

# CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

## Draft LTCCP 2009-19

**- Received via Web**

Submissions close on 16 April 2009

**I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered**

**Are you completing this submission:**

For yourself

**If you are representing, how many people do you represent?**

**Name:**

Joseph Lill

**Organisation Name:**

**Organisation Role:**

**Contact Address:**

5A Tara St  
Riccarton

**Postcode:**

8041

**Daytime Phone:**

3667001 extn 8816

**Evening Phone:**

**Email:**

jbl48@student.canterbury.ac.nz

**Email Address for copy of submission:**

**Date:**

31 March 2009

**Your Submission:**

Throughout the discussion on transport and traffic alleviation rail never features once. At the least a report should be commissioned to investigate the potential design and use of rail within christchurch. When you are spending a considerable amount on upgrading the Bus Exchange but completely (it appears) ignoring the possibility for it to be integrated with a rail connection in the future it seems irresponsible and shortsighted. Building more roads etc has been shown to only increase the number of cars on them so the aim of alleviating congestion through building more roads appears misguided.

Specific action that should be taken is a report on the potential to form an 'integrated transport system' (in th council's words) that uses more than buses. Also the ability of the new exchange to be compatible with future rail services should be investigated. Finally strategic areas of land and corridors need of be maintained once identified to allow a rail system to be developed at a future time.

Also when it comes to the specific goal of 325 cubic metres of water per property being down from 355 cubic metres I think that some more specifics are required. Is this residential properties only? What tools will be used to achieve this? Also information on high use areas or low use areas may be useful to allow people to evaluate the potential impact of this on them personally. One would assume that areas with greater concentration of pools etc would be at a greater risk of interference than the population generally.

Cheers  
Joe