

**GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (UDS) FORUM**

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE GREATER CHRISTCHURCH  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY FORUM**

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic Offices, 163 Tuam Street, Christchurch  
on Monday 14 November 2005 at 1pm**

**PRESENT:**

**Banks Peninsula District Council**

Mayor Bob Parker (Chairperson) and Councillors  
Steve Lowndes and Claudia Reid (alternate).

**Christchurch City Council**

Councillors Helen Broughton, Sally Buck and  
Bob Shearing.

**Environment Canterbury**

Councillors Richard Budd and Ross Little (alternate).

**Selwyn District Council**

Councillors Debra Hasson, Annette Foster and  
Malcolm Lyall (alternate).

**Waimakariri District Council**

Councillors Kath Adams and Kevin Felsted.

**KEY STAKEHOLDERS:**

Neville Smith (Ministry of Education), Max Percasky  
(Palms Mall), Peter Townsend/Steve Collins (Chamber of  
Commerce), Dr Mel Brieseman (Canterbury District  
Health Board), Dr Simon Kingham (University of  
Canterbury) and Craig Pauling (Ngai Tahu).

**Committee Secretary**

Warren Brixton

**APOLOGIES:**

Apologies were received and accepted from  
Councillors Sue Wells and Alec Neill, Dr Morgan  
Williams (Parliamentary Commissioner for the  
Environment), Colin Knaggs (Transit New Zealand)  
Dave O'Connell (Ngai Tahu), Rob Churcher (NZ Property  
Council) and Pam Richardson (Federated Farmers).

**1. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

The minutes of the previous meeting of 15 August 2005 were received.

## 2. **FORUM PRESENTATION IN RELATION TO SCOPE, STRUCTURE, PROJECT DOCUMENT**

Prior to the PowerPoint presentation on this matter, the Chairman provided an overview of the situation covering:

- Phase 2 of the project has now been commenced
- There had been an enormous response to the options put out to the public
- A very uniform response had been received
- Mark Bachles, Project Team Leader, had taken up a new job opportunity in Australia
- It was now an opportunity to relook at the structure

In determining “Where to from here” the Forum could:

- Look at the plan
- Look at the structure (including changes brought forward by staff to achieve outcomes)
- A plan for the future

### **PowerPoint Presentation**

Members of the Project Team provided a PowerPoint presentation covering:

- Where are we at
- Need to redefine the process
- Process to date gives a better idea where we are heading
- Recommended project structure
- Work stream
- Process
  - Vision
  - Community charter
  - Melbourne charatte metro area
  - Charatte elements
  - Work stream framework
  - Financial and timing implications

In regard to this point, Carolyn Ingles advised that an additional \$400,000 was required to that already budgeted, to enable the programme to be carried out. Using the 2004 formula basis, it would be split amongst the contributing authorities as follows:

Christchurch City Council	\$250,000
Environment Canterbury	\$80,000
Waimakariri District Council	\$30,000
Selwyn District Council	\$30,000
Banks Peninsula District Council	\$10,000

It was noted that Christchurch City Council has already contributed a further \$150,000.

In the discussion that followed, members commented or questioned the following:

- The reasons for the structure proposed
- Whether having the CEOs group was appropriate
- Whether there would be a vision for the whole greater city first or individual components would be brought together to form a vision
- Whether there was a move away from the March 2004 document which in the working groups referred to hospitals, schools etc
- Whether there was a need to have separate work stream groupings at all
- The position of CRETS in relation to where it stood with the UDS
- The need to ensure the proper resources were available to carry out the various studies etc
- The individual commitment local authorities would need to give to the preparation of some area plans
- The difficulties of the budget in respect of timing
- The need for the community charter to be available in early 2006
- The need to ensure the proper framework is in place when UDS next goes out to the community

**Resolved:**

*That the Forum continue the approach as outlined in the Scope, Structure, Project document.*

It was noted that:

- The proposed changes would need to go back to each local authority for approval and incorporation into the relative documents
- The need for community groups to be informed on progress
- The names of the staff team leaders etc to be advised in due course

**3. CORRESPONDENCE**

**1. Sustainable Christchurch Otautahi**

Seeking representation on the Forum as a stakeholder.

It was agreed that they be advised that at the charatte stage there would be opportunity for their involvement and contribution.

**4. DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The date of the next meeting was set down for Monday 5 December 2005 at 1pm.

The meeting concluded at 2.50pm.