

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD
28 SEPTEMBER 2010

Minutes of a meeting of the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board
held on Tuesday 28 September 2010 at 5.05pm in the Hall,
Hohepa Canterbury, 23 Barrington Street, Christchurch.

PRESENT: Phil Clearwater, (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Chris Mene, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett and Sue Wells.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for lateness was received and accepted from Chris Mene and Oscar Alpers who arrived at 5.07pm and 5.08pm respectively and were absent for clause 9.

An apology for early departure was received and accepted from Barry Corbett who departed at 6.43pm and was absent for clauses 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18. Sue Wells left the room at 6.43pm returning at 6.54pm.

The Board adjourned the meeting at 6.35pm and recommenced at 6.40pm

The Board reports that:

PART A – MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION



1. NOTICE OF MOTION

The following Notice of Motion was submitted by Oscar Alpers and seconded by Karolin Potter.

The Board received and **resolved** to accept the Notice of Motion.

Sue Wells and Barry Corbett declared a conflict with their role as Councillors and took no part in the discussions of the Notice of Motion.

“That the Spreydon Heathcote Community Board urge the Council to improve the amount and quality of information provided in Land Information Memoranda (LIM).

Explanatory Note

Most City residents have obtained a LIM prior to purchasing their homes since Section 44 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act (**refer attachment**) came into force on 1 December 1992.

The Christchurch City Council’s practice has always been to provide only the minimum level of information required by the Act to be contained in a LIM, presumably for financial reasons or fears of exposure to claims. The Council now charges \$215 for a LIM and an additional \$25 for a CD containing Building Act and Resource Management Act information which has to be obtained separately.

This minimalist approach is particularly relevant where information regarding the risk of liquefaction is concerned. Although the liquefaction potential in Christchurch has been known to vary from high to very low, the standard statement in a LIM for all residential properties in recent times has been under the heading:

“Other Related Property Information”

- **Property located in XYZ Community Board Area.**
- **Property located in XYZ Ward.**
- **Property or part of property located within urban area.**

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

1 Cont'd

- **ECan Liquefaction Assessment**

ECan holds indicative information on liquefaction hazard in the Christchurch area. Information on liquefaction can be found on the ECan website at www.ecan.govt.nz/liq or by calling ECan Customer services on Ph 03 353 9007. The Christchurch City Council may require site-specific investigations before granting future subdivision or building consent for the property, depending on the liquefaction potential of the area that the property is in.

- **ECan Natural Resources Regional Plan**

There may be policies or rules within Environment Canterbury's Natural resources Regional Plan that regulate land use on this site. Queries regarding the impact of the Natural resources Regional Plan on the property should be made to ECan Customer services on Ph 03 353 9007

Following the link to the ECan website leads to a single page of information including four lines on liquefaction risk in Christchurch City which I have underlined on the attached pages, which tells you that the City Council has the detailed information, (not ECan!) and now provides four relevant FAQs including a recently added information sheet regarding liquefaction risk potential.

I think most City homeowners affected by liquefaction would say that the LIM they got from the Council gave them no idea of the hazard they faced.

Other Councils have provided much more information with their LIMs, including planning maps and other information that is in the District Plan, copies of building and resource consent applications and plans, information regarding planned Council work in the vicinity and even non-Council information such as school zones etc. Waimakariri District have provided with LIMs for properties in Kaiapoi maps showing the level of risk of liquefaction, as well as the other information mentioned, for \$170".

PART B – REPORTS FOR BOARD INFORMATION

2. DEPUTATIONS

- 2.1 Madeline and Malcolm Hawksbury-Browne, representing Huxster, the Canterbury Secondary School Mountain Bike Club, presented ideas to the Board regarding their desire to design and build an off-road 'pump' bicycle track in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward. Huxster believe the space of land to the south east of the South Library, currently used locally as an informal BMX track would be a suitable location and enhance the proposed improvements of the general area.

The Chairperson thanked Mr and Mrs Hawksbury-Browne for their presentation and indicated that the information would be taken into consideration when discussing the report.

Refer to (Part C) Clause 11 of these minutes for the Board decision.

- 2.2 John Whitford, a horticultural tutor with Christchurch Polytechnic Institute of Technology (CPIT), discussed with the Board his vision for a community Fruit Tree garden at 124 Garlands Road, following the removal of the Scout Den earlier this year.

The Chairperson thanked Mr Whitford for his time and vision.

The Board **resolved**:

- (a) To request a staff report on the feasibility of establishing a community garden to grow fruit trees and berries on the land located at 124 Garlands Road, and that the report include the following information:
- Details of ownership of the land, zoning, status of land and a check of internal Council stakeholder uses.
 - Advice as to whether or not there are any competing interests for use of the land.
 - An investigation into whether the land may be needed for future housing requirements.
 - An indication of the level of assistance the Council could provide in setting up such a community garden.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

2 Cont'd

- (b) To request the staff to investigate other former scout den property options within the Spreydon/Heathcote ward.

2.3 Amanda Douglas, a resident of Studholme Street spoke in relation to the proposed tree replacement plan in Studholme Street. Mrs Douglas had specific concerns regarding the style and placement of bollards proposed in the berm renewal.

The Chairperson thanked Mrs Douglas for her deputation.

Refer to (Part C) Clause 10 of these minutes for the Board decision.

3. PETITIONS

Nil.

4. CORRESPONDENCE

Letters were received from:

- Mrs Dudson, regarding Neighbourhood Week Funding
- Orion New Zealand Limited, regarding an earthquake recovery update
- Saddle Hill Community Board, Dunedin, regarding support following the Christchurch earthquake.

The Board **resolved** to receive the correspondence.

5. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

6. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

The Board **received** an update from the Community Board Adviser on forthcoming Board related activities and a summary of the public meeting held on 16 September 2010, regarding 'rebuilding the community'.

7. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The Board **decided**:

- That the Board place on record its sincere thanks and congratulations to all the Council staff for their work in the emergency management of the city and the ongoing work in rebuilding the City.
- That the agenda of the first Board meeting of the new term have an item designed to discuss allocation of additional meetings to discuss post-earthquake matters in relation to the Spreydon/Heathcote ward. Furthermore the Board indicates that it wishes to be involved within the ward on post-earthquake issues in relation to Urban Design matters including heritage and community interests, specifically in reference to the Selwyn Street shops and Colombo Street shopping areas of Sydenham and Beckenham.
- The Board acknowledged the contribution of retiring Board member, Oscar Alpers, and Chris Mene who is relocating to another ward area. The Chairperson wished both well for their future endeavours.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

8. MEMBERS QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

PART C – REPORT ON DELEGATED DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE BOARD

9. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT

The Board **resolved** that the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the Board held on 3 September 2010 be confirmed.

10. STUDHOLME STREET (BARRINGTON STREET TO SOMERFIELD STREET) TREE REPLACEMENT

The Board considered a report seeking approval for the Studholme Street (Barrington to Somerfield) Trees replacement to proceed, as shown in Plan TP322201 Issue 2 (August 2010).

The Board **resolved** that the staff recommendation of a proposed replacement of the existing street trees and associated work in Studholme Street (Barrington Street to Somerfield Street), as shown on Plan TP322201, Issue 2, be supported subject to confirmation that this project is fully funded from the outset to cover all associated work. Furthermore the Board noted that the replanting of trees is proposed for November. If this threatens the viability of the trees, replanting should be deferred to a more appropriate planting time.

11. NEW PLAYGROUND DEVELOPMENT AND NAMING HUNTER TERRACE AND SLOAN TERRACE ROADWAY ENHANCEMENTS

The Board considered a report seeking approval for the final landscape plan for the new reserve playground, Hunter Terrace Roadway Enhancements, and Sloan Terrace Roadway Enhancements. The report also sought Board recommendation to the Council for the preferred name of the new reserve at 54 Colombo Street.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION

The Board **resolved**:

- (a) That the report lay on the table for the incoming 2010/2013 Board to further consider all aspects of the playground development plan, including the Hunter Terrace and Sloan Terrace roadway enhancement plan and the naming of the new reserve at 54 Colombo Street.
- (b) That staff be requested to provide an addition to the report containing a list of all the trees planned for removal, including their specific location and replacement details.
- (c) That discussions take place through a working party with regard to the development of a 'pump track' (refer to Clause 2.1).

Barry Corbett requested that his vote be recorded against the Board recommendation.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

12. RISINGHOLME PARK - TREE REMOVAL REQUEST

The Board considered a report regarding a resident's request for the removal of a western red cedar tree (*Thuja plicata*) from Risingholme Park.

The Board **resolved** to decline the request to remove the tree and continue to maintain the tree to internationally recognised and accepted arboricultural practices, standards and procedures.

The Board **resolved** further to request the staff to prepare a report detailing a planting and management plan for Risingholme Park to be referred to the 2010/13 Board for consideration.

13. DYERS PASS / HACKTHORNE ROAD INTERSECTION (LOWER INTERSECTION) PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES AND BUS STOP UPGRADE

The Board considered a report seeking approval to install P120 and P3 Parking Restrictions in the vicinity of the Dyers Pass Road/Hackthorne Road Intersection and that adjustments are made to the existing bus stops to upgrade these to the new standards.

(Note that there are two intersections of Dyers Pass Road and Hackthorne Road. The staff report and all its proposed resolutions relate to the lower intersection and its immediate vicinity only).

The Board **resolved**:

Revoke the following parking restrictions:

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 25 metres be revoked.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 15 metres be revoked.
- (c) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 52 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 28 metres be revoked.
- (d) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 19 metres be revoked.
- (e) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 60 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 95 metres be revoked.
- (f) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of eight metres be revoked.
- (g) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing at a point 44 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 21 metres be revoked.
- (h) That the bus stop currently located on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 28 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 15 metres be revoked.
- (i) That the bus stop currently located on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 26 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 15 metres be revoked.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

13 Cont'd

- (j) That the bus stop currently located on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 27 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 12 metres be revoked.
- (k) That the unmarked bus stop currently located on the west side of Dyers Pass Road 17 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road be revoked.
- (l) That the loading zone restricted to a maximum period of 5 minutes (8am to 9am and 2.30pm to 3.30pm), school days, currently located on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 80 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for at distance of 58 metres be revoked.

Approve the following No Stopping Restrictions:

- (m) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 30 metres.
- (n) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 15 metres.
- (o) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 30 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of eight metres.
- (p) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 52 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 28 metres.
- (q) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing at its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 19 metres.
- (r) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 33 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of eight metres.
- (s) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing at a point 60 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 39 metres.
- (t) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of eight metres.
- (u) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 44 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 21 metres.
- (v) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 99 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of eight metres.
- (w) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 121 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of four metres.
- (x) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Dyers Pass Road commencing its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 17 metres.

Spredon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

13 Cont'd

- (y) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 31 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of eight metres.

Approve the following Bus Stops:

- (z) That a Bus Stop be installed on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 38 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 14 metres.
- (aa) That a Bus Stop be installed on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 19 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 14 metres.
- (ab) That a Bus Stop be installed on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 107 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 14 metres.
- (ac) That a Bus Stop be installed on the west side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point 17 metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 14 metres.

Approve the following Parking Restrictions:

- (ad) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 15 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 15 metres.
- (ae) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 3 minutes (8.15am to 9.15am and 2.30pm to 3.30pm) school days, on the north side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 80 metres east of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 74 metres.
- (af) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes on the east side of Dyers Pass Road commencing a point eight metres south of its intersection with Hackthorne Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 29 metres.
- (ag) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes on the south side of Hackthorne Road commencing a point 30 metres west of its intersection with Dyers Pass Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 15 metres.

14. CASHMERE VIEW STREET TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

The Board considered a report advising the Board of the results of an investigation into the traffic management along Cashmere View Street in response to a petition received from residents of the street and to recommend to the Board that the installation of further traffic calming devices within Cashmere View Street are not considered necessary.

The Board **resolved**:

- (a) To receive the information.
- (b) That no additional traffic calming measures be undertaken in Cashmere View Street at this time.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

15. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – JESSICA WATSON, YVETTE PUGH, VERONICA PUGH

The Board considered a report presenting three applications for funding assistance from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme Fund.

The Board **resolved**:

- (a) To decline the application from Jessica Watson for \$200 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to assist her to attend the 19th NZ Scout Jamboree to be held at Mystery Creek, Hamilton from 29 December 2010 to 6 January 2011.
- (b) To allocate Yvette Pugh \$200 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to assist her to compete in the 2010 New Zealand DanceSport Championships (Class A) in Auckland from 23-24 October 2010.
- (c) To decline the application from Veronica Pugh for \$499.75 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to attend the 2010 New Zealand DanceSport Championships (Class A) in Auckland from 23-24 October 2010. This is because Veronica is not competing.

16. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – EMILIA GRIBBIN

The Board considered a report presenting an application for funding assistance from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme Fund.

The Board **resolved** allocate Emilia Gribbin \$350 from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to assist with costs associated with attending the Brisbane Football Academy with Mainland Football from 23 September to 2 October 2010.

17. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/ HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - LANDSDOWNE TERRACE PLAYCENTRE

The Board considered a report presenting an application for funding from it's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from Landsdowne Terrace Playcentre. This project is to replace a wooden playground that is 15 years old and does not comply with current playground safety regulations. Contribution is sought towards the playground fort, engineer fees, demolition costs and temporary fencing.

STAFF NOTE

The staff recommendation was amended during the meeting from \$2,500 to \$1,500 for funding from the Board's Discretionary Response Fund.

The Board **resolved** to decline the application for funding from it's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from Landsdowne Terrace Playcentre to contribute towards the playground fort, engineer fees, demolition costs and temporary fencing as it considered this would be more appropriately funded from other sources.

18. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD WEEK FUNDING

The Board considered a report presenting applications for Neighbourhood Week funding and to set in place a process should any late applications need to be considered.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- (a) Consider the applications as set out in the circulated matrix and allocate Neighbourhood Week funds accordingly.

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

18 Cont'd

- (b) Assign delegated authority to the Board Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson to consider any additional applications and allocate funding, should any funds remain.
- (c) Allocate an additional \$1,000 from the Board's Discretionary Response Fund, if required, to assist individuals and groups run events.

The Board **resolved:**

- (a) To assign delegated authority to appropriate staff to consider any additional applications and allocate funding, should any funds remain.
- (b) Allocate an additional \$1,000 from the Board's Discretionary Response Fund, if required, to assist individuals and groups run events.
- (c) That staff request applicants provide photographs of the neighbourhood events, where possible, for Board records.
- (d) That \$400 be allocated from the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund for the purpose of a neighbourhood week type activity to be held in Rydal Street, in the foreseeable future.
- (e) That \$150 be allocated from the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Inc for the purpose of a neighbourhood week activity.
- (f) That a letter from Neighbourhood Support Group be sent to all groups that requested Neighbourhood Week Funding.
- (g) That staff send a letter to Mrs Dudson in response to her correspondence.
- (h) That the Board **approved** the following Neighbourhood Week funding applications and allocated funds:

	Name	Organisation	Amount Board Approved
1	Meg Christie		\$100
2	David Christie	Merlewood Avenue Neighbourhood Support Group	\$60
3	Heather Larson	Avoca Valley Road Neighbourhood Support coordinator	\$100
4	Catherine Dale		\$50
5	Kejern Poad		\$80
6	Richard O'Brien	Neighbourhood Support	\$50
7	Adair Bruorton		\$100
8	Celine Kearney		\$100
9	Virginia (Jenny) Goodman		\$30
10	Amy Hewgill	Neighbourhood Support	\$60
11	Karen Loveday	Herbs Place Ratepayers Association	\$70
12	Linda Bougen	Neighbourhood Support	\$200
13	Rosemary Anne O'Regan	Whareora House	\$60
14	Bev Wenmoth		\$80
15	Annabelle Wear		\$100

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

19 Cont'd

16	Michelle Fletcher	Roberta Drive Neighbourhood Support Group	\$160
17	Amanda Clarke	Neighbourhood Support	\$50
18	Sandy Watt		DECLINE
19	Kate Maoate		\$20
20	Hugh Joughin		\$60
21	Isabella Kilkelly	Waltham Community Cottage	\$140
22	Riki Teeuwen	Corson Ave Neighbourhood Support Group	\$80
23	Thelma Giraud	Maurice Carter Courts Residents	\$70
24	Ruth Coughley		\$80
25	Thomas Gilmore		\$110
26	Leanne Drayton		\$80
27	David Drayton		\$100
28	Lisa Williams		\$50
29	Deirdre Richardson		\$150
30	Karen Whitla		\$120
31	Cindy Frew		\$90
32	Alison Harrington		\$40
33	Kathryn Viney		\$40
34	Lisa Winchester		\$50
35	Geoff Wallis	Kidsfirst Kindergarten Selwyn Street	\$150
36	Anita Hayes	St Martins School	\$50
37	Dale and Jane Coulter		\$60
38	Robyn Peterson	Opawa Baptist Church	\$150
39	Anthony Watt	Addington Coffee Co-op	\$140
40	Nicola Hart		\$80
41	Dennis Sloan		\$40
42	Jacqui Benter-Lynch		\$80
43	Gill White	Cherry's on Maryhill Avenue (Cherry's Early Learning Centre)	DECLINE
44	Gill White	Cherry's on Riverlaw Terrace (Cherry's Early Learning Centre)	DECLINE
45	Jane Sutherland-Norton	Cashmere View Neighbourhood Support	\$70
46	Kathryn Brown		\$100
47	Darren Whittington		\$90
48	Jenni Marceau	Eastern Terrace Neighbourhood Support Group	\$90
49	Graeme Cook	Addington Bush Society	\$100
50	Wendy Dudson	Neighbourhood Support Group convener	\$100
51	Julie Stewart		\$60
52	Jacqui Spencer		\$60
53	Marina Manning		\$100
54	Rosemary Nolan		\$160
55	Margaret Bigsby		\$90

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board 28.9.2010

19 Cont'd

56	Rowley Resource Centre	Rowley Community Centre	\$200
57	Maryke Fordyce	Risingholme Community Centre	DECLINE
58	Christine Burrows		\$60
59	Peter Tuffley	Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Inc	WITHDRAWN
60	Francisca Downing		\$60
61	Opawa Tennis Club	Opawa Tennis Club	DECLINE
62	Ken James		\$60
63	Keith Mills		\$110
64	Sarah Stewart		\$100
Total			\$5,090

The meeting concluded at 8.13pm

CONFIRMED THIS 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2010

**SIGNED BY THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD AND THE
COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 3.18.2**

**PHIL CLEARWATER
CHAIRPERSON**

**JENNY HUGHEY
COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER TO
SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD**

44A Land information memorandum

(1) A person may apply to a territorial authority for the issue, within 10 working days, of a land information memorandum in relation to matters affecting any land in the district of the authority.

(2) The matters which shall be included in that memorandum are—

(a) Information identifying each (if any) special feature or characteristic of the land concerned, including but not limited to potential erosion, avulsion, falling debris, subsidence, slippage, alluvion, or inundation, or likely presence of hazardous contaminants, being a feature or characteristic that—

(i) Is known to the territorial authority; but

(ii) Is not apparent from the district scheme under the Town and Country Planning Act 1977 or a district plan under the Resource Management Act 1991:

(b) Information on private and public stormwater and sewerage drains as shown in the territorial authority's records:

(c) Information relating to any rates owing in relation to the land:

(d) Information concerning any consent, certificate, notice, order, or requisition affecting the land or any building on the land previously issued by the territorial authority (whether under the Building Act 1991, the Building Act 2004, or any other Act):

(e) Information concerning any certificate issued by a building certifier pursuant to the Building Act 1991 or the Building Act 2004:

(ea) information notified to the territorial authority under section 124 of the Weathertight Homes Resolution Services Act 2006:

(f) Information relating to the use to which that land may be put and conditions attached to that use:

(g) Information which, in terms of any other Act, has been notified to the territorial authority by any statutory organisation having the power to classify land or buildings for any purpose:

(h) Any information which has been notified to the territorial authority by any network utility operator pursuant to the Building Act 1991 or the Building Act 2004.

(3) In addition to the information provided for under subsection (2) of this section, a territorial authority may provide in the memorandum such other information concerning the land as the authority considers, at its discretion, to be relevant.

(4) An application for a land information memorandum shall be in writing and shall be accompanied by any charge fixed by the territorial authority in relation thereto.

(5) In the absence of proof to the contrary, a land information memorandum shall be sufficient evidence of the correctness, as at the date of its issue, of any information included in it pursuant to subsection (2) of this section.

(6) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Act, there shall be no grounds for the territorial authority to withhold information specified in terms of subsection (2) of this section or to refuse to provide a land information memorandum where this has been requested.

ECan's website

The link provided in the LIM to ECan's website still provides the following information:

Earthquakes and hazards

Canterbury is susceptible to significant adverse effects from earthquakes and tsunamis. While it is not possible to reduce the incidence of these events, steps can be taken to reduce the vulnerability of the community to their impacts. Lessening the impacts of earthquakes and tsunamis will save lives, reduce damage and disruption, and enable a faster recovery.

Introduction

Canterbury is susceptible to significant adverse effects from earthquakes and tsunamis. While it is not possible to reduce the incidence of these events, steps can be taken to reduce the vulnerability of the community to their impacts. Lessening the impacts of earthquakes and tsunamis will save lives, reduce damage and disruption, and enable a faster recovery.

Earthquake source information

Environment Canterbury has a database of known active faults and fold structures in Canterbury and nearby. Earthquakes are generated on faults, fold and other structures. The faults are mapped at a scale of 1:250,000 for the whole region and at 1:50,000 for part of the northern area of the region. Information on fault type, length, average displacement, slip rates, last rupture and recurrence interval is available for most of the faults.

Fault location information is largely restricted to the hilly and mountainous parts of the region. Some offshore fault information north of Christchurch is also available.

Instrumentally recorded seismicity beneath the Canterbury Plains is indicative of active earth deformation. However, there are very few known ground surface expressions of active faults or fold structures on the Canterbury Plains. For this reason, there is very limited information on earthquake sources beneath the Canterbury Plains.

Historic earthquake hazard information

Information on historic earthquakes impacting on Christchurch, Hurunui District and Timaru District is available.

Earthquake effects information

General

Earthquake scenarios and general likely damage information for Kaikoura, Arthur's Pass, Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Christchurch, Ashburton, Temuka and Timaru is available. Peak ground acceleration, spectral acceleration and Modified Mercalli Intensity for 50, 150, 475 and 1000 year return times are also available for these urban areas. This information is available as contour maps (hard copy) for the whole region.

Tsunami

Tsunami inundation information is available for Timaru and Hurunui Districts, and Christchurch City.

Liquefaction

General information on the potential for liquefaction in the Waimakariri District (the area between the coast and State Highway 1) is available.

Liquefaction information for Christchurch (1:35,000 scale) is also available. More detailed work on the liquefaction susceptibility in Christchurch is currently being carried out. Christchurch City Council has detailed information on the potential effects of liquefaction in some parts of Christchurch.

Regional scale liquefaction information is also available for Hurunui and Timaru Districts.

Ground shaking

Regional scale information on the variation in ground shaking hazard in Timaru and Hurunui Districts, and within Christchurch City is available. No information on the modification of ground shaking from topographic shielding or focusing is available. These generally localised effects can be very pronounced.

Limitations and constraints of the information

- * Earthquake and tsunami hazard information available is regional in scope and cannot be substituted for a site specific investigation. Local variation in ground response from earthquakes is likely to occur. The site specific potential for and consequent damage from earthquakes and tsunami should be assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced practitioner.
- * Hazard information provided is based on the best information available at the time of the studies and was supplied to Environment Canterbury under specific contract arrangements including financial and time constraints.
- * The hazard information may be liable to change or review if new information is made available.
- * Earthquake hazard and tsunami inundation zone boundaries are approximate and indicative only.
- * The liquefaction and ground shaking hazard classifications are indicative only, and do not imply any level of damage to particular structures or services.

Trolling through the FAQ's on the page can give you this information:

What is liquefaction?

Liquefaction (pronounced "lick-we-fack-shin") happens during earthquakes. The ground shaking that occurs during an earthquake can cause some soils to liquefy. This means during an earthquake these soils will behave more like a liquid than a solid.

Sometimes a Land Information Memorandum (LIM) issued by a territorial authority mentions that a property is part of the Liquefaction Risk Study area and that the property may potentially be susceptible to liquefaction problems if there is an earthquake.

Environment Canterbury has maps of Christchurch City showing which parts of the City are potentially more susceptible to liquefaction than others.

What does the liquefaction hazard rating for my property mean?

There are four classes of liquefaction potential for Christchurch: high, moderate, low and no or unknown liquefaction potential.

- * Areas of Christchurch rated as high liquefaction potential have soil types that are the most prone to liquefaction.
- * Areas rated as low liquefaction potential have soil types that are least prone to liquefaction.
- * Areas of moderate liquefaction potential have soil types between the high and low classes.
- * Areas rated as having no or unknown liquefaction potential generally do not have soils prone to liquefaction, or there is currently not enough soil information to determine liquefaction potential.

Additionally there are five classes of liquefaction ground damage potential for Christchurch: very high, high, moderate, low and no liquefaction ground damage potential. These give a more detailed indication of the damage to the ground that can occur during an earthquake.

The liquefaction potential and liquefaction ground damage potential ratings are only an indication of the liquefaction potential in your area based on available soil and water table information. The information is not specific to your individual property.