CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Held in Conference Room No 1, Mancan House, 253 Cambridge Terrace, Christchurch on Monday 14 December 2009 at 1pm.

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson), Sir Kerry Burke,

Mayor Kelvin Coe, Mayor Ron Keating, Councillors David Ayers, Dan Gordon,

Malcolm Lyall, Alec Neill (from 1.20pm), Lindsay Philps,

Eugene Sage (from 2.05pm)and Sue Wells.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from

Mayor Bob Parker and Councillor Chrissie Williams. An apology for lateness was received and accepted from

Councillor Eugenie Sage.

1. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES

ACTION

It was **resolved** that the minutes of the meeting 19 October 2009, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed, subject to:

- (i) Correction to the spelling of Councillor Lindsay Philps name.
- (ii) Selwyn District Council Structure Plans; reading Proposed Prebbleton Structure Plans.

Warren Brixton

2. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

The report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager provided a bi-monthly update on UDS implementation matters.

In speaking to the report, James Caygill pointed to the changes having been made to the Risk Profile.

It was **resolved**:

1. That the monthly report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager be received.

James Caygill

2. That the next meeting of the UDSIC be on Monday 15 February 2010, commencing at 1.30pm at Environment Canterbury.

Warren Brixton

•

ACTION

It was noted that a calendar of meeting dates is to be circulated, bearing in mind that when Regional Transport meetings are held, the UDSIC meetings should be aligned with these and be held at Environment Canterbury.

3. SUB- REGIONAL QUARRIES PRESENTATION AND REPORT

The subject was introduced by Laurie McCallum who outlined the concerns of how much shingle was available for extraction from the area's rivers and shingle zone. This had been highlighted in the Road Metals case.

As a result a study had been embarked on led by Keri Davis-Miller of Christchurch City Council, to ascertain where it might come from in the future and the constraints that might apply

There followed a PowerPoint presentation by Richard English covering:

- How much?
- What are the sources of material?
- How much are we going to use in the future?
- To 2026.
- Most likely scenario.
- To 2041.
- Future demand summary
- How much will be used in the UDS area?
- What resources do we presently have available?
- What is the life of these resources?
- How much is required to fill the gap?
- What's out there Plains geology 101?
- Where are the best areas?
- What might impact on our ability to access?

Laurie McCallum concluded the presentation commenting on:

- Where the process is going.
- Liaising with quarry operators.
- Getting information out and obtaining feedback.

In the discussion that followed, members commented on:

• The fact that some of the gravel is located over the Aquifer Recharge zone.

It was **resolved** that the report and presentation be received.

Laurie McCallum

.

4. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATE

The UDS Action Plan Update was tabled and James Caygill commented to the Committee that he had carried out both some integration and splitting of the various Chapters. There would be opportunity for more in depth discussion at the February meeting.

James Caygill

ACTION

It was **resolved** that the report be received.

5 PROPOSED CHANGE 1, INCLUDING VARIATIONS 1 – 4, TO THE RPS DECISIONS ON SUBMISSIONS AND APPEALS PROCESS

The Chairman noted the importance of the decisions made the previous week.

Consideration of the report followed an outline of decisions made and the proposed process going forward.

The Outline provided covered:

- (a) What are the decisions on the Submissions?
- (b) Timeline for PC1.
- (c) Process for UDS Partners working together.
- (d) Canterbury Regional Councils decisions on the Submissions.
- (e) Key dates.

In the discussion that followed members commented on:

- The process if an individual Authority whished to lodge an Appeal.
- The need for an extra meeting of the UDSIC.
- What happens after 5 March regarding any discussions by the UDSIC partners.
- The need for Local Authorities to communicate with each other at an early date.
- The critical nature of the sequencing of events.

The discussion concluded with the Chairman of the Regional Council thanking UDSIC partners for their respective contribution to PC 1.

James Caygill/ Laurie McCallum

It was **resolved** that the report be received.

ACTION

6. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

It was **resolved** that the public be excluded from the following part of proceedings of this meeting, namely Item 2.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

2. MEETING MINUTES GOOD REASON TO SECTION 48(1)(a) CONFIRMATION WITHHOLD EXISTS UNDER SECTION 7

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item 2 Conduct of negotiations (Section 7(2)(i)

It was **resolved** the public be readmitted.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Chairman in closing the meeting referred to the significant work carried out, which was at the point of celebrating its progress. He also acknowledged the work of members and staff, before passing on his best wishes for 2010.

The meeting concluded at 2.30pm.