#### CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

## NOTES OF A SEMINAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices on Wednesday 20 September 2006 at 1.30pm

**PRESENT:** Mayor Garry Moore (Chair),

Councillors Sally Buck, Graham Condon, Barry Corbett, David Cox, Carole Evans, Pat Harrow, Bob Shearing

(from 2.35pm), Gail Sheriff and Sue Wells.

**APOLOGIES:** Apologies for absence were received and accepted from

Councillors Helen Broughton, Anna Crighton and

Norm Withers.

An apology for lateness was received and accepted from

Councillor Bob Shearing.

\_\_\_\_\_

ACTION

#### 1. DRAFT SUBMISSIONS LGNZ LEGISLATION REVIEW

Judith Cheyne (solicitor) and Chris Gilbert (Legal Services Manager) with support from Peter Mitchell (General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services) spoke to a Power Point presentation (copy on file).

Points arising from the discussions included:

- clarification required of the consultation requirements in the Local Government Act 2002
- signal support for the Audit Office involvement in the LTCCP process
- a review of local government funding options
- infringement notices as an effective enforcement tool
- cost shifting from central to local government
- possible amendments to the Rating Valuations Act 1998

The following documents are also on file:

- The Council's submission to Local Government New Zealand on possible changes to local government legislation, 21 September 2006.
- Examples of cost shifting from central to local government.
- Information on possible amendments that could be made to the Rating Valuations Act 1998 to reduce the high cost that local authorities can face in preparing Valuation Rolls relating to Utilities.

The meeting concluded at 3.00pm

#### CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

### NOTES OF A SEMINAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL

# Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices on Wednesday 20 September 2006 at 3.10pm

**PRESENT:** Mayor Garry Moore (Chair)

Councillors Sally Buck, Graham Condon (to 3.30pm), Barry Corbett, David Cox, Carole Evans, Pat Harrow,

Bob Shearing and Gail Sheriff.

**APOLOGIES:** Apologies for absence were received and accepted from

Councillors Helen Broughton and Sue Wells.

An apology for early departure was received from Councillor

Graham Condon.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## 1. NEW CIVIC DESIGN BRIEF AND DELIVERY

ll on

Roy Baker (General Manager Corporate Services) and Mike Stockwell (Manager – Major Projects) spoke to a PowerPoint presentation (copy on file). They were assisted by Brian Pearson (CEO Vbase).

The following comments and points were noted during the presentation:

Roy Baker/ Mike Stockwell

ACTION

- (a) Design brief to also address the following items:
  - Office for Deputy Mayor.
  - Second (private) lounge for Councillors (to withdraw to).
  - Full load emergency generator.
  - Marriage ceremonies.
  - Multicultural design.
  - Build to maximum planning allowance, ie 80m for main building. Additional space to be leased.
  - Public viewing space at top. (Note there was discussion about best place for café and chamber, either at ground or top.)
  - Support also for ground to first floor escalator, roof garden, future proofing design to allow retrofitting solar panels, grey/blackwater recycling plant.
- (b) DEGW Option B supported, ie three level podium base building with single plate high rise building above. A new library is a possible eventual use of the podium base.

**ACTION** 

- (c) Option 1 delivery method favoured, ie multiple shortlisted architectural teams compete to submit alternative building designs based on the design brief.
- (d) Councillors have now signed off on the design brief subject to above additional items. Note Councillors will need to sign off on the final building design concept.
- (e) Further public input might be (say) comment on the site and building concept design at the appropriate time.

## **Summary from Manager, Major Projects**

We have now made pleasing progress by finalising a design brief (which defines the generic physical content of the new building) and we have agreed that the building shall be a high rise tower springing from a podium building. We have also agreed to advise Tuam Ltd that the delivery method should be via a request for building proposals from a shortlist of reputable architectural teams. As above, Councillors will need to partner with Tuam Ltd at the appropriate time to select a preferred concept design from multiple architectural submissions.

The meeting concluded at 4.25pm