



Project Lyttelton
P.O.Box 74
Lyttelton

April 13 2009

This is a submission on the LTCCP from Project Lyttelton Inc.

We would like to speak to this submission.

This is a submission prepared by the Board of Project Lyttelton. Our Board consists of 9 people. The submission has also been circulated around our wider network of 250 people.

This submission focuses on issues for the Lyttelton Harbour.

The LTCCP has improved in readability since the last submission period, however for communities to make well informed comments we still need more access to information. It is very hard to see what is funded in smaller communities except for a couple of large scale projects.

1. p 261 Our organization is very supportive of expenditure that will remove large trucks from Norwich Quay and enable community life to return to this main part of our township.
2. p 261 We also support expenditure to improve access to Magazine Bay 2013-2015. The roading in this part of Lyttelton is very poor and with tankers and trucks utilizing the road it needs to be made much safer.
3. Ferry facilities in Lyttelton need to be improved urgently. Better access and shelter are needed immediately. Is funding included for this? Pedestrian crossings on the ferry access route need urgent attention on Norwich and Oxford Streets. They are really dangerous, especially for children.
4. We wonder where expenditure is in the plan for the Head to Head Walkway that our community desires. Walking is an important activity for many people on the harbour and currently the settlements are not linked adequately other than by motor vehicle. The harbour has just held its first Festival of Walking and the prospect of a head to head walkway would really complement this initiative.
5. We would like to see funding committed to the Banks Peninsula Walking and Cycling Strategy. This isn't mentioned. There were many good projects listed. One very important one related to cycle safety for the inner harbour road. We urgently need warning signage. This road is such a major route for training cyclists.
6. Port Hills walking tracks and historic sites also need improved signage
7. We would like the council to consider having a certain number of Resource & Building consents made free of charge to community groups. When this community donates many hours of free work to establish projects it would make volunteers lives much simpler if this activity was looked after by council. A current project where this would be very useful is the installation of a piece of street art for London Street.

8. We also request that community arts funding for bigger projects is available outside the central CBD. Lyttelton has an important place in the history of Christchurch City Development, and is a 'Portal' to Christchurch, via the Port. The inclusion of funding facilities for public art works via Arts in Public Places in areas such as Lyttelton would enhance Arts & Culture in the greater Christchurch area.
9. We request that Strengthening Communities funding is at least increased at the rate of inflation. In hard economic times community funding becomes even more important and this community is striving hard to become more resilient.
10. We request that more economic development money flows into the smaller centres. BPDC used to have its own Economic Development Officer. We were able to access small funding from the officer. Now we have CDC. We can get lots of training but no access to funding pools. Project Lyttelton has many business ideas for our community but seed capital would help these become a reality. A current idea we have is to train people to make earth domes, a form of low cost housing. Workers could come from skilled teams of local tradesmen.
11. We request that funding be reinstated for the Banks Peninsula Tourism role. We understood that this role would be funded for at least a five year period. Budget cuts have dis established this role. Lyttelton area really needs the support of this person. Volunteers have revitalized the Visitor Centre and for the past year CCT has supported a visitor role with 1 day per week funding.
12. The Lyttelton community really enjoys the festivals that the community creates. Continued council support for these events is important to us. Please continue your existing support of Lyttelton's Winter and Summer Festivals and maintain events funding budget to existing levels. In harder economic times events are important to local communities.
13. Longer opening hours for the township swimming pool is a desired outcome. The season should extend into April.
14. A detailed settlement plan should be developed for the entire harbour to enable better decision making when large projects need to be undertaken. For example truck movements within the township, sighting of the ferry, etc.
15. The restoration of Grubb Cottage needs to be completed sooner rather than later. Is the necessary funding available?

' Lyttelton's Grubb Cottage, at 62 London Street, was bought by the Council in 2006 to ensure its protection. Initially, the Council intended to on-sell the cottage to a trust but at today's meeting it decided it would retain ownership and commit \$250,000 over the next two years to fund the necessary conservation and stabilisation work.' *CCC media release, 4-June-2008.*

A contact person for this submission is Wendy Everingham. I am the Treasurer/Secretary for Project Lyttelton Inc.