

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE**

**Held in the Conference Room No 1, Mancan House,
253 Cambridge Terrace, Christchurch
on Monday 28 June 2010 at 1.00pm**

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson),
Mayor Bob Parker, Councillors Chrissie Williams,
Lindsay Philps, Malcolm Lyall, Dan Gordon, David Ayers
and Commissioner Tom Lambie.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Mayor Kelvin Coe, Mayor Ron Keating, Commissioner
Rex Williams, Councillors Helen Broughton and Sue
Wells. Apologies for lateness was received and accepted
from Councillor David Ayers.

	ACTION
<p>1. It was resolved to revoke the Resolution to Exclude the Public in relation to item 9 of the Agenda, so that the Public Transport Corridors Study form part of the open Agenda.</p>	
<p>2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES</p> <p>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting 19 April 2010, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed, subject to:</p> <p>(i) Mayor Ron Keating's name being recorded as present.</p>	
<p>3. MATTERS ARISING</p> <p>It was noted that the two reports referred to in item 3 of the minutes of the meeting 19 April 2010, on economic and community matters, were not circulated to members. Staff apologised for this oversight.</p>	
<p>4. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT</p> <p>The report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager provided a bi-monthly update on UDS implementation matters.</p> <p>In speaking to the report, James Caygill pointed to:</p> <p>4.1 The <i>Malory</i> decision being an interesting decision in relation to Councils' rights to decline a Private Plan Change based upon a merits</p>	

	ACTION
<p>assessment prior to notification, and the importance of having a structured plan in place.</p> <p>4.2 The Chair of the Committee noted the engagement of Royden Somerville QC who has been retained by ECan to lead the case for the respondent in respect of RPS PC1 appeals before the Environment Court.</p> <p>It was resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the monthly report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager be received.2. That the next meeting of the UDSIC be on Monday 16 August 2010, commencing at 1.30pm at a venue to be advised. <p>The Independent Chair proposed an informal function after the next meeting to acknowledge the achievements of the Committee over the past three years.</p>	
<p>5. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATE</p>	
<p>The Implementation Manager put before the Committee a near complete version of the updated UDS Action Plan for discussion.</p> <p>Several minor edits were noted to the document including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ The timing should be both expressed as a date and a period of time;▪ The second action referred to on page 119 of the Agenda should involve the Ministry of Education;▪ Acronyms need to be incorporated into a glossary, or to be written in full;▪ Index page does not line up;▪ Correction to the term ‘Lincoln University Diary Block’;▪ The table set out on page 84 of the Agenda needs to be updated; and▪ The Committee would like to see something on the enhancement of town centres and clarity in relation to what is trying to be achieved in the SDC/WDC paragraph on page 141 of the Agenda.	Warren Brixton
<p>It was resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the report on the UDSIC Action Plan Update be received.2. That the UDSIC note that the final updated UDS Action Plan will be brought to the UDSIC in August for sign-off and recommendation back to the partners.3. That a working party be established from each of the UDS partners to meet in July 2010, in order to discuss and finalise the Action Plan prior	James Caygill/ Warren Brixton

to the August 2010 UDSIC meeting. The working party is to consist of Councillor Chrissie Williams, Commissioner Tom Lambie, Steve Higgs (NZTA) and Councillor Dan Gordon.

6. GREATER CHRISTCHURCH METRO STRATEGY REVIEW

Mr Robert Woods provided a power-point presentation on the Greater Christchurch Metro Strategy Review pointing to:

- The history of Christchurch Metro Strategy;
- Growth of Christchurch patronage;
- Keys to success;
- Review process;
- Review results;
- Draft vision;
- Draft goals;
- Draft patronage targets;
- Draft Metro Strategy targets;
- The process from here.

Mr Robert Woods proceeded to table a spreadsheet document proposing subtle wording changes to the draft vision and draft patronage target for greater clarity and to reflect the annual plan intentions.

6.1 It was agreed that item 17 be amended to read:

“2011: Undertake a survey of public transport users on current and future transport interchange facilities before the new Transport Interchange is in operation.

By 2016: Undertake a survey of public transport users on transport interchange facilities within two years of the new Transport Interchange commencing operation.”

6.2 It was also suggested that item 33 be amended to stipulate the examples of transport options, including but not limited to: *“Bus Rapid Transit, Light Rail and Heavy Rail”*.

6.3 It was also suggested that item 33 be amended to include *“and corridors”*.

It was **resolved**

1. That the UDSIC approve the draft vision, goals and targets of the new Greater Christchurch Metro Strategy 2010-2016 (including amendments to items 17 and 33 set out above) and recommend that all UDS Councils adopt these in July 2010.

James Caygill

	ACTION
<p>2. That the UDSIC ask NZTA to support or endorse the Greater Christchurch Metro Strategy 2010-2016.</p>	
<p>7. MARKETING – TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT</p>	
<p>The Implementation Manager presented a power-point presentation of the new creative identity being ‘A to B’ for travel information, including:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ The role of marketing;▪ The current issues;▪ The proposed scheme, including its capabilities and features;▪ Next steps.	
<p>It was resolved that the UDS Implementation Committee</p>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Endorse the new creative identify for travel information being ‘A to B’.2. That it is recommended to the UDS partner organisations that they apply the creative identity (A to B) consistently and comprehensively when providing information relating to transport choices for Greater Christchurch.	
<p>8. PUBLIC TRANSPORT CORRIDORS STUDY</p>	
<p>Mr Robert Woods provided a power-point presentation on Greater Christchurch North and South Public Transport Corridors Study focussing on:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ The background (the 2008 Strategic Transport Study and 2008 Public Transport Futures Study);▪ PT Corridors study details;▪ Stage 1 method;▪ Stage 1 report summary;▪ Stage 1 recommendation▪ Staged development approach;▪ Stage 2 method;▪ Stage 2 results;▪ Stage 2 report summary▪ Stage 2 basic rail;▪ Stage 2a rail addition;▪ Stage 2a results;▪ Overall PT Corridors Summary;▪ What’s next? and▪ Recommendations.	
<p>The Committee members remarked on the importance of long term planning and not letting the short term plans hinder these long term goals. It was also noted that it would be useful to look at compensating networking benefits,</p>	

what savings can be achieved, how the use of PT corridors can drive intensification, and the need to prepare work on the external inputs.

It was **resolved** that the UDSIC:

1. Receive the report; and
2. Undertake a process to establish any collective desired long term vision for these corridors, and that Robert Woods, as Chair of the Canterbury Regional Transport Group, and James Caygill, as Implementation Manager, provide the UDSIC at it's first meeting in the new triennium with a report to establish what the process might look like; and
3. Work with the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee to ensure any desired long term vision is appropriately expressed in the Canterbury Regional Land Transport Strategy 2011-41,
4. Ensure the subsequent Canterbury Regional Passenger Transport Plan 2011-21 appropriately gives effect to achieving the desired long term vision for these corridors.

9. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The Chairman in closing the meeting referred to the significant work carried out, and how pleasing it was to see progress and the initiatives being undertaken.

The meeting concluded at 3.05pm.

ACTION

James Caygill/
Robert Woods