14. FACILITIES REBUILD PROJECT - BISHOPDALE COMMUNITY PRESCHOOL UPDATE

General Manager responsible:	ponsible: General Manager Community Services, DDI: 941-8607	
Officer responsible:	Corporate Support Unit Manager	
Author:	Project Manager (Darren Moses)	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to update the Council on the status of the Bishopdale Community Preschool Building.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the Council meeting of 17 May 2012, in relation to a delegation from the Bishopdale Community preschool, the Council resolved:

That staff report back to the Council on 7 June 2012 on the timeline for making the Bishopdale Preschool building operational.

- 3. The Quantitative Detailed Engineering Evaluation (DEE) assessment for the building was received in final form, dated 22 March 2012 a copy of this report was given to representatives of the Preschool at that time.
- 4. A decision to close the building was also made at that time, as the seismic capacity of the building was assessed as 13 per cent New Building Standard (NBS).
- 5. In order to expedite a cost estimate for repair and strengthening, the Council commissioned a Structural Strengthening Report from our Engineer and at the same time, commissioned a Quantity Surveyor (QS) to work in parallel, and prepare an estimate based on the strengthening options as they were being developed.
- 6. This early work has been completed to allow for an assessment of options.
- 7. The QS estimate has now been received for scope of works that include:
 - earthquake repair
 - seismic strengthening of the existing building
 - work to achieve compliance with current regulations and standards.

Collectively this is estimated at \$297,270 excluding GST. This estimate excludes the cost for required consents.

- 8. We currently do not have an agreed Statement of Position from the Loss Adjusters on behalf of our insurer.
- 9. The building is insured with replacement cover for a cost of \$405,306.
- 10. If a decision was made to proceed with the repair/strengthen/upgrade as described above a likely timeframe could be as follows:

•	Engineer to complete Structural Strengthening Report	2 weeks
•	Design	4 to 6 weeks
•	Tender and Consents (in parallel)	Allow 4 weeks for Consents
•	Establishment	1 to 2 weeks
•	Construction Work	10 to 12 weeks
•	Total Estimated Duration	24 to 30 weeks

14 Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. Unknown given Statement of Position not available.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. No. The outputs of the Facilities Rebuild Plan will inform future LTP and Annual Plan processes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. Not applicable.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. No. The outputs of the Facilities Rebuild Plan will inform future LTP and Annual Plan processes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. Yes, the report provides information on facilities to assist with plans for the rebuild of Christchurch.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Council:

- (a) Receive the information in this report.
- (b) Direct staff to continue to work with the insurer and the Preschool on options to re-open the building.