



## Submission Form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. What do you want the Council to consider? What specific action you think the Council should take? Why should this be done? Please refer to the specific pages of the draft document.

I see little point in extending the tram route at present as it serves no general purpose other than transporting tourists around key inner-city tourism sites. For this purpose the present tram route is sufficient.

If the extension of the tram service were to be the beginning of a general improvement in public transport with trams routed out to the suburbs serving the needs of the general public, then I would support such a move.

I cannot see that this current proposal would benefit the general Christchurch population sufficiently to warrant or justify the investment of \$550,000 plus in it.

I also oppose the proposal to take the tram through both Cashel and High streets for the following reasons:

(a) the tram will be a hazard for pedestrians, particularly taking into account the location of two schools in Cashel Mall. One, Unlimited Paerua Taupiri, will have its two buildings divided by the Cashel St route, with students and staff needing to be more vigilant when moving between buildings.

(b) the tram will bring an increase in noise with the regular rumble of passing trams. This will change the ambience of the mall.

(c) the passing of trams will interrupt such events ~~held~~ as the Buskers' Festival, that are held in Cashel Mall.

Finally I oppose the extension as a whole as it increases the potential hazards for cyclists using Cashel, High and Manchester streets. Specified cycle lanes would be needed on Manchester St and the eastern end of Cashel and High Streets to ensure safety.

These are my concerns with respect to the present proposal. Thank you..

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission.