



**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
HELD AT 9.30AM ON THURSDAY 24 NOVEMBER 2011**

PRESENT: The Mayor, Bob Parker (Chairperson).
Councillors Helen Broughton, Sally Buck, Ngaire Button, Tim Carter, Jimmy Chen,
Barry Corbett, Jamie Gough, Aaron Keown, Glenn Livingstone, Yani Johanson,
Claudia Reid and Sue Wells.

The Mayor acknowledged that this was the first Council meeting to be held in the Council Chamber since 22 February 2011.

The Mayor also announced that the Council has been awarded the international overall co-creation awards for innovation for the Share an Idea Project for consultation on the Central City Plan. It was noted that other award winners were Nike, Heineken and Phillips.

1. APOLOGIES

An apology for lateness was received from Councillor Keown, who arrived at 1.15pm.

It was **resolved** on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Button, that the apologies be accepted.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

COUNCIL MEETING OF 27 OCTOBER 2011

It was **resolved** on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Carter, that the open minutes of the Council meeting held on Thursday 27 October 2011 be confirmed subject to an amendment to item 23.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Bob Todd, Chairperson, Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, addressed the Council regarding item 22, Linwood Village Master Plan.

Karlene Rogers, Ellington Residents Association, addressed the Council regarding item 24.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

Nil.

22. LINWOOD VILLAGE CENTRE MASTER PLAN

This item was taken at this stage of the meeting.

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Johanson, seconded by Councillor Carter, that the Council:

- (a) Endorse the content of the draft Linwood Village Master Plan (Attachment 1) and approve it for public consultation.
- (b) Receive a consultation report in 2012, on submissions on the draft Linwood Village Master Plan and consider in conjunction with the Community Board whether to conduct hearings prior to adopting the final version of the Linwood Village Master Plan.
- (c) Include comment from the Community Board within the introduction to the draft Plan, and acknowledge the staff involved in preparation of the plan.

22 Cont'd

- (d) Ensure that the consultation and communication on the draft plan include engagement with local ethnic communities.

21. SELWYN STREET SHOPPING AREA - SUBURBAN CENTRE MASTER PLANS

This item was taken at this stage of the meeting.

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Wells, seconded by Councillor Carter, that the Council:

- (a) Endorse the content of the draft Selwyn Street Shopping Area Master Plan (Attachment 1), noting that the Board request that staff review the cycleway provision in the southern part of Selwyn Street with a view to ensuring that the cycleway continues for the length of the street, and approve it for public consultation.
- (b) Receive a consultation report in 2012, on submissions on the draft Selwyn Street Shopping Area Master Plan and in conjunction with the Community Board consider whether to conduct hearings prior to adopting the final version of the Selwyn Street Shopping Area Master Plan.
- (c) Include comment from the Community Board within the introduction to the draft Plan, and acknowledge the staff involved in preparation of the plan.

5. ELECTION OF ONE MEMBER OF THE BURWOOD/PEGASUS WARD

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Wells, seconded by Councillor Button, that:

- (a) The returned voting documents for the election of one Councillor for the Burwood/Pegasus Ward be processed during the voting period in accordance with section 79 of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the Local Electoral Regulations 2001 and the Society of Local Government Managers' Code of Good Practice for the Management of Local Authority Elections and Polls.
- (b) The names of the candidates for the election be arranged in random order.

6. REVIEW OF DELEGATIONS TO COMMUNITY BOARDS

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Button, seconded by Councillor Gough, that the Council:

- (a) Receive this report.
- (b) Determine that once the Central City Plan has been finalised, the Council considers whether or not the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board may exercise delegated responsibilities, functions and powers in the Central City Area and if so the wording of those delegations.
- (c) Make a number of changes to the current set of delegations which changes include:
 - (i) extending the current prohibition on subdelegation of various delegated responsibilities, functions and powers that currently applies to the two Banks Peninsula Community Boards to all Community Boards:
 - (ii) reordering the wording of the financial delegations so the discretionary funding allocation is clear in the way it applies to the two Banks Peninsula Community Boards:
 - (iii) inserting the words "per annum" in the financial delegations:
 - (iv) including the road stopping delegations that were made by the Council to Community Boards on 9 April 2009 in this part of the Delegations Register and deleting the delegation in the current paragraph 4(a) under the heading "Roads" which is no longer relevant:

6 Cont'd

- (v) updating the names of the various bodies under the heading "Miscellaneous":
- (vi) providing that the current paragraph 7 under the heading "Parks" refers to policy set by the Council" as opposed to "policy authorised by the relevant community board"; and
- (d) Agree therefore, in substitution for the delegations made to the Community Boards on 9 June 2011 and pursuant to clause 32(1) of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002, delegates to the Community Boards the delegations set out in Attachment E.

7. DELEGATIONS

Councillor Button moved, seconded by Councillor Reid, that the Council:

- (a) *Revoke the financial delegation resolutions set out in Appendix 1;*
- (b) *Delegate to the Chief Executive the financial delegations set out in Appendix 3.*

Councillor Broughton moved by way of amendment:

That the Council retain the current financial delegations.

The amendment was seconded by Councillor Chen and when put to the meeting was declared **tied**, six votes apiece, the voting being as follows:

For (6): Councillors Livingstone, Carter, Chen, Gough, Johanson and Livingstone.

Against (6): Councillors Buck, Button, Corbett, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

The original motion was then put to the meeting and was declared **tied** six votes apiece, the voting being as follows:

For (6): Councillors Buck, Button, Corbett, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Against (6): Councillors Livingstone, Carter, Chen, Gough, Johanson and Livingstone.

8. DELEGATIONS INFORMATION REPORT

It was **resolved** on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Button, that the Council receive the information in this report.

Councillor Livingstone asked that his vote against the motion be recorded.

9. ARANUI LIBRARY PROJECT

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Wells, seconded by Councillor Carter that the Council approve an increase in total project budget from \$3,774,241 to \$4,571,629 (an increase of \$797,388) through increased borrowings.

10. CHRISTCHURCH EARTHQUAKE MAYORAL RELIEF FUND: APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Button, that the Council approve the following grant allocations from the Christchurch Earthquake Mayoral Relief Fund:

- (a) \$95,000 conditional upon the Heathcote Cricket Club providing the following to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services:
 - (i) How the Heathcote Cricket Club will provide services to the wider community.
 - (ii) How the Heathcote Cricket Club development will be financially sustainable.

10 Cont'd

(iii) That the Heathcote Cricket Club has raised all funding and acquired all permissions for the development to go ahead as envisaged in the funding application and accompanying documents.

(b) \$1,130 to the Council of Social Services in Christchurch for expenses contributing to hiring of a container for storage of furniture.

11. **METROPOLITAN DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND 2011-12 APPLICATION – NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN CHRISTCHURCH BRANCH**

Cr Buck took no part in this item.

Councillor Broughton moved:

That the Council makes a grant of \$20,000 to the National Council of Women Christchurch Branch as a contribution towards the transcription costs for their Women's Voices: Recording women's experiences of the Canterbury Earthquakes 2010-11 project.

The motion was seconded by Councillor Livingstone and on being put to the meeting was declared **lost** on electronic vote number 10, by 3 votes to 8, the voting being as follows:

For (3): Councillors Broughton, Chen and Livingstone

Against (8): Councillors Button, Carter, Corbett, Gough, Johanson, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Cr Corbett moved:

That the council makes a grant of \$15,000 to the National Council of Women Christchurch Branch as a contribution towards the transcription costs for their women's voices: recording women's experiences of the Canterbury Earthquakes 2010-11 project.

The motion was seconded by Councillor Johanson and when put to the meeting was declared **carried** on electronic vote no. 11 by 9 votes to 2, the voting being as follows:

For (9): Councillors Broughton, Carter, Chen, Corbett, Gough, Johanson, Livingstone, Reid, and the Mayor.

Against (2): Councillors Button and Wells.

12. **240A HALSWELL ROAD - SALE OF PART**

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Gough, seconded by Councillor Chen, that the Council:

(a) Approve the sale of part of 240a Halswell Road, as shown on the plan attached as Attachment 1, to Enable Networks.

(b) Delegate authority to the Corporate Support Unit Manager to manage the disposal process.

Councillor Broughton was not present for this vote.

The Council adjourned for lunch at 12.40pm and resumed at 1.15pm.

18. REVIEW OF TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION STANDARDS AND USE OF COMMISSIONERS TO MAKE DECISIONS ON SITE SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS

Councillor Buck moved:

1. *That the Council authorise the following amendments to the standards for permitted temporary accommodation and depots and storage facilities:*
 - (a) *Remove the provision for retailing and offices in Living Zones.*
 - (b) *Add a standard limiting the number of relocated activities in Living Zones to not more than one per existing title.*
 - (c) *Remove the reference to FTE staff in Living zones.*
 - (d) *Amend the exemption for pre-schools increasing child numbers so that it does not apply to extensions of existing buildings or new buildings.*
2. *Review the standards relating to business and commercial activities (excluding depots and storage facilities) at the end of March 2012.*
3. *That the time frame for community board input for site specific applications is extended from 24 to 72 hours.*
4. *The time frame for processing site specific applications for temporary activities which do not comply with the standards be extended to five days.*
5. *That the use of commissioners to make decisions on site specific applications continue and be reviewed again in six months.*
6. *Request a report for December 8 on Reducing vehicle movements permitted for temporary office activities.*

The motion was seconded by Councillor Broughton.

Councillor Wells moved by amendment *that the words "and offices" be deleted from clause 1 (a).*

The amendment was seconded by Councillor Carter and when put to the meeting was declared **carried** on electronic vote 15 by 10 votes to 3, the voting being as follows:

For (10): Councillors Button, Carter, Chen, Corbett, Gough, Keown, Livingstone, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Against (3): Councillors Broughton, Buck and Johanson

The motion amended as above was then put as the substantive motion and clause 5 was put to the Council separately.

Clauses 1 to 4 and 6 were declared **carried**.

Clause 5 was declared **carried**. Councillors Johanson and Livingstone asked that their vote against clause 5 be recorded.

19. COUNCIL SUBMISSION ON REVIEW OF LOCAL AUTHORITIES (MEMBERS' INTERESTS) ACT 1968

It was **resolved** on the motion of Councillor Wells, seconded by Councillor Reid, that the Council:

- (a) Receive this report; and
- (b) Approve the draft submission as amended (to be signed by the Mayor and the Chief Executive) for lodging with the Department of Internal Affairs.

20. SCHEDULING ADDITIONAL MEETING 15 DECEMBER 2011

It was **resolved** on the motion of Councillor Wells, seconded by Councillor Buck, that the Council hold an additional Council meeting on Thursday 15 December 2011 to adopt the Draft Central City Plan.

23. ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAMME

It was **resolved** on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Buck, that the Council endorse the programme of work (Attachment 2 in the agenda) developed by the Christchurch Agency for Energy (CAfE) to reduce the amount of energy consumed and increase the proportion of renewable energy used in Christchurch.

24. COUNCIL POSITION ON THE ROADS OF NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE

It was **resolved** unanimously on the motion of Councillor Buck, seconded by Councillor Wells, that:

1. ***In general the Council expresses its support and endorsement of the Government's Roads of National Significance Programme (RONS), and its goal to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of transport routes to and from the city, and to support key regional infrastructure. However, we do have serious significant concerns about the proposed work on the Western Corridor as outlined.***
2. ***Council further notes that it remains committed to the goals and directions of the Land Transport Management Act (LTMA), and the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy, to create a long term sustainable and multi modal transport system, and looks forward to working with its partners to achieve this end.***

SOUTHERN CORRIDOR

- (a) The location and type of works for the Western and Southern RoNS in support of the proposed additional infrastructure required to support the southwest area developments primarily focuses on active transport to reduce the reliance on travel by private vehicles. Further downstream modelling is required due to post earthquake changes in the South west Christchurch area.
- (b) New Zealand Transport Agency be requested to review the intersection arrangements at the Shands Road/Marshs Road on-ramp/off-ramp intersection and the southern on-ramp/off-ramp intersection (both currently programmed to be controlled by traffic lights), to ensure traffic safety is not compromised.
- (c) New Zealand Transport Agency be requested to provide separated cycle facilities both on the Marshs Road overbridge and along a parallel pathway with the section of the CSM2 from Springs Road to Marshs Road and from the CSM2 pathway along the rail corridor south to Marshs Road.
- (d) New Zealand Transport Agency be requested to consider allowing future public transport access at the Springs Road 'Freight Only' access.
- (e) New Zealand Transport Agency is requested to confirm the future status of SH73A Main South Road and Yaldhurst Road to allow Christchurch City Council to plan the future of these links and protect them for public transport corridors.

NORTHERN CORRIDOR

- (f) The connections to the SH1 Waimakariri Bridge is reviewed to ensure that lane additions create a safe and efficient river crossing and interchange.
- (g) New Zealand Transport Agency investigate and implement Park and Ride site at Tram Road to offer transport choice and reduce the volumes of trips over SH1 Waimakariri Bridge.
- (h) Christchurch City Council and Waimakariri District Council investigate public transport and cycling priority measures for the Old Waimakariri Bridge.

24 Cont'd

- (i) That the parallel cycleway, and adjoining links, to the Northern Arterial be implemented by New Zealand Transport Agency as part of the corridors transport provision.
- (j) The additional lanes proposed for the Cranford Street upgrade be capable of being used as High Occupancy Vehicle lanes if future congestion on Cranford Street shows these as desirable solutions.
- (k) That a 'second link' to the Northern Corridor be further reviewed in light of accelerated land uses in the area. Details of the parallel links, particularly Hills Road should include public transport priority measures and cycle facilities and be reported back to the Council within six months.
- (l) Christchurch City Council continue to work with New Zealand Transport Agency on a strategic traffic model for the northern area and to propose suitable multi-modal infrastructure improvements to support growth in the area and reduce downstream effects in northern suburbs.
- (m) New Zealand Transport Agency are requested to confirm the future status of Main North Road SH1 and SH74 to allow the Council to plan the future of this link and protect them for public transport corridors.

WESTERN CORRIDOR

- (n) ***There are a number of issues with the long term objectives of the Western Corridor, in particular the application of national motorway design principles to an existing road corridor that has significant traffic volumes/movements in a semi urban environment.***

For example, closing the access to Avonhead Road, marginalizing the access to the Wairakei Road Business Park, impacting on the adjoining roading network (e.g. Sawyers Arms Road, Roydvale Avenue) and displacing great volumes of traffic into a residential community already struggling with post earthquake business demand defies logic.

There is significant and consistent community opposition to the proposals which the council shares. This is a view also shared by the Council's holdings company, the local community board, and repeated attempts to resolve this issue have so far been unsuccessful.

Continuing with this proposal severs the link between the airport and the city which is thoroughly undesirable. The Council's position is a strong preference for the western bypass behind the airport rather than the Russley Road/Memorial Avenue over bridge and related works.

- (o) Urgently investigate further the Western Airport Bypass as this could reduce the need for grade-separation at Russley Road/Memorial Avenue and enable existing connectivity to continue. The design of the Johns Road/Sawyers Arms Road would be subject to further investigation and future demands.
- (p) As a minimum, provide for the increased transport demand generated by proposed developments and encourage active transport by providing cycle connections across Russley Road and Johns Road at Memorial, Wairakei and Harewood and consider alternate designs of the cycle facilities along the corridor e.g. shared pedestrian/cycle paths to increase the safety for cyclists.
- (q) Request New Zealand Transport Agency to review the proposed Memorial Overbridge to ensure that any bridge not compromise current levels of access to Wairakei Road.
- (r) Request that New Zealand Transport Agency continue to work in partnership with Christchurch City Council and Christchurch International Airport Ltd on any final bridge design, and not act unilaterally to amend the design or to "value engineer" the outcome.

24 Cont'd

LYTTELTON PORT ACCESS

- (s) The Christchurch City Council continue to progress alternative port access roads with the New Zealand Transport Agency and Lyttelton Port of Christchurch that:
 - (i) removes heavy port bound traffic from Norwich Quay and
 - (ii) ensures a suitable back-up for the road tunnel route.
- 4. That the Council invites the incoming Minister of Transport and the New Zealand Transport Agency Board to meet with the Council to discuss the Council's significant concerns about the western corridor of the Roads of National Significance Programme.

25. URBAN DESIGN PANEL REVIEW

Councillor Wells moved, that the Council:

- (a) *Approve the continuation of the Urban Design Panel until at least the end of June 2012.*
- (b) *Approve an expansion of the available pool of panellists from 18 to 30.*
- (c) *Approve an increase to the panellists' remuneration from \$150/hr to \$180/hr.*

The motion was seconded by Councillor Button and when put to the meeting was declared **carried** on vote number 16, the voting being as follows:

For (9): Councillors Buck, Button, Chen, Corbett, Gough, Keown, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Against (4): Councillors Broughton, Carter, Johanson and Livingstone.

26. CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL – CANTERBURY DISTRICT HEALTH BOARD LAND EXCHANGE

Councillor Keown moved:

That the Council authorise Council staff to meet and discuss with the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority a proposal that the land exchange agreed to by the Council and the Canterbury District Health Board as part of the development of Christchurch Hospital be effected by an Order in Council made under the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act 2011.

The motion was seconded by Councillor Wells.

Councillor Johanson moved by way of amendment:

- (b) *That an Order in Council if agreed to by the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority come back to the Council for approval.*
- (c) *That the Council request that the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority consider a public submission process be followed.*

The amendments were seconded by Councillor Chen and when put to the meeting were declared **carried** on vote number 17, the voting being as follows

For (9): Councillors Broughton, Carter, Chen, Gough, Johanson, Livingstone, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Against (4): Councillors Buck, Button, Corbett and Keown.

The amendments were then put as part of the substantive motion and when put to the meeting were declared **carried**.

27. NOTICES OF MOTION

The notice of motion in the agenda was withdrawn.

14. & 28.

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

The Mayor moved that the resolutions to exclude the public set out on page 119 of the agenda, page 349 of the agenda continued and page 2 of the second agenda continued be adopted.

The motion was seconded by Councillor Button.

Councillor Johanson moved that clause 16 be considered in the public meeting.

The amendment was seconded by Councillor Livingstone and when put to the meeting was declared **lost** on vote 18 by 4 votes to 9, the voting being as follows:

For (4): Councillors Chen, Johanson, Keown and Livingstone.

Against (9): Councillors Broughton, Buck, Button, Carter, Corbett, Gough, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Councillor Johanson moved that clause 31 be considered in the public meeting.

The amendment was seconded by Councillor Livingstone and when put to the meeting was declared **lost** on vote 19 by 6 votes to 7, the voting being as follows:

For (6): Councillors Carter, Chen, Gough, Johanson, Keown and Livingstone.

Against (7): Councillors Broughton, Buck, Button, Corbett, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

The original motion was then put to the meeting and declared **carried** on vote 20 by 9 votes to 4, the voting being as follows:

For (9): Councillors Broughton, Buck, Button, Carter, Corbett, Gough, Reid, Wells and the Mayor.

Against (4): Councillors Chen, Johanson, Keown and Livingstone.

It was **resolved** on the motion of the Mayor, seconded by Councillor Button, that the public be readmitted at 6.50 pm.

32. CONCLUSION

The meeting concluded at 6.50 pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2011

MAYOR