatly appreciated. This is Eilish's first application to the Board.
11. Eilish in the future would like to be able to coach younger players and be a role model to encourage more girls into the sport.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

12. The following table provides a breakdown of the costs per person for this trip.

EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Travel Fee Costs	550
Accommodation	300
Registration Fee	50
Total Cost per person	\$900
Fundraising	(to be confirmed)
Amount still owing	\$900

13. The Board currently has a balance of \$4,299 remaining in the Positive Youth Development Scheme for allocation.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

14. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. This fund aligns with the 2009-19 LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. This fund aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board make a grant of \$200 from its 2011/12 Positive Youth Development Scheme to assist Eilish Francis Cummack to attend the Queensland Junior Squash Championships from 29 June to 1 July 2012.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

10. SMALL GRANTS FUND ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 8859
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo, Grants Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek a decision from the Shirley/Papanui Community Board regarding the appointment of Community Representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2012/13 funding round.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. On 10 December 2009, a report to the Council from the Grants Working Party on Community Funding Criteria Changes recommended that:

“Recommendation H: Small Grants Fund - Community Representation on Small Grants Funding Committees

No community representatives on Small Grants Fund Metropolitan decision making body.

Individual Community Boards to decide if they wish to retain community representatives.

Recommendation I: Small Grants Fund - Metropolitan Small Grants Funding Committee

Metropolitan Small Grants Subcommittee is comprised of a maximum of five Councillors, with full delegated authority.

As per the Recommendation H, the Committee would not include any Community Representatives.”

3. As a result of these recommendations, the Council resolved to:

“Disestablish the Metropolitan Small Grants Funding Subcommittee comprising both Councillors and community representatives from 31 May 2010”;

“Establish a Metropolitan Small Grants Fund Subcommittee comprising Councillors Johanson, Shearing, Wall, Button, Corbett and Buck (with the Deputy Mayor as ex officio) to take effect from 1 June 2010 to allocate the Small Grants Fund – Metropolitan, to eligible applicants whose projects are consistent with the Council’s Strengthening Communities Strategy and LTCCP.”

4. As per recommendation H (above) in the *Grants Working Party Criteria Changes Report*, Community Boards have the opportunity to decide if they wish to continue to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2010/11, funding rounds.
5. If the Community Board wish to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee, staff will begin the nomination process for representatives. After nominations have been received, staff will report back to the Community Board (Public Excluded Report) with details of nominees in order for the Board to decide upon their chosen representatives.
6. If the Community Board wish to appoint community representatives to the Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee, it is recommended that four to six community representatives be appointed for a one year term for the 2012/13 funding round.

10. Cont'd

7. It is further recommended that in considering the appointment of community members to this Committee, the Board should consider the make up of the local community. In the past, the following involvement areas/skills have been advertised when calling for community nominations and are seen as a guideline to assist in covering the various sectors within your local community:
 - Disabled, sport and recreation, arts and culture, welfare and social services, Maori, ethnic groups, environment and heritage.
 - Interest and involvement in community issues/groups.
 - Some experience in committee processes.
 - Knowledge of various communities of interest.
 - The ability to be articulate and assertive.
8. The Board, in 2011 resolved to appoint a Shirley/Papanui Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14 year and resolved that there be no appointment of community representatives to the Shirley/Papanui Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Community Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes. Under the 2002 Local Government Act, a Council, or Community Board, may appoint committees, subcommittees other subordinate decision making bodies and joint committees (clause 30, Schedule 7). Council's and Community Board's also have the power to appoint or discharge any member of a committee (clause 31(1)). Such committees, etc are "*subject in all things to the control of the local authority [or read community board], and must carry out all general and special directions of the local authority given in relation to the committee or other body or the affairs of the committee or other body*" (clause 30(3)).
11. The minimum number of members for a "committee" is three, with a quorum being two (One of whom must be an elected member), or the quorum can be a greater number, as determined by the Community Board. At least one member of a committee must be an elected member of the Community Board, but an employee of the local authority cannot be a member (if they are acting in the course of their employment). Clause 31 also provides:

"(3) The members of a committee or subcommittee may, but need not be, elected members of the local authority [community board], and a local authority or committee may appoint to a committee or subcommittee a person who is not a member of the local authority or committee if, in the opinion of the local authority, that person has the skills, attributes, or knowledge that will assist the work of the committee or subcommittee."
12. Clause 26(3) is also relevant, as it provides that the Council/Community Board may appoint a member of a committee to be the Chairperson of the committee, or if a Chairperson is not appointed then the power of appointment may be exercised by the committee. A Deputy Chairperson can also be appointed to act in the absence of a chairperson (clause 26(4)). This person will preside at any meeting if the Chairperson is absent from a meeting. However, if a Deputy Chairperson has not been appointed or if they are also absent then the members of the committee that are present must elect one of their number to preside at the meeting.

10. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board decide whether or not they wish to appoint community representatives to the Shirley/Papanui Board's Small Grants Fund Assessment Committee for the 2012/13 funding round.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOP – FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE 201

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Peter Croucher, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Shirley/Papanui Community Board’s approval for interested members to attend a Local Government New Zealand “Know How” Training Workshop – Financial Governance 201, to be held in Christchurch on 3 August 2012.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Following on from Financial Governance 101, this one-day Local Government New Zealand workshop aims to give elected members a deeper understanding of reporting requirements, treasury management and asset management, and explores how they impact on financial decisions.
3. The workshop aims to delve into the intricacies of local government finances in this detailed examination of financials and reporting. Armed with greater knowledge of the financial options available, it is expected that elected members can make even better financial decisions.
4. The focus in this workshop is on attendees own questions around financial governance. Issues will be discussed, with the workshop developing and tailoring solutions around relevant subjects, using case studies to examine key areas in more depth. 'Real life scenarios' will be used to debate and practice making decisions.
5. Topics include:
 - International financial reporting standards
 - Different available financial options
 - Financial decision making
 - Treasury Management
 - Reporting
6. The Council is hosting this workshop, which only entails providing a venue at Civic Offices. Elected members from other Councils will also be attending.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The cost of this Local Government workshop is \$650 plus GST per person. The Board's 2011/12 training and travel budgets currently have an unallocated budget of \$4,199.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, provision for elected member training is made in the LTCCP, specifically under the Elected Member Representation activity.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

9. Yes, there are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

10. Not applicable.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley/Papanui Community Board give consideration to approving the attendance by interested members at the Local Government New Zealand "Know How" Training Workshop – Financial Governance 201, to be held in Christchurch on 3 August 2012.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

12. CORRESPONDENCE

Items of correspondence have been received and separately circulated to members.

13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

13.1 CURRENT ISSUES

13.2 COUNCIL UPDATE – APRIL 2012

ATTACHED

13.3 GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR COMMUNITY BOARD DECISION MAKING

ATTACHED

14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The purpose of this exchange is to provide a short brief to other members on activities that have been attended or to provide information in general that is beneficial to all members.

15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

16. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

ATTACHED

**SHIRLEY/PAPANUI COMMUNITY BOARD
SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA**

WEDNESDAY 6 JUNE 2012

AT 4PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM PAPANUI SERVICE CENTRE
CNR LANGDONS ROAD AND RESTELL STREET**

Community Board: Chris Mene (Chairperson), Anna Button, Ngaire Button, Kathy Condon, Pauline Cotter, Chris English and Aaron Keown.

Community Board Adviser
Peter Croucher
Phone 941 5414 DDI
Email: peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

PART C 18. RESOLUTION TO BE PASSED - SUPPLEMENTARY REPORTS
PART C 19. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2012/13 REPORT

18. RESOLUTION TO BE PASSED - SUPPLEMENTARY REPORTS

Approval is sought to submit the following report to the meeting of the Shirley/Papanui Community Board on Wednesday 6 June 2012:

- Strengthening Communities Funding – Key Local Projects 2012 Report

The reason, in terms of section 46(vii) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, why the reports were not included on the main agenda is that they were not available at the time the agenda was prepared.

It is appropriate that the Community Board receive the reports at the current meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

That the reports be received and considered at the meeting of the Shirley/Papanui Community Board on Wednesday 6 June 2012.

19. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2012/13 REPORT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo, Grants Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Shirley/Papanui Community Board to consider whether they wish to recommend any Key Local Projects to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund for 2012/13.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In a public excluded workshop, held on 16 May 2012, the Shirley/Papanui Community Board considered the issue of Key Local Projects for 2012/13.
3. As part of the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme, each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
4. The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:
 - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
 - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
 - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;

AND

 - Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area;
 - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
5. In addition, staff recommendations for Key Local Projects are also based on whether the project meets the following criteria:
 - The organisation undertaking the project has a proven track record with the Council in providing a high quality level of service;
 - Significantly contributes towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.

19. Cont'd

6. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

Name of Group	Year/s	Name of Project	Amount
Shirley Community Trust	2008/09 – 010/2011	Community Worker and Community Centre - Year 3 of 3	22,880
St Albans Residents' Association	2008/09 – 010/2011	St Alban's Resident's Association - Year 3 of 3	40,000
Papanui Youth Development Trust	2008/2009 2010/2011	Papanui Youth Centre - Year 3 of 3	27,000
Shirley Community Trust	2011/2012	Shirley Enrichment and Empowerment	50,000
Belfast Community Network Inc	2011/2012	Leadership of Belfast Connectivity	30,000
Te Ora Hou	2011/2012	Youthworker Services Coordinator	30,000
Westminster Sports inc	2011/2012	Westminster sports delivery, services and development	10,000

7. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2011/12 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2012/13 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2012/13.
8. Staff recommend that the Community Board consider the following projects as KLPs in 2012/13. Attached (**Attachment 1**) is a decision matrix that provides information on the projects.

Name of Group	Name of Project	Total Project Cost	Amount Requested	Recommendation
Belfast Community Network Inc	Agency Manager	\$63,639	\$35,000	\$35,000
Shirley Community Trust	SHIRLEY Alive!	\$159,069	\$85,854	\$50,000
Te Ora Hou Otautahi	Youth and Whanau Services	\$99,750	\$40,000	\$40,000

Timeline and Process

9. KLPs that are approved by the Board will be put forward to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Funding Committee for consideration at its meeting on 13 July 2012.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. In 2012/13, the draft annual plan includes \$238,918 for the Shirley Papanui Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

19. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch Community Boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Shirley Papanui Community Board recommends:

- (a) The Belfast Community Network Inc project as a Key Local Project and recommend a grant of \$35,000 to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2012-13 Strengthening Communities Fund.
- (b) The Shirley Community Trust project as a Key Local Project and recommend a grant of \$50,000 to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2012-13 Strengthening Communities Fund.
- (c) The Te Ora Hou project as a Key Local Project and recommend a grant of \$40,000 to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2012-13 Strengthening Communities Fund.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

19. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

16. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures, which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), subsequently renamed Key Local Projects (KLPs).
17. Each Board may nominate (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
18. The agreed process to determine if a "local" funding application should be processed as a KLP is detailed as bullet point 16 in the report that was adopted by the Council on 4 October, 2007:

The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
- Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
- Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
- AND
- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
- Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

19. The process for considering KLPs is as follows:
 - i) Community Boards nominate and priorities their KLPs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
 - ii) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLPs.
 - iii) Successful KLPs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
 - iv) Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
20. Community Boards are advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding call on the Board for that project.
21. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the "funding constraints" criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the 4 October 2007 report which states that *"Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level"*.
22. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

Name of Group	Year/s	Name of Project	Amount
Shirley Community Trust	2008/09 – 010/2011	Community Worker and Community Centre - Year 3 of 3	\$22,880
St Albans Residents' Association	2008/09 – 010/2011	St Alban's Resident's Association - Year 3 of 3	\$40,000
Papanui Youth Development Trust	2008/2009 2010/2011	Papanui Youth Centre - Year 3 of 3	\$27,000
Shirley Community Trust	2011/2012	Shirley Enrichment and Empowerment	\$50,000
Belfast Community Network Inc	2011/2012	Leadership of Belfast Connectivity	\$30,000
Te Ora Hou	2011/2012	Youthworker Services Coordinator	\$30,000
Westminster Sports inc	2011/2012	Westminster sports delivery, services and development	\$10,000

19. Cont'd

23. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2011/12 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2012/13 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2012/13.
24. Staff recommend that the Community Board consider the following projects as KLPs in 2012/13. Attached (Attachment 1) is a decision matrix that provides information on the projects.

Name of Group	Name of Project	Total Project Cost	Amount Requested	Recommendation
Belfast Community Network Inc	Agency Manager	\$63,639	\$35,000	\$35,000
Shirley Community Trust	SHIRLEY Alive!	\$159,069	\$85,854	\$50,000
Te Ora Hou Otautahi	Youth and Whanau Services	\$99,750	\$40,000	\$40,000

25. Attached (**Attachment 2**) is a list of all applications to the Shirley Papanui Board Strengthening Communities Fund 2012/13