

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 13 MARCH 2012

AT 5PM

**IN THE COMMUNITY ROOM,
UPPER RICCARTON LIBRARY, 71 MAIN SOUTH ROAD
UPPER RICCARTON**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Ishwar Ganda, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941 5133 or 027 434 7541

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	CLAUSE	
PART B	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 28 FEBRUARY 2012
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
	3.1	New Zealand Transport Agency – Quarterly Update
	3.2	New Zealand Transport Agency – Southern Motorway Stage 2
	3.3	St Ninian's Presbyterian Church
	3.4	Halswell Rugby League Club
PART B	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
	7.1	Southern Motorway Stage 1 Update
PART C	8.	TONGA PLACE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION
PART C	9.	DOVEDALE AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION
PART C	10.	RICCARTON-ILAM COMMUNITY SAFETY JOINT WORKING PARTY – APPOINTMENT OF BOARD REPRESENTATIVES
PART C	11.	TEMPLETON COUNTRY CLUB - PROPOSED DRILLING OF NEW WELL
PART C	12.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – ABBAS NAZARI

- | | | |
|--------|-----|--|
| PART C | 13. | APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – TERINA KAYLA ALAMEIN WANOA |
| PART C | 14. | APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL VOLLEYBALL TEAM |
| PART C | 15. | APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – ROTARY CLUB OF HORNBY |
| PART C | 16. | APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - ST NINIAN'S PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH |
| PART C | 17. | RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2012/13 – BOARD BIDS |
| PART C | 18 | COMMUNITY ADVISER'S UPDATE |
| PART B | 19. | ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE |
| PART B | 20. | MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS |

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 28 FEBRUARY 2012

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 28 February 2012 are **attached**.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 28 February 2012 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY (NZTA) QUARTERLY UPDATE

Tony Spowart, Regional Traffic and Safety Manager – NZTA, will update the Board on NZTA matters within the Riccarton/Wigram ward.

3.2 NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY CHRISTCHURCH SOUTHERN MOTORWAY STAGE 2

Michael Blyleven, Project Manager – NZTA, will update the Board on the progress of the Christchurch Southern Motorway Stage 2 project.

3.3 ST NINIAN'S PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

Rob Ferguson of St Ninian's Presbyterian Church will discuss with the Board the church's funding application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund

3.4 HALSWELL RUGBY LEAGUE CLUB

Nick Philips of the Halswell Rugby League Club will update the board on the Club's progress over the past year since his employment with them.

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICE OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

7.1 SOUTHERN MOTORWAY STAGE 1 UPDATE

Will Doughty, Senior Project Manager, will brief the Board on the current status of the Southern Motorway Stage 1.

8. TONGA PLACE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer - Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's approval to install a No Stopping Restriction in Tonga Place, Riccarton.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Tonga Place is a 70 metre long residential cul-de-sac measuring 5.7 metres kerb to kerb. Due to the deep dish channel design of this older street, the usable road width of Tonga Place is only 5 metres wide. Currently the south side of Tonga Place has No Stopping Lines installed along its full length and also around the cul-de-sac turning head at the western end of the street.
3. In the latter quarter of 2011 the Council received two requests for the installation of further No Stopping lines in Tonga Place one from the only resident property owner in the street at number 4 Tonga Place. The other request came from the students living at number 3 Tonga Place. In both instances these residents related the great difficulty they were having exiting their properties when a vehicle was parked outside of Number 4 Tonga place just east of its vehicle entrance.
4. Staff investigating this request met the residents on site and confirmed that due to the combination of the 5 metre wide usable road width and the deep dish channel design of the street it is often impossible to exit the driveway of the property located at number 3, and difficult to exit number 4 Tonga place. These residents have no option but to door knock until they find the owner of the parked vehicle then request that they move their vehicle so they can get out. The other option of driving over the channel is almost certain to damage a private motor vehicle unless it is a SUV. (See **Attachment 1** Plan TG121101)
5. This current issue has previously not been a problem because residents parked their private motor vehicles off street. However over the years the majority of long term residents have moved out of the street and the properties are now being let to students who have numerous motor vehicles which can not all be contained off street.
6. The problem can easily be solved by the installation of a seven metre length of No Stopping Lines being marked just east of the vehicle entrance of the property at number 4 Tonga Place. The proposed No Stopping Lines would make it illegal for a vehicle to park in this location and would have minimal effect on kerb side parking by removing only one further parking space from the street.
7. The residents living at numbers 3 and 4 Tonga Place, who are most directly affected by the installation of the proposed No stopping Lines both strongly support this proposal. It was not considered necessary to consult with the rest of the residents in Tonga Place who do not suffer the same inconvenience.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$50.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
11. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
12. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As above

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. As above

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As above

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. The residents living at numbers 3 and 4 Tonga Place, who are most directly affected by the installation of the proposed No stopping Lines both strongly support this proposal. It was not considered necessary to consult with the rest of the residents in Tonga Place as it is considered reasonable that the tenants at number 3 Tonga Place and the resident of number 4 Tonga Place expect to be able to safely exit their property. It is further considered reasonable that these same residents believe it is the Council's responsibility to provide this egress.
19. The Officer in Charge of Parking supports the proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Tonga Place commencing at a point 32 metres west of its intersection with Centennial Avenue and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of seven metres.

9. DOVEDALE AVENUE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer - Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's approval to extend the existing No Stopping Restriction located on the north side of Dovedale Avenue at its intersection with Waimairi Road by a further eight metres.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Dovedale Avenue is designated by the Christchurch City Plan as a Local Road, while Waimairi Road is designated as a Minor Arterial Road. The north side of Dovedale Avenue is zoned Residential 1, while the south side is zoned Cultural 4, being part of Canterbury University.
3. The University Teachers College being located along the south side of Dovedale Avenue generates increased morning and evening peak volumes and creates a higher demand for kerbside parking than can usually be expected within a local road.
4. The Council received a request in 2011 to extend the No stopping restriction on the north side of Dovedale Avenue at its intersection with Waimairi Road to provide space for left turning traffic out of Dovedale Avenue to move up the inside of right turning traffic.
5. On investigating this request staff identified that when a vehicle is parked on the north side of Dovedale Avenue 13 metres west of the limit line located at its intersection with Waimairi Road, there is insufficient space between the side of the parked vehicle and the centre line for another vehicle to pass without crossing the centre line.
6. Crossing the centre line in this location can be dangerous as motorists entering Dovedale Avenue from Waimairi Road tend to move to the far right of the west bound traffic lane to avoid the wide bus stop and 90 degree angle parking located on the south side of the street. If an east bound and a west bound vehicle were undertaking the aforementioned manoeuvres at the same time they would find themselves in a head on situation.
7. This problem can easily be rectified by extending the existing 13 metre No Stopping Restriction located on the north side of Dovedale Avenue at its intersection with Waimairi Road, by a further eight metres. This proposal would remove one all day (unrestricted) parking space, but would prevent the need for vehicles approaching the give way control at the intersection of Waimairi Road to cross the centre line. This will also fulfil the original request of providing more space for left turning vehicles out of Dovedale Avenue. (See **Attachment 1** plan TG121001)
8. The only affected party are the residents of number 147 Waimairi Road. They were consulted in person by staff who met them on site; they are in full support of the proposal.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$50.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
12. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
13. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. As above

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. As above

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies and Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. As above

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. The only truly affected party are the residents of number 147 Waimairi Road. They were consulted in person by staff who met them on site; they are in full support of the proposal.
20. The Officer in Charge of Parking supports the proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve that:

- (a) The stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the north side of Dovedale Avenue commencing at its intersection with Waimairi Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 13 metres be revoked.
- (b) The stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Dovedale Avenue commencing at its intersection with Waimairi Road and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 21 metres

10. RICCARTON-ILAM COMMUNITY SAFETY JOINT WORKING PARTY – APPOINTMENT OF COMMUNITY BOARD REPRESENTATIVES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning, DDI 941- 8281
Officer responsible:	Programme Manager Strong Communities
Author:	Diane Shelander, Senior Policy Analyst

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the appointment of two members to a community safety joint working party for the Riccarton-Ilam area.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. On 26 August 2010, the Council resolved to establish a community safety joint working party to investigate community safety and well-being initiatives in the Riccarton-Ilam area. The Riccarton-Ilam Community Safety Joint Working Party (Working Party) is intended to be an inter-agency group of key stakeholders that to investigate collaborative approaches to address community safety issues in the area.
3. The terms of reference for the Working Party are attached (**Attachment 1**). The purpose of the Working Party is:
 - to discuss common issues and develop an action plan to address community safety and nuisance in the Riccarton/Ilam area;
 - to monitor / gather evidence relating to the issues of concern around community safety and nuisance in the Riccarton/Ilam area;
 - to recommend initiatives that will address these issues to the constituent organisations of the working party;
 - to work in an open and transparent manner to keep Council, stakeholders and residents informed on a regular basis;
 - to agree on a communications plan which covers the public, other Councillors and any other key stakeholders.
4. The Working Party is to comprise the following:
 - one Councillor from the Riccarton-Wigram ward
 - one Councillor from the Fendalton-Waimairi ward
 - one representative from the Riccarton-Wigram Community Board
 - one representative from the Fendalton-Waimairi Community Board
 - NZ Police Southern Area commander or his nominee
 - University of Canterbury Vice Chancellor or his nominee
 - University of Canterbury Students Association (UCSA) President or his/her nominee
 - Two local residents, to be selected by the Working Party
5. Due to the earthquakes in 2010 and 2011, the establishment of the Riccarton-Ilam Community Safety Joint Working Party (Working Party) was delayed. However, on 27 October 2011 the Council re-affirmed the resolution to establish the Riccarton-Ilam Community Safety Joint Working Party, and staff intend to arrange the first meeting of the Working Party soon, once the seven designated members have been identified.
6. Both the Riccarton-Wigram and Fendalton-Waimairi Community Boards need to appoint two members each to the Working Party: a Councillor from the respective wards and a member of the respective Community Boards. Staff have contacted the Police, the University of Canterbury and the UCSA inviting them to nominate their representatives to the Working Party.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The costs associated with establishing this Working Party will be staff time, which is available from existing budgets.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, as above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no legal considerations. The Working Party has no delegated powers, and has been formed for the purpose described in the Terms of Reference.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. See above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. This establishment of the Working Party contributes to the Strengthening Communities activity of the 2009-19 LTCCP. It is relevant to Level of Service 2.2.3.2, Deliver the agreed programme of projects around the implementation of the Safer Christchurch Strategy within budget allocation.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The establishment of the Working Party is broadly aligned with the Safer Christchurch Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. See above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Consultation is not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton-Wigram Community Board appoint one Councillor and one Community Board member to the Riccarton-Ilam Community Safety Joint Working Party.

11. PROPOSED DRILLING OF NEW WELL – TEMPLETON COUNTRY CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager of City Environment
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager of Transport & Greenspace
Author:	Tom Lennon, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. This report is submitted to the Community Board for approval to grant Landlord's consent for the drilling of a new well at the Templeton Country Club (the Club) as required under Clause 1.6.1 of their current lease agreement with the Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Templeton Country Club leases land from the Council contained within Reserve 2418, (49.6752 hectares), and Reserve 5094, (3.8444 hectares), both being Recreation Reserves. The lease final expiry date is 31 May 2054.
3. The Templeton Country Club has approached the Council seeking Landlord's consent to drill an additional well within the area of land currently under a lease from Council.
4. The additional water well will supplement the existing wells from where the Club obtains their water.
5. At present the Club are obtaining water from their existing wells where the Club has a water right to take 16 litres a second from two wells. The Club also has a right to take 28 litres a second from the adjacent Paparua water race.
6. The Club wish to consolidate their water supply by drilling a new well to fulfil a water right to put down a new well already granted to them by ECan to take 44 litres a second.
7. To enable the construction of the new well the Club needs to obtain the permission of the Landlord, (Council), as required by clause 1.6.1 of the current lease agreement dated 3 July 1989 that the Club has with the Council.
8. The Club's proposal to drill a new well, in the location detailed in **Attachment 1**, has been considered and is supported by staff of the Network Planning Team (Greenspace).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. There are no financial implications for the Council as all the costs associated with the drilling of the new well will be covered by the Templeton Country Club.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. The recommendations of this report are consistent with the terms and conditions of the current lease and are part of the day to day administration of the lease between the Templeton Country Club and the Council.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. The Templeton Country Club request for Landlord's consent to undertake the drilling of a new well is a requirement under the terms and conditions of the current lease.
12. The Council has delegated its decision making powers in relation to leases/ licences over the reserves to the Community Boards, in this case the Riccarton Wigram Community Board.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. Yes, all legal implications associated with the granting of Landlord's consent for the drilling of the new water well have been considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. The recommendations of the report are consistent with the day to day administration of the lease between the Templeton Country Club and the Council.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. Consultation is not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board grant landlord's consent for the drilling of a new water well within Reserve 2418 and Reserve 5094 to the Templeton Country Club.

12. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – ABBAS NAZARI

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support Unit
Author:	Marie Byrne, Strengthening Communities Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Community Board approval for an application for funding from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Abbas Nazari, is a 16 year old Hei Hei resident and Burnside High School Student, seeking Community Board support to travel to Greece and Italy as a part of an educational trip. This trip will take place 5 – 29 April 2012.
3. The tour has been organised by the Burnside High School Classical Studies department. The itinerary has been designed to specifically match the Classical Studies syllabus and will include visits to the Acropolis, the Kingdom of Mycenae, the Colosseum and Pompeii.
4. Abbas is a Tampa refugee, who arrived in New Zealand ten years ago. The total cost of the trip is \$10,000, of which Abbas has raised \$7,500 through fundraising, part time jobs and car boot sales. The trip for Abbas will be what he calls an 'eye opening' experience.
5. Abbas was the head boy of Burnside High School's West Division in 2011, is the head of the school sports council this year as well as being a prefect. He attained NCEA Level 1 and 2 with Excellence. In 2008 Abbas placed 3rd in the New Zealand National Spelling Bee, with just six years of speaking English behind him. He attained first in his Classical Studies class in 2011 and English, Classical Studies and History were all endorsed with Excellence.
6. His future goals include studying for law at University of Canterbury and working for the United Nations in International Relations. He has a passion for the arts and politics and considers that this trip will fuel that passion.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following outlines budgetary requirements for Abbas' trip.

Abbas Nazari	
Trip Cost	\$10,000
Total Cost	\$10,000
Funds raised to date	\$7,500
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$1,000

8. This is the first time the applicant has applied for funding from the Community Board. At the time of writing this report there was a balance of \$9,653 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, regarding the Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Yes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, regarding the Board funding

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Yes, in alignment with the Strengthening Communities Strategy

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Yes, application aligns with Council Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$500 to Abbas Nazari as a contribution towards his expenses for his trip to Greece and Italy from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

13. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – TERINA KAYLA ALAMEIN WANOA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Terina Kayla Alamein Wanoa is a 15 year old student who lives in Yaldhurst and is seeking Community Board funding for her expenses related to her selection in the Junior Tall Ferns U19 Basketball team. This selection includes a preparation camp from 17 – 22 April in Auckland and a Four Nations Tournament in China from 27 April – 7 May 2012.
3. Terina has been playing basketball since 2007 for Canterbury and in 2012 she will represent Canterbury at U17 girl's premierships and National tournaments. She will also play for her school, Burnside High. Terina's involvement in her sport requires commitment over six to seven days a week.
4. Terina's achievements include being named in the 2008 tournament team at the U13s nationals, involvement in a NZ development tour to Australia in 2008 and 2009, 2012 being selected in the 2012 Junior Tall Ferns team. Terina's goals include to represent New Zealand as a Tall Fern, represent New Zealand at the 2020 Olympics and hopefully be scouted to the USA on a basketball scholarship.
5. To raise funding for her trips, Terina will be submitting several applications to various organisations, she also works part time and will be involved in raffles. The team are very fortunate that China Basketball has agreed to cover 50% of the flight costs for the team.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

TERINA KAYLA ALAMEIN WANOA	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
International and Domestic Airfares - China	\$993.00
Meals	\$125.00
Medical	\$21.00
Uniform	\$37.50
Misc	\$600.00
Preparation Camp Expenses - Auckland	
Domestic Flights, Accommodation, Ground Transport, Venue Hire, Misc	\$350.00
Total Cost	\$2,126.50
Amount Requested from the Community Board	\$500.00

7. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding. At the time of writing this report there was a balance of \$9,653 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$500 to Terina Kayla Alamein Wanoa as a contribution towards her trips to Auckland and China from the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

14. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL VOLLEYBALL TEAM

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Riccarton High School Volleyball team have four athletes that reside in the Riccarton/Wigram ward, who are seeking Community Board support to travel to Palmerston North to compete in the Secondary Schools National Volleyball Tournament. This trip will take place 25 to 31 March 2012.
3. Representatives from Riccarton/Wigram ward :
Kim Lea Butler aged 16
Misi-Valentine Naoupu aged 17
Melissa Lin aged 17
Carla Sue Butler aged 13
4. As a junior team, three of the above players; Kim Butler, Misi-Valentine Naoupu and Melissa Lin have played together for several seasons for Riccarton High School and won the Canterbury and South Island Championships and in 2011 they won the division two girls NZ Nationals. In 2010 the senior Riccarton High School team also won the Division 2 Australian Schools Cup. This is Carla's first year in the team, but previous to this she was a member of the winning Filipino team that competed at the annual tournament in Hamilton and she was also captain of her Branston Intermediate team.
5. All four players are also involved in various other sports such as netball, touch football, rugby, dancing and football and currently train and play three times a week for their Volleyball team alone.
6. The Secondary Schools National Volleyball Tournament is one of the biggest secondary events in New Zealand with over 150 schools competing and Riccarton High have set themselves a goal of placing in the Division 1 National Girls section. Individually they also are aiming for selection in tournament and Canterbury age group teams.
7. To date the players have raised the following funding for their trip ; Kim Butler \$295, Melissa Lin \$295, Misi-Valentine Naoupu \$350 and Carla Butler \$200 and they have also applied to several pub charities and trusts for further assistance.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

Riccarton High School Volleyball team – cost per player	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares	\$ 285.00
Accommodation and food	\$ 427.00
Entry Fee	\$ 65.00
Ground transport	\$ 70.00
Misc	\$ 20.00
Total Cost	\$ 867.00
Amount Requested from the Community Board	\$ 200.00

9. Three members of the 2010/11 Riccarton High School Volleyball team received \$450 from the Riccarton Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme, but this application does not include any of the above players.
10. At the time of writing this report, there was a balance of \$9,203 in the Youth Development Scheme.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$200 from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme to each of the applicants from the Riccarton High School Volleyball team, totalling \$800, as a contribution towards their expenses for their trip to Palmerston North to compete in the Secondary Schools National Volleyball Tournament.

15. APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – ROTARY CLUB OF HORNBY

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo / Denise Galloway

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider the Rotary Club of Hornby's application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund of \$1,500 towards Membership recruitment for the costs associated with advertising for new club membership in the Press newspaper.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2011/12, the total budget available for allocation in the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. Further funding of \$15,172 has been added to this amount as a result of an under spend from the Metropolitan Small Grants Fund. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from Rotary Club of Hornby for Membership recruitment is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (Attachment 1)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is currently \$41,383 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve a grant of \$1,500 from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund to Rotary Club of Hornby for Membership recruitment towards the costs associated with advertising for new club membership in the Press newspaper.

16. APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2011/12 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - ST NINIAN'S PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo / Marie Byrne

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider St Ninian's Presbyterian Church application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund of \$21,500 towards the Riccarton Community Menz Shed for the shed installation, labour costs, marketing costs and woodworking gear for the Riccarton Menz Shed project.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2011/12, the total budget available for allocation in the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. Further funding of \$15,172 has been added to this amount as a result of an under spend from the Metropolitan Small Grants Fund. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from St Ninian's Presbyterian Church for a Riccarton Community Menz Shed is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (Attachment 1)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. There is currently \$41,383 remaining in the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve a grant of \$14,800 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund to St Ninian's Presbyterian Church for Riccarton Community Menz Shed towards the shed installation, labour costs, marketing costs and woodworking gear for the Riccarton Menz Shed project.

17. RICCARTON WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2012/13 – BOARD BIDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services Group 941.8607
Officer responsible:	Manager Community Support Unit
Author:	Lincoln Papali'i Strategic Initiatives, community Services

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton Wigram Community Board to agree to the projects that will be put forward on behalf of the Board to the Strengthening Communities Fund for 2012/13.
2. The Riccarton Wigram Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund decision meeting is scheduled for the 17 July 2012.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. Attached to this report is a table that outlines potential projects that the Board may wish to put forward for consideration for the 2012/13 Strengthening Communities Fund (**Attachment 1**). These were discussed by the Board in a workshop earlier in the year. These projects have been agreed as part of Unit work programmes.
4. As a result of the earthquake, it may be that the Boards priorities for projects have altered. This meeting is the opportunity to update these projects.
5. Subsequent to the Board identifying which projects it would like to put forward as applications, staff will assess each project and include these on the decision matrix along with the other applications received for Strengthening Communities Fund.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

8. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

9. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not required

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in Attachment 1 – Projects to Consider 2012/13 and approve a list of projects to be submitted as applications to the 2012/13 Strengthening Communities Fund.

BACKGROUND

STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

11. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
- (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Grants Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme
12. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
- Support, develop and promote the capacity and sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups
 - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
 - Increase community engagement in local decision making
 - Enhance community and neighbourhood safety
 - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills
 - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation
 - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need
13. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
- Older Adults
 - Children and Youth
 - People with Disabilities
 - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
 - Disadvantaged and/or Socially Excluded
 - Capacity of Community Organisations
 - Civic Engagement

TIMELINE AND PROCESS

14. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting.
15. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2012.

- 18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
- 19. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- 20. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS