6. S35 REPORT – EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF THE CURRENT CITY PLAN AND BANKS PENINSULA DISTRICT PLAN

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning Group, DDI 941-8281
Officer responsible:	Programme Manager District Planning
Author:	Brigitte de Ronde, Programme Manager District Planning

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To present to the Committee an overview of the contents of the Section 35 report (under section 35 (2) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991) on the efficiency and effectiveness of the two current District Plans: the Christchurch City Council City Plan and the Banks Peninsula District Plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. In February 2010 the consultant firm Response Planning was awarded a contract to complete a report on the efficiency and effectiveness of the Christchurch City Plan (know as a section 35(2)(b) report under the Resource Management Act 1999) by the end of November 2010. Then in April 2010 the extent of the S35 report was widened to include four major aspects of the Banks Peninsula District Plan also. The s35 report was an LTCCP level of service required to be undertaken in 2010.
- 3. The s35 report has been completed (and has been separately circulated). An Executive Summary of the Report is attached. Mssrs Jeff Page and Sean Elvines, and Ms Jane Whyte, the authors of the s35 report will be giving a full presentation on the contents of the report and the recommendations given in the report.
- 4. The purpose of the report s35 report is to understand what areas of the current District Plans are working well and which are not in achieving the objectives of each Plan. This information will inform the issues to be addressed as part of the District Plan Review which is to commence 1 July this year. The report contains recommendations from the authors (each of whom are experienced policy planners) as to what needs to happen in order to achieve the objectives of each chapter and also what further monitoring would be useful into the future in order to achieve more satisfactory information regarding efficiency of District Plan rules.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. None.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Yes the delivery of this report was a requirement under the LTCCP budget.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. None.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. There are none.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. The expectation and delivery of this report aligns with the LTCCP and the Activity Management Plan.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Yes in that the recommendations of the s35 report will inform the issues to be resolved through the District Plan Review project.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Consultation included workshops with Council staff from planning policy and consents, and drainage engineers.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the committee receive this report.

(Reports as seperately circulated)
Section 35 Report for the City Plan
Workbook 1 for the City Plan
Section 35 Report for the Banks Peninsula District Plan
Workbook 1 for the District Plan