

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices, 163 Tuam Street
on Monday 17 August 2009 at 1.30pm

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson), Sir Kerry Burke,
Mayor Kelvin Coe, Mayor Ron Keating,
Councillors David Ayers, Dan Gordon,
Malcolm Lyall, Alec Neill, Lindsay Phelps,
Sue Wells, and Chrissie Williams.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Mayor Bob Parker and Councillor Eugenie Sage.

	ACTION
<p>1. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES</p> <p>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting 22 June 2009, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.</p>	
<p>2. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT</p> <p>The report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager provided a bi-monthly update on UDS Implementation matters.</p> <p>It was resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the monthly report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager be received.2. That the next meeting of the UDSIC be on Monday 19 October 2009, commencing at 1.30pm at Selwyn District Council.	
<p>3. UDS SETTLEMENT PATTERN MONITORING REPORT</p> <p>The report set out the intended scope and timing of the UDS Settlement Pattern Monitoring report.</p> <p>In speaking to the report, Simon Markham advised that the monitoring report would cover the demographic changes since 2006 including that of population, redistributed growth patterns etc. A further report will be provided in due course.</p>	

	ACTION
<p>In the discussion that followed, members commented on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring environmental change – This will be a big undertaking • The extent to which emphasis will be placed on what the partners are achieving. <p>It was resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the report be received 2. That the Committee notes the proposed scope and timing of Settlement Patterns and Monitoring report 3. That the Committee notes the topics proposed for future monitoring reports. 	<p>Simon Markham</p> <p>Mary Sparrow</p>
<p>4. DEVELOPMENT OF THE CANTERBURY LAND TRANSPORT STRATEGY 2011-41</p> <p>The report introduced the development process, timeline in key matters in relation to the next Regional Land Transport Strategy, and allows feedback at this current stage on any matters arising from the project.</p> <p>The report was accompanied by a PowerPoint presentation setting out the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • RTLS 2011-41 development • Next steps. <p>In the discussion that followed, members commented on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The communication between the RTLS and RPS • The number of opportunities for input into the strategy. <p>It was resolved that the report be received.</p>	<p>Robert Woods/ James Ryan</p>
<p>5. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATED 2009 WORK PROGRAMME</p> <p>The report provided an update on progress of the UDS Action Plan and sought feedback on the proposed layout and draft sections of the plan.</p> <p>In speaking to the report, James Caygill advised that the plan was still very much in draft, but the layout was different from that of previous action tables.</p> <p>He enquired as to whether there were any obvious matters missed and the importance of staying on track for the next three years.</p>	

	ACTION
<p>In the discussion that followed, members commented on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Whether the environment matters should be placed first• The need to keep old and new matters separate, so that any changes were clear• The need to include a report on what has been achieved, as this was not always visible• The implementation and commitment that would be needed for the next three and ten years• Whether there were various matters that are also reflected in the partner local authorities LTCCPs• The need to tell the story of what has been achieved. <p>It was resolved that the report be received.</p>	<p>James Caygill</p>
<p>6. ROADS OF NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE – TERMS OF REFERENCE</p> <p>A report from the NZTA proposed a collaborative management framework for the UDS partners to coordinate and manage the delivery of roads of national significance within the UDS area.</p> <p>Steve Higgs in speaking to the report outlined the major sections of roads included in the UDS area. He also provided reasons for the proposal to form an advisory group from the UDS and RTS as a vehicle that would provide feedback and champion the project.</p> <p>In the discussion that followed, members commented on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The fact that a commercial development was taking place extremely close to the proposed southern motorway line• The need to ensure that there is not duplicity in establishing another structure• The need for the roading issues to be managed at the earliest opportunity. <p>It was resolved that the terms and reference for the establishment of a network plan coordination group be modified in accordance with the points raised during the discussion on the subject, and the result be circulated to the UDS mayors and Regional Chairman for consideration.</p>	<p>James Caygill</p>

	ACTION
<p data-bbox="225 286 1310 324">7. LTCCP ALIGNMENT</p> <p data-bbox="225 353 1310 504">James Caygill, Implementation Manager, provided a PowerPoint presentation detailing their response to the question raised by Councillor Sue Wells at the previous meeting relating to the actions agreed between the UDS workplan and the respective partner council's LTCCPs.</p> <p data-bbox="225 539 1310 577">The presentation covered:</p> <ul data-bbox="225 613 1310 891" style="list-style-type: none">• Background• Outline• Resourcing the core partnership• Timing of provision in LTCCP• Capital programmes• Operational levels of service• Anticipated works. <p data-bbox="225 927 1310 965">The presentation was received.</p>	
<p data-bbox="225 1008 1310 1046">8. NEXT MEETING</p> <p data-bbox="225 1081 1310 1176">Monday 19 October 2009, commencing at 1.30pm at Selwyn District Council.</p>	<p data-bbox="1310 1146 1514 1176">Warren Brixton</p>

The meeting concluded at 2.40pm.