

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE**

**Held in the YMCA Conference Room, 10 Hereford Street, Christchurch,
Friday 9 March 2012 commencing at 10.40 AM**

PRESENT:

UDS Independent Chair

Bill Wasley

Christchurch City Council

Councillor Sue Wells, Claudia Reid (until 11.40 AM)

Environment Canterbury

Commissioners Tom Lambie, Peter Skelton

Selwyn District Council

Mayor Kelvin Coe, Councillors Lindsay Philps and Malcolm Lyall

Waimakariri District Council

Mayor David Ayers, Councillors Jim Gerard and Dan Gordon

Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu

Wally Stone

New Zealand Transport Authority

Jim Harland (observer)

Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority

Diane Turner (observer, on behalf of Roger Sutton)

IN ATTENDANCE:

New Zealand Transport Authority

Steve Higgs

Environment Canterbury

Laurie McCallum

Christchurch City Council

Keith Tallentire - *UDS Implementation Manager*
DDI: 941 8590

Waimakariri District Council

Simon Markham

Selwyn District Council

Tim Harris

Rachael Brown – *Committee Adviser*
DDI: 941 5249

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies from Mayor Bob Parker, Mark Solomon, Rex Williams and Roger Sutton were accepted by the Committee.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES: MEETING OF 19 DECEMBER 2011

The Committee **confirmed** the minutes of its previous meeting held on 19 December 2011, subject to correcting the spelling of Councillor Philps' surname.

3. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

Keith Tallentire presented the report and outlined key points to the Committee. These included:

- arrangements are in place for consideration by Councils of amendments to UDSIC representation (section 2.3)
- planning managers are considering further briefings on Chapters 12A and 22 and producing practice notes as required (section 2.4)
- work is underway to make housing land availability information more readily available to the public (section 2.5)
- a UDS Partnership submission was made on the Productivity Commission's Housing Affordability draft report (section 2.10).

The Committee **agreed** that it:

- a. **Note** the bi-monthly report of the Independent Chair and the Implementation Manager.
- b. **Ratify** the UDS Partnership Submission to the Productivity Commission's Housing Affordability draft report.

4. UDS ACTION PLAN AND RECOVERY PROGRAMMES

Keith Tallentire presented the report which prioritised actions contained in the UDS Action Plan based on their relevance to recovery planning.

The Committee **resolved** that it:

- a. *Agrees, in principle, the UDS IMG prioritisation of the actions within the UDS Action Plan as set out in Attachments A and B to this report.*
- b. *Agrees that this UDS action prioritisation for recovery is presented to CERA for its consideration, feedback and input into recovery programme development.*
- c. *Agrees that UDS Partner staff represented on the CERA Strategic Group and/or the UDS Implementation Management Group work with CERA to promote and refine these UDS actions as part of the ongoing collaborative work to develop recovery programmes.*
- d. *Notes that a further report will be presented to the UDSIC on a recommended course of action regarding the implementation of the UDS actions separate from recovery set out in Attachment B to this report.*

5. PRESENTATION: GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION MODEL

Simon Markham (on behalf of the UDS IMG), presented to the Committee on:

Post Earthquakes Residential Growth and Distribution Scenarios (Attachment A).

The following key points were covered in the presentation.

- A recap on the policy context (Chapter 12A, Table 1)
 - increasing percentage intensification
 - ageing population
 - changing household composition.
- The immediate post earthquakes effect:
 - initial overall population losses

- population loss by TA, and
- age demographic.
- Longer term change scenarios:
 - four resident population change scenarios (rapid, quick, moderate, slow)
 - a sub-model also looking at migratory workforce
 - only the rapid scenario returns to pre-earthquake absolute population figures by 2041
 - other scenarios result in a time lag estimated to be between 4-15 years.
- Issues & Implications:
 - a high level of uncertainty remains
 - long term growth highly dependent on migration
 - UDS provision represents prudent 'over-provision' given recent trends and post-earthquakes change
 - an ageing population is profound and exacerbated by earthquakes
 - ongoing monitoring and refinement required as new data becomes available.

6. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

At 11.45am the Committee **agreed** that the resolution to exclude the public, as set out on page 37 of the agenda, be adopted.

The meeting concluded at 11.50am