

7791

Submission Form

PLEASE READ BEFORE COMPLETING YOUR SUBMISSION

The public consultation period is from Tuesday 10 March 2009 to Thursday 16 April 2009.

It will help us if you clearly:

- state the issue you want the Council to consider;
- state what specific action you think the Council should take, and
- state why that should be done.
- type or use black ink for your submission.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to the public and to Councillors, including the name and address of the submitter. In making submissions available to the public, the submissions will be posted electronically on the Council's website. Information will be available to the public subject to the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987. If you consider there to be compelling reasons why your contact details and/or submission should be kept confidential, you should contact the Council Support Team, telephone 941 8999.

You may send us your submission:

On the internet:

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's website at www.ccc.govt.nz/ltccp

By email:

ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

By mail:

(no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178
Draft LTCCP
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8140

No anonymous submissions will be accepted. Whether you use this form or not, you must provide your full name, address and telephone number. If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation please state this and your role within that organisation.

Submissions must be received (NOT postmarked) at the Tuam Street Civic Offices no later than 5pm on Thursday 16 April 2009. To ensure receipt, hand deliver last-minute submissions to the Civic Offices, 163-173 Tuam Street.

Your submission

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. If that is the case, please tick the appropriate box below. The hearings will be held from 11 May 2009 to 18 May 2009. Five to ten minutes will be allocated for speaking to your submission, including time for questions from the Councillors. The Council will confirm the date and time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Tick one I do NOT wish to discuss my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR I wish to discuss the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Monday 11 May 2009 and Monday 18 May 2009.

Are you completing this submission: For yourself On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent? 400

Contact Name STEVE BURGE

Organisation name (if applicable) NEW BRIGHTON RESIDENTS ASSOC.

Organisation role (if applicable) CHAIRPERSON

Contact Address 9 ADMIRALS WAY
NEW BRIGHTON CHCH Postcode _____

Phone No. (day) 02746601508 Phone No. (evening) _____

Email (if applicable) Wanderingkwi@xtra.co.nz

Signature [Signature] Date 15-4-09.

Submission Form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views.

What do you want the Council to consider? What specific action you think the Council should take?
Why should this be done?

+ Points that come to mind.

- No cutting of representation of Res-Ass + Com Boards etc.
- Not to use potential RMA changes to bulldoze over resident concerns re their living spaces etc
- Community facilities not be taken down eg pools - more reserves, + open, people friendly, spaces
- Rates freeze especially now property is worth so much near what it was at valuation time - even lower rates in some cases.
- CCC not to expect CHAI residents or cash cows for some of their more questionable development to residents detriment.
- Services to be attended to as per expected for level of rates paid now because of higher rates + lower property values. ie not paying any more for services we are provided at moment water - rubbish - sewerage. These services should have been incorporated in standard rateable values! : more transparency needed!
- Value for money especially in Management + boardroom - councillor areas - Management corporate salaries as oppose to worker on coal face salary
- City social issues - housing - raffety - homeless City Mission - Saffies maybe to be better funded or looked after - after all they are the city's moral obligation

SUBMISSION FORM CONTINUATION

- ① Policy on Determining Significance - Fully Opposed to proposed changes. The policy requirement for consultation as it currently exists at the very least provides an opportunity for the citizenship (whom CCC serve) to be more conscious of, better understood, and re-evaluated or not at next LTCCEP or CCC elections, the city's strategic decisions as interpreted by the incumbents in any Council term.
- ② Maori capacity to contribute to decision-making process - Support the development of Maori capacity to decision-making processes.
- ③ Development Contributions Policy Fully Oppose PDAs unless these are broadcast to all citizens, and only signed upon clear evidence of MAJORITY CITIZEN SUPPORT.
- ④ Water Supply: If charging for water supply is instigated, keep charge to a very low basic rate, with high rate for excess water use, and a CAP on how much excess water can be purchased. Hefty fines for breaches of this CAP. FULLY NOTIFIED Resource Consent application to apply for any exemption from the cap.
- ⑤ Car Parking: Opposed to increases in car parking fees for hospital carparking especially car parking buildings - CITIZEN to so many already stressed people. Allow free parking for stays of less than 1 hour (per day) at other CCC car parks - invaluable + Encourages dropping in to the City.

⑥ PUBLIC / PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS: OPPOSED to cement + proposed policy. INSUFFICIENT notification to the public (citizens) on any proposed P-P's. Criteria for advising citizens is way too vague eg. 'Considerable public interest' may well be inaccurately expected (or not) - something of very considerable interest/effect for one section of the citizenship, is equally valid in requiring their notification + response. Insufficient automatic notification of proposed P.P.'s if looking for a transparent + open democracy, need system of automatic notification eg in newspaper, online etc. eg Circumstances where Council will consider a partnership - too open to loose interpretation

⑦ EVENTS + FESTIVALS: Opposed to increased spending on events + festivals in the name of world class 'boutique city'. Need to refine goals to focus on creating wellbeing + better futures for our poorest + least citizens.

⑧ Heritage / Character protection - Sense of Place: There needs to be new + increased funding for European + Maori heritage protection in Chch. Reinststate a fund for this. Give greater strength to protection for all listed buildings, including categories 3 + 4 + our significant places + Landscapes. Prioritise the heritage reviews + include listings of places + Landscapes and character buildings. Actively discourage demolition as a property right where heritage is concerned. Develop incentives for the retention + reuse of socially or architecturally valued buildings.

⑨ Recreation + Leisure: Opposed to any closure of suburban pools eg Woolston Pool - the loss of Edgeware Pool, Sockburn pool. The 'leisure centre' experience is not the same for every person. Specifically opposed to the reuse of the Edgeware Pool site for any other than a replacement community pool. This needs a specific need + desire of the community. Validity of

Totally opposed rezoning/reuse of Edgeware Pool site for residential (or for a community centre/garden up the 'drive' and ALL the associated costs for this, which greatly OUTSTRIP the cost of having kept or replaced Edgeware Pool.

Totally oppose to the \$89 million expansion/upgrade of the Central library. Suburban library spending is supported as these are extremely valuable + well used community resources, however the Central library does not require to have 'Lecture Theatres' etc - there are many nearby for their use including Art Gallery, Town Hall + Convention Centre. Use some of this vast sum of proposed money to keep Woolston Pool open (+ improve if required) - to reinstate Edgeware Pool + to assist any other suburban pools requiring help to remain open or to become more open to communities.

(10) Regulatory Services: Opposed to increased fees in the Building Consent process - Once application fees are increased beyond current levels, already significant, this will drive possible dangerous small alterations + additions underground. Loss of incentive to retain - revamp - + restore character homes + buildings giving identity + history to the city.

(11) Transport: Opposed to any reduction in spending on public transport + cycleway development

(12) Stormwater + Waste: Wish to see new + increasing expenditure on stormwater + greywater reuse systems - for new + existing homes + buildings

(13) Water Supply: There should be a policy of NO RISK to the aquifers. Err HEAVILY on the side of CAUTION. A 'safe' water supply is not a sufficient goal. Maintain purity of Christchurch drinking water supply AT ALL COSTS!

④ Democracy + Governance: City governance + decision making - percentages achieved against the measures listed in the WTCCCP are too low - best than 50%. citizen confidence is a vote of no confidence even on the very broad interpretation of the measure itself.

Similarly re Public Participation - 61% poor 'interest groups' appear to be confused with affected groups - surely a valued group of citizenry. The intention is surely to attract interest + participation not decide that only interested people/groups are then at the same time to be considered with warning as an 'interest group'. There are surely many 'interest groups' - how does the Council define the one from another, or assign merit or otherwise Citizens? Investors? Private Partners?

In general, find the 'Measures' to be evaluated as 'How do we know these were successful?' are mostly very broad questions or statements which cannot provide any evidence based 'measure' of any meaning. 'Safe' measures/evaluations. The real and tough measuring questions are not asked/answered.
This could render the assessment of the WTCCP, measures + strategies quite useless - Propaganda.