

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

NOTES OF A SEMINAR OF THE COUNCIL

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices
on Tuesday 17 October 2006 at 9.30am**

PRESENT: Councillor Bob Shearing (Chairman),
Councillors Helen Broughton, Sally Buck, Barry Corbett,
David Cox, Anna Crighton, Pat Harrow, Bob Parker,
Gail Sheriff, Sue Wells (to 11am) and Norm Withers
(from 11am).

APOLOGY: An apology for absence was received and accepted from
Mayor Garry Moore.

1. BUS EXCHANGE - PART 1

Terry Howes, Robert Woods and Maurice Roers provided a PowerPoint presentation covering:

- Introduction
- The Big Picture - national to central city
- What we are to achieve
- The project team
- Wider Christchurch public transport system
- Functions of the Bus Exchange
- Principles of successful bus exchange

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION

Comments or questions were made in respect of:

- The validity of using the pedestrian survey information, rather than a retailers/customers survey.
- The impact of the big demand centres like the Polytech and the public hospital.
- Whether a survey had been done at the Bus Exchange that shows the purpose bus passengers coming into the city.
- Would the central point change given the new growth areas around the Turners and Growers site, the Polytech and the revitalisation of the south of Lichfield Street?
- The need to be provided with a projection of the financials of the project.
- Whether there would be any effect on funding availability resulting from the development levy investigations.
- If Christchurch's bus system was so successful why wasn't there more publicity being given to it?
- Why did the exchange have to be contained within a building?
- What would be the effect of a further 20,000 people living in the central city?
- Could not a light rail system on a commuter loop be utilised?

The part 1 section of the seminar concluded at 10.30am

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

NOTES OF A SEMINAR OF THE COUNCIL

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices
on Tuesday 17 October 2006 at 1.30pm**

PRESENT: Councillor Sue Wells (Chairperson),
Councillors Helen Broughton, Sally Buck, Barry Corbett,
David Cox, Carole Evans, Pat Harrow, Gail Sheriff,
Bob Shearing and Norm Withers,
Akaroa/Wairewa Community Board members
Steve Lowndes and Stewart Miller (from 2.25pm).

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Councillors Graham Condon and Bob Parker.

1. **REVIEW OF POLICY REGISTER**

The purpose of this seminar was to update the Council on the review of the Christchurch City Council Policy Register and seek revocation of redundant policies. Redundant policies are policies which are considered out of date or superseded by legislation.

Rochelle Hardy spoke to a PowerPoint presentation. A background paper entitled "Policy Register Content and Process Review" was tabled.

It was noted that the Local Government Act will assist with the definition of those policies which need to be retained, but it was acknowledged that the register may be superseded by the LTCCP in time.

It was agreed by consensus that the existing policy register needed to be considered in conjunction with the report and the list prepared by officers, which was tabled at the seminar. Referring to the current policies listed in the register, it was acknowledged in simple terms, that:

- **Some need to go**
- **Some need to be turned into something new**
- **Some need not be touched.**

A Council seminar was requested to be added to the schedule for Tuesday 31 October 2006.

It was **agreed by consensus** that Councillor David Cox chair a working party which will work with Council officers, and report back with recommendations to the 31 October 2006 Council seminar.

2. **BANKS PENINSULA DISTRICT PLAN: RURAL ZONE**

The purpose of this briefing was to bring elected members up to date on the history and current status of the Banks Peninsula District Plan, in particular the rural provisions of the District Plan, also known as Variation 2.

Bert Hofmans spoke to a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was tabled.

Completion date will depend on how long it takes to deal with rural appeals.

Questions and points noted included:

- The CCC had appealed against the BPDC Variation. What is “the council” position now the two local authorities have merged?
- Will need to be aware of technical issues and the potential for a change in the CCC position as submitted. Recognised this will be a matter of substance for the whole Council.
- Way forward: Reporting back after March 2007 ie once all the strands (including the ecology and landscape study) come together?

A further Council seminar was sought before this matter is brought back to the full Council.

The seminar concluded at 2.50 pm