

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 3 JULY 2012

AT 5.30PM

**IN THE COMMUNITY ROOM,
UPPER RICCARTON LIBRARY, 71 MAIN SOUTH ROAD
UPPER RICCARTON**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941 6501 or 027 434 7541

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

- PART B** 1. **APOLOGIES**
- 2. **ORAL DECLARATION BY MEMBER ATTESTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE DEMOCRACY SERVICES MANAGER REPRESENTING THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**
- PART C** 3. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 19 JUNE 2012**
- PART B** 4. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**
- PART B** 5. **PETITIONS**
- PART B** 6. **NOTICES OF MOTION**
- PART B** 7. **CORRESPONDENCE**
- PART B** 8. **BRIEFINGS**
- PART C** 9. **ESTABLISHMENT OF THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND**
- PART C** 10. **APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – AMY ROSE BEGG**
- PART C** 11. **APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND–SOPHIE MARIE SHINGLETON**
- PART C** 12. **APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – ALY ANN GRANT**
- PART C** 13. **NAZARETH AVENUE/MAGDALA PLACE INTERSECTION - PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION**

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

- PART C 14. EDMONTON ROAD - PROPOSED 30 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION**
- PART C 15. PARKSTONE AVENUE AT AVONHEAD ROAD - PROPOSED GIVE WAY CONTROL**
- PART C 16. APPLICATION TO RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD 2012/13
DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – TEMPLETON SWIMMING CLUB**
- PART C 17. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD 2012/13
DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – ST COLUMBIA'S ANGLICAN CHURCH**
- PART B 18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
18.1 Dumphries Drive Parking
18.2 Update on Earthquake Recovery Discussions
18.3 Proposed Brothels (Location and Commercial Sexual Services Signage)
Bylaw 2012 Submission
18.4 Draft Board Objectives
- PART B 19. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART B 20. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **ORAL DECLARATION BY MEMBER ATTESTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE
DEMOCRACYSERVICES MANAGER REPRESENTING THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

3. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 19 JUNE 2012**

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 19 June 2012 is attached. The public excluded minutes of the meeting have been **separately circulated** to members.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 19 June 2012 (*both open and public excluded sections*) be confirmed.

4. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

5. **PETITIONS**

6. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

7. **CORRESPONDENCE**

8. **BRIEFINGS**

9. ESTABLISHMENT OF A RICCARTON WIGRAM YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND 2012/13

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Carolyn Gallagher, Unit Manager Community Support
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo – Grants Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Board to set aside funding from the Board's 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund for the purpose of establishing a 2012/13 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Youth Development Scheme provides small grants to eligible young individuals and youth not-for-profit groups. The purpose of the scheme is to celebrate and support young people living positively in the local community by providing financial assistance for their development. Applications to the fund will be considered in the following categories:
 - Personal Development and Growth:
 - Leadership Training
 - Career Development
 - Outward Bound / Spirit of Adventure
 - Educational Opportunities that are not a component of the school curriculum / subject.
 - Representation at Events - Individuals:
 - Applicants qualify for assistance if they have been selected to represent their school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
 - Representation at Events - Teams:
 - As above, although teams are required to submit one application on behalf of the team members requiring funding assistance that reside in the Riccarton/Wigram ward.
3. Applicants to be eligible will also need to meet the following criteria:
 - Age groups 12 to 25 years
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Have applications submitted six weeks prior to the event taking place.
4. Applicants will also be required to complete the application form (**Attachment 1**) and provide the additional material noted on this.
5. Applicants will also be asked how long they and their parents/caregivers have lived in Christchurch. This will allow Board members to take into consideration whether the funding requested is commensurate with the historic contribution of the applicant and their family, to rates in this city.
6. It is recommended that individuals only be allowed to apply once per financial year.
7. In making recommendations to the Board staff will make comment on the following matters:
 - the extent of additional funds that the individual/group has sourced from other funders, and the amount of fundraising undertaken.
 - The level at which the group or individual is performing in their chosen field.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. This proposal transfers funds from the Board's Discretionary Response Fund into a separate Youth Development Scheme Fund. This will in turn decrease the funding available for other applicants to the Board's Discretionary Response Fund. The Board has also indicated that it will

9. CONT'D

allocate funding from the Board's Strengthening Communities Fund to the proposed Youth Development Scheme Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. Aligns with the Strengthening Community Strategy goals:
- Increase participation in community recreation and sports programmes and events.
 - Improve basic life skills so that all residents can participate fully in society.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. No external consultation needs to be undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board:

- (a) Establish a Youth Development Scheme Fund for the 2012/13 financial year.
- (b) Approve the transfer of funds, up to \$3,862 from the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund to the Riccarton Wigram Youth Development Scheme Fund.
- (c) Arrange that once the Youth Development funding is spent, that the fund is closed off until the next financial year.

**10. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND
– AMY ROSE BEGG**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Amy Rose Begg, is a 15 year old student who lives in Halswell and is seeking Community Board support to travel to Sydney to represent the New Zealand Junior Girls' Development team at the Softball Australia International Youth Cup. The trip will take place from 2 – 6 July 2012.
3. Amy has played tee ball since she was four years old and was first selected to play for Canterbury in the Under 15 team in 2009/10. Between 2010 to 2012 Amy was selected again into the Under 15 and then Under 17 representative teams. She was then was selected in the Canterbury Women's Development Team in 2011/12. At club level Amy has played three grades, Under 16, Under 19 and Premier Women's teams.
4. Amy's position as a pitcher requires not only participating in the regular team trainings through the week, but also extra specialised training. Amy's extra training is paying off as her pitching speed and accuracy is developing positively.
5. Amy's short term goal is to play her best at the International Youth Cup in July and learn from the international experience and from her coaches. Amy is also aiming to be selected into the New Zealand Junior White Sox team.
6. Amy will work her two part time jobs to save money for her trip away and had also applied to one other funding agency for a small amount of funding. Amy's parents will provide the financial support that is outstanding.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

AMY ROSE BEGG	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares and insurance	\$ 765
Accommodation / Meals	\$ 850
Misc	\$ 55
Ground Transport	\$ 185
Uniform and Training Apparel	\$ 345
Total Cost	\$ 2,200
Amount Requested	\$ 2,000

8. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding.

Do the Recommendations of the Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

10. CONT'D

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of the report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$500 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme Fund to Amy Rose Begg as a contribution towards her trip to Sydney to attend the Softball Australia International Youth Cup in July 2012.

11. APPLICATION TO THE RICcarton WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – SOPHIE MARIE SHINGLETON

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Sophie Marie Shingleton, is a 17 year old who lives in Avonhead and is seeking Community Board support to travel to Bulgaria to represent New Zealand and compete at the Under 18 Junior World Rowing Championships. The trip will take place from 14 to 19 August 2012.
3. Sophie began rowing in 2007 as a member of the Villa Maria College team and continued to row under Villa Maria for four years. After a dedicated winter in 2011 where Sophie worked extremely hard with her coaches to correct some technical difficulties, her efforts rewarded her with many successes at South Island and New Zealand National Championship regattas.
4. From here Sophie was selected into the Southern Regional Performance Programme and New Zealand Junior Rowing Team in 2012. Selection in this team will mean relocation to Lake Karapiro for an extensive eight week training programme prior to travelling to Bulgaria.
5. Sophie's short term goals include winning a gold medal at the Junior World Championships and gaining selection in future New Zealand Under 23 and Senior New Zealand rowing teams and her long term goal is to compete at the Olympic Games.
6. To date Sophie has raised \$2,000 for her trip and has indicated that the Canterbury Rowing Association will be holding a fundraising dinner to support all Canterbury rowers competing. Rowing New Zealand will also be contributing \$2,000 to each New Zealand athlete and Sophie's parents will provide the financial support that is outstanding.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

SOPHIE MARIE SHINGLETON	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Relocation / Rent / Karapiro training expenses	\$ 2,500
International Airfares and transfers	\$ 3,756
Accommodation / Meals	\$ 5,060
Travel Insurance	\$ 92 00
Team Uniform	\$ 914.50
Vehicles / Boat Transport	\$ 200
Total Cost	\$ 12,522.50
Support from Rowing New Zealand	\$ 2,000
Canterbury Sports Foundation	\$ 2,000
Outstanding Athlete Contribution	\$ 8,522.50

8. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding.

Do the Recommendations of the Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

11. CONT'D

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of the report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$600 to Sophie Marie Shingleton as a contribution towards her trip to Bulgaria to attend the Under 18 Junior World Rowing Championships in August 2012 from the 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

12. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2012/13 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – ALY ANN GRANT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Aly Ann Grant, is a 22 year old who lives in Ilam and is seeking Community Board support to travel to Auckland to represent Canterbury and compete at the National Women's Ice Hockey Championships. The trip will take place from 31 August – 2 September 2012.
3. Aly has been involved in Ice Hockey for over ten years and she has seen the sport continue to grow in popularity in New Zealand. The National team are competitive on the international stage at the tier two level and will hopefully continue to improve with further inclusion at international competitions.
4. This is Aly's first selection into the Canterbury Women's team and she sees it as a great opportunity to further develop her skills to a higher level. Aly also recognises that Ice Hockey could be another option for youth to get involved in, another reason to try and increase its profile in New Zealand.
5. Aly's other interests include running, hiking, camping, travelling and youth work.
6. Aly has only just been informed of her selection, so has not raised any funds to date. The team though will be involved in several fundraising opportunities over the next few months to assist with their expenses.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

ALY ANN GRANT	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Ice Time Expenses and Registration Fees	\$ 455
Airfares	\$ 300
Accommodation / Meals	\$ 150
Entry Fee / Ground Transport	\$ 130
Team Uniform	\$ 110
Total Cost	\$ 1,145
Amount Requested	\$ 500

8. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding.

Do the Recommendations of the Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

12. CONT'D

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of the report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$350 to Aly Ann Grant as a contribution towards her trip to Auckland to attend the National Women's Ice Hockey Championships in late August 2012 from the 2012/13 Youth Development Scheme.

13. NAZARETH AVENUE/ MAGDALA PLACE INTERSECTION - PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer – Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to approve a No Stopping Restriction at the intersection of Nazareth Avenue and Magdala Place.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Council have received a request for the installation of a No Stopping restriction at 2 Nazareth Avenue, which is a corner site at the intersection of Magdala Place and Nazareth Avenue. The request stated that commuter vehicles are being parked on-street between the intersection and the site's access point so close that there are difficulties manoeuvring heavy vehicles to and from the site. The occupiers of 2 Nazareth Avenue have stated that they have had to turn trucks away to return at night because cars parked on-street prevented access by large vehicles.
3. The site is zoned Business 5 which anticipates industrial and commercial activities that involve a high proportion of heavy traffic. Both Nazareth Avenue and Magdala Place are classified as Local Roads under the Christchurch City Plan. The main function of these roads is to provide access to local businesses within the area, which are a mixture of commercial and industrial activities.
4. There are no No Stopping restrictions at the Nazareth Avenue / Magdala Place intersection or along the 2 Nazareth Avenue frontage currently. Historically this has not been an issue because on-street parking demands had been low. However, with increased commercial activity in the area as a result of the earthquakes, there is now pressure on available kerbside parking spaces, causing drivers to park closer to intersections than desirable.
5. Staff investigating this request confirm that heavy vehicle access is impeded therefore it is proposed that a No Stopping restriction be installed to ensure that on-street parking does not occur within areas needed for heavy vehicle manoeuvring in this location (see **Attachment 1**).
6. Several on-street parking spaces would be removed by the proposal on the 2 Nazareth Avenue frontage. It is considered that the proposed No Stopping restriction on the 1 Nazareth Avenue frontage does not reduce kerbside parking because parking is not intended to occur in the area that it covers.
7. The occupiers of 2 Nazareth Avenue have been informed of the proposal and support it. No other properties are directly affected by this proposal.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$100.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of road markings is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The installation of any markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.
11. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.

13. CONT'D

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. The occupiers of 2 Nazareth Avenue have been informed of the proposal and support it. No other properties are directly affected by this proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended the Board approve:

That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north west side of Magdala Place commencing at its intersection with Nazareth Avenue and extending in a south westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north west side of Magdala Place commencing at its intersection with Nazareth Avenue and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north east side of Nazareth Avenue commencing at its intersection with Magdala Place and extending in a north western direction for a distance of 35 metres.
- (c) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south west side of Nazareth Avenue commencing at its intersection with Magdala Place and extending in a north western direction for a distance of 18 metres.

14. EDMONTON ROAD PROPOSED 30 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to install a P30 Parking Restriction on Edmonton Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Edmonton Road is classified as a local road under the Christchurch City Plan and has a primary function of providing property access to the underlying Business 5 (General Industrial) zoned land. This includes access for all vehicle types including heavy vehicles.
3. The Council have received a request from the café operator located at 29 Edmonton Road to install a time limited parking restriction outside their site so as to enable trucks to stop along the road frontage.
4. The existing road environment provides unrestricted parking along both sides of Edmonton Road. This results in high, all day kerbside parking demand during weekday periods and has become particularly noticeable following recent earthquake activity in the city which has resulted in the migration of more intensive business activities to these industrial areas in the west.
5. Installing the proposed P30 parking restriction outside 29 Edmonton Road will ensure moderate turn-over that will be of benefit to the adjoining businesses. It will also be available for other nearby businesses and their clients. Providing a P30 parking restriction for a distance of 32 metres (the entire length between the vehicle crossings of 27 and 29 Edmonton Street) will also enable a truck to safely park.
6. On 9 May 2012, staff discussed the proposal with the occupiers of 29 Edmonton Road. Their original preference was for P10 parking, however following discussion with them it was suggested that P30 would have wider benefits not only for themselves but for other nearby businesses. They agreed. Given that the parking restriction does not extend past any other properties, no other property is considered to be directly affected by the proposal.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is \$300.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
11. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As above.

14. CONT'D

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council Road Safety Strategy 2004 and Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. On 9 May 2012 staff discussed the proposal with the occupiers of 29 Edmonton Road. Their original preference was for P10 parking, however following discussion with them it was suggested that P30 would have wider benefits not only for themselves but for other nearby land use activities. They agreed. Given that the parking restriction does not extend past any other properties, no other property is considered to be directly affected by the proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south-east side of Edmonton Road commencing at a point 89 metres north-east of its intersection with Yukon Place and extending in a north-east direction for a distance of 32 metres.

15. PARKSTONE AVENUE/AVONHEAD ROAD - PROPOSED GIVE WAY CONTROL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer – Transport

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval for a Give Way control against Parkstone Avenue at its intersection with Avonhead Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Council has received a request from a resident after the resident had a near miss traffic incident at the Avonhead Road/Parkstone Avenue intersection and has raised a concern with the intersection layout. A Give Way control is proposed to remedy this issue (refer **Attachment 1**).
3. Both Avonhead Road and Parkstone Avenue are classified as Collector Roads under the City Plan. Avonhead Road carried some 7,000 vehicles per day and Parkstone Avenue carries some 2,000 vehicles per day. The intersection of Avonhead Road and Parkstone Avenue is an uncontrolled T intersection, with Avonhead Road forming the top of the T.
4. Staff investigating this incident made day and night time visits to the intersection and confirm that in some circumstances this intersection may appear deceptive.
5. There is a Right of Way serving six properties directly opposite the Parkstone Avenue approach to its intersection with Avonhead Road. At night, there is a privately owned lamp post that illuminates the Right of Way which can cause it to appear to be a continuation of Parkstone Avenue. This could be potentially dangerous because the intersection is uncontrolled and any driver travelling along Parkstone Avenue that perceives the Right of Way as the continuation of the street is at risk of being hit by vehicles travelling along Avonhead Road.
6. Installing a Give Way control against Parkstone Avenue would greatly improve the safety concern outlined above, firstly by confirming to drivers that they are approaching an intersection and secondly by ensuring drivers slow down sufficiently to observe that the Right of Way is not a continuation of Parkstone Avenue.
7. Investigations by Council staff of available sight lines confirm that a Give Way control is the appropriate form of control for this intersection.
8. The proposed Give Way control does not affect on-street parking and hence there is no impact on neighbouring residents.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$400.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. The installation of signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for Community Boards includes the resolution of traffic control devices.
12. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

15. CONT'D

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council Road Safety Strategy 2004.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. No consultation was undertaken as this is a safety issue and there are no impacts of this proposal on residents because the proposal does not affect on-street parking.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approves a Give Way control be placed against Parkstone Avenue at its intersection with Avonhead Road.

16. APPLICATION TO RICcarton/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD 2012/13 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – TEMPLETON SWIMMING CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager Carolyn Gallagher
Assessment undertaken by:	Lisa Gregory

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider Templeton Swimming Club's application of \$15,000 for funding from its 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Budget provision is in the LTCCP and is currently under review in the 2012/13 Annual Plan.

The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.

3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:

(a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;

(b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and

(c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: *"Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."*

6. Based on this criteria, the application from Templeton Swimming Club Inc for Club squad lane hire expenses is eligible for funding.
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (Attachment 1)

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. Budget provision is in the LTCCP and is currently under review in the 2012/13 Annual Plan.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

16. CONT'D

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve a grant of \$12,000 from its 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund to Templeton Swimming Club Inc for club squad lane hire expenses.

17. APPLICATION TO THE RICcarton/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD 2012/13 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – ST COLUMBIA’S ANGLICAN CHURCH

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Assessment undertaken by:	Denise Galloway

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider St Columba's Anglican Church application for funding of \$4,833 for the Roof Replacement Project for St Aidans Church from the Riccarton/Wigram 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund.
2. The Board considered this application at its 17 April 2012 meeting and resolved to lay the matter on the table until clarification is received on the structural integrity of the building and the church's future intention for retaining the building. The information has now been received and is found in **Attachment 2**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. In 2012/13, the total budget available for allocation in the Riccarton/Wigram Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. Further funding of \$15,172 has been added to this amount as a result of an under spend from the Metropolitan Small Grants Fund. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
4. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
5. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
6. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: "*Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c).*"

7. Based on this criteria, the application from St Columba's Anglican Church for Roof replacement for St Aidans Church is eligible for funding.
8. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (**Attachment 1**).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. There is currently \$51,197 unallocated in the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

17. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve a grant of \$4,000 from the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board 2012/13 Discretionary Response Fund to St Columba's Anglican Church towards the replacement of St Aidan's Church roof.

18. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

18.1 Dumphries Drive Parking

18.2 Update on Earthquake Recovery Discussions

18.3 Proposed Brothels (Locations and Commercial Sexual Services Signage Bylaw 2012 Submission)

18.4 Draft Board Objectives

19. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

20. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS