

# SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

# AGENDA

# TUESDAY 3 MAY 2011 AT 5.00PM

# IN THE BOARDROOM, BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE 66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

**Community Board:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Barry Corbett, Paul McMahon, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett, Mike Thorley and Sue Wells.

#### **Community Board Adviser**

Jenny Hughey Telephone: 941-5108 Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

# PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

- PART B REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C DELEGATED DECISIONS
- INDEX CLAUSE
- PART B 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES 18 FEBRUARY 2011
- PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 3.1 Jen Crawford representing St Martins New World
- PART B 4. PETITIONS
- PART B 5. NOTICES OF MOTION
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 7. BRIEFINGS
- PART C 8. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION PIA HULLEY
- PART C 9. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION BRADLEY APPS
- PART A 10. ELECTED MEMBERS' REMUNERATION 2011/12
- PART C 11. CRICHTON TERRACE PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS
- PART C 12. ALBERT TERRACE PROPOSED P3 PARKING RESTRICTION
- PART C 13. HALSWELL ROAD PROPOSED BUS STOP RELOCATION
- PART C 14. HASTINGS STREET WEST PROPOSED RESIDENTS ONLY PARKING SPACE
- PART C 15. RESILIENT FUTURES CONFERENCE APPROVAL FOR ATTENDANCE

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

- 2 -

- INDEX CLAUSE
- PART B16.COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE17.1Board meeting dates
- PART B 17. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- PART B 18. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

# 1. APOLOGIES

# 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 18 FEBRUARY 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Friday 18 February 2011 are **attached**.

# CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's meeting of 18 February 2011 be **confirmed**.

# 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 Jen Crawford, lawyer at Anderson Lloyd Limited representing St Martins New World Supermarket will inform the Board on safety aspects of the planning proposal for the rebuild of the Supermarket following the earthquake.

# 4. PETITIONS

# 5. NOTICES OF MOTION

The following Notice of Motion was submitted by Karolin Potter.

"That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board recommend to the Council that in the event of another civil emergency the Council put its citizens at the centre of its considerations and actions and therefore:

- For staff not in their own building because of the emergency there be designated buildings to go to that are not buildings currently serving the public such as the South Service Centre;
- That normal services such as libraries open to the public as soon as in practicable and not be removed from public use because of requirements to house displaced Council staff;
- That Community Boards meet (at the normal meeting place if at all possible) as soon as possible after the civil emergency and assume roles in their own wards in serving the public and meeting the needs of the rate payers;

To this end I further move that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board hold a special meeting to review the role of the Community Board in the emergencies of the past eight months with a view to establishing specific tasks that the Board can assume in serving its community in a civil emergency".

# 6. CORRESPONDENCE

A letter received from Hardings Chemist and Post, Colombo Street offers suggestions regarding access to the new development located at 137 to 145 Colombo Street. (**Circulated separately**).

# 7. BRIEFINGS

Ian Whitehead, Southern Area Recreation Manager will update the Board on local on facilities and the Pioneer learn to swim pool progress.

# 8. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – PIA HULLEY

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services DDI 941-8607 |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Officer responsible:         | Recreation and Sport Unit Manager                |  |
| Author:                      | Sarah Benton, Community Recreation Adviser       |  |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Board an application for funding assistance from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Funding is being sought by Pia Hulley, 14 year old from Sydenham, to compete at the Australian Age (swimming) Championships in Adelaide from 16 25 April 2011.
- 3. The following table details event expenses and funding requested for Pia Hulley:

| EXPENSES                              | Cost (NZ \$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Accommodation                         | \$650        |
| Airfares                              | \$750        |
| Ground Transport                      | \$100        |
| Food                                  | \$500        |
| Insurance                             | \$50         |
| Entries                               | \$135        |
| Managers Cost (shared by 21)          | \$154        |
| Total Cost                            | \$2,339      |
| Amount raised (as a team, per person) | \$150        |
| Amount requested                      | \$500        |

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4. Pia has never received funding from the Spreydon/ Heathcote Youth Achievement scheme.
- 5. There is currently a balance of \$4,450 available in the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes see page 184, regarding Board funding.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

7. There are no legal issues to be considered.

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. Not applicable.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Yes.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes, Community Grants (pg 176), Strengthening Communities (pg 172), and Recreation and Sports Services (pg 108).

#### ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

- 11. Application aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy, Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.
- 12. Application also aligns with the following Spreydon/ Heathcote Community Board Objectives: 'Increased participation of Spreydon/Heathcote residents in local and city-wide recreation events/ programmes.'

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. Not applicable.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board allocate Pia Hulley \$350 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to assist her to compete at the Australian Age (swimming) Championships in Adelaide from 16 – 25 April 2011.

#### CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

# BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT

#### Pia Hulley

- 14. Pia attends Cashmere High School where she is a representative on the student council. For the last three years she has been swimming for her club, Aquagym and more recently, for her school. She trains 11 times a week for over 20 hours in the pool and the gym. She believes this has paid off as she is ranked number one in New Zealand for her age in backstroke (50m, 100m, 200m) and fourth in 100m free and fly. Last year she won five gold medals for Cashmere High at the South Island Secondary Schools competition.
- 15. Pia has met the qualifying standards to attend the Australian Age Swimming Championships. Her club will be taking 14 swimmers, two coaches and a manager to the event. This is the highest calibre age group swimming event in the Southern Hemisphere and Pia sees this as an opportunity to test herself against the best in this region. Pia aims to represent New Zealand at the Olympics and understands the importance of getting international experience.
- 16. Pia is involved in many fundraising activities with the team including selling kindling, running sausage sizzles, pruning trees and a garage sale.

# 9. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING APPLICATION – BRADLEY APPS

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services DDI 941-8607 |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Officer responsible:         | Recreation and Sport Unit Manager                |  |
| Author:                      | Sarah Benton, Community Recreation Adviser       |  |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present to the Board an application for funding assistance from the Spreydon/Heathcote 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Funding is being sought by Bradley Apps, 15 year old from Beckenham, to represent New Zealand Ice Hockey at the U18 International Ice Hockey Federation World Championship, Division 2, in Romania from 11 – 25 March 2011.
- 3. Bradley was advised of his selection on 15 December 2010 and has had little time to fundraise or apply for other funding. He has also been required to attend two training camps in January 2011 in preparation for the competition.
- 4. The following table details event expenses and funding requested for Bradley Apps:

| EXPENSES  | Cost (NZ \$) |
|---|--------------|
| Airfares  | \$2,500      |
| Uniforms  | \$581        |
| Accommodation, Ground Transport, and Training camp. | \$2,000      |
| Total Cost  | \$5,081      |
| Amount raised                                       | \$300        |
| Sport Canterbury (pending)                          | \$500        |
| Amount requested                                    | \$500        |

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5. Bradley received \$300 from the 2008/09 Spreydon/Heathcote Youth Achievement scheme to attend the Ice Hockey friendship tournament in Japan.
- 6. There is currently a balance of \$4,450 available in the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes see page 184, regarding Board funding.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. There are no legal issues to be considered.

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Not applicable.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Yes.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, Community Grants (pg 176), Strengthening Communities (pg 172), and Recreation and Sports Services (pg 108).

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

- 12. Application aligns with the Strengthening Communities Strategy, Youth Strategy and the Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy.
- 13. Application also aligns with the following Spreydon/ Heathcote Community Board Objectives: 'Increased participation of Spreydon/Heathcote residents in local and city-wide recreation events/ programmes'.

#### CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board allocate Bradley Apps \$500 from the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme fund to assist him to represent New Zealand Ice Hockey at the U18 International Ice Hockey Federation World Championship, Division 2, in Romania from 19 – 25 March 2011.

#### CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

# BACKGROUND OF APPLICANT

#### Bradley Apps

- 15. Bradley attends Cashmere High School where he is year 11. He has represented Canterbury in Ice Hockey for the last five years and was selected for the New Zealand under 16 team in 2009 and 2010. Bradley loves sport, he also runs and bikes to maintain his fitness for Ice Hockey.
- 16. There will be 21 competitors travelling to Romania for the World Championships. Bradley has been selected amongst nine other Canterbury players who represent the largest regional contingent.
- 17. Six countries will compete in the under 18 World Championships in Division two and the winner will be promoted to Division one. The New Zealand under 18 team has been in Division three for several years and was promoted to Division two in March last year when they won gold at the World Championships in Mexico. New Zealand will play five games over six days which is preceded by a training camp also in Romania.
- 18. Bradley works part-time at a local pharmacy and has run two sausage sizzles to fundraise for this trip. He has also applied to Sport Canterbury for assistance.

# 10. ELECTED MEMBERS' REMUNERATION 2011/12

| General Manager responsible: General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462 |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| Officer responsible:   | Democracy Services Manager |
| Authors:   | Lisa Goodman               |

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to enable the Council to formulate a proposal to be submitted to the Remuneration Authority for the payment of remuneration to elected members for the twelve month period beginning 1 July 2011.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The Remuneration Authority has advised that the remuneration pool for the elected members of the Christchurch City Council and its eight community boards for the 2011/12 year has been fixed at \$1,510,828. This is an increase of \$38,705 in the size of the pool (approximately 2%) compared to this current financial year, which is \$1,472,123.
- 3. The pool excludes the Mayor's gross salary of \$168,700 which has already been fixed by the Remuneration Authority. This has not been increased.
- 4. Based on the rules and principles set by the Remuneration Authority, the Council is now required to determine how it proposes to allocate the pool amongst the 53 elected members (Councillors and Community Board members) for the 2011/2012 financial year and, once decided by the Council, submit its proposal to the Remuneration Authority for approval. That approval must be given before the Council can implement its proposed remuneration structure.
- 5. Given that:
  - (a) the Remuneration Authority has recently confirmed its views on the remuneration ratio between Councillors and Community Board members, including a distinction between metropolitan and rural Community Boards, and
  - (b) the Council has only recently discussed this remuneration ratio on 10 April 2011 when submitting a proposal to the Authority for the remuneration for the balance of this current financial year,

it is proposed that the remuneration levels for the Deputy Mayor, Councillors, Community Board Chairs and remaining Community Board members be continued at the same ratios as those for the 2010/11 year, and that the increase of \$38,705 in the size of the pool be allocated on a prorata basis across all elected member roles.

6. All Community Boards have been consulted on the contents of this report.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. With the increase in the pool there will be an increase in overall remuneration for elected members of \$47,588; comprising the \$38,705 increase as well as an additional \$8,883 to meet the increase in community board remuneration from outside the pool. Provision for this increase will be made in the draft 2011/12 Annual Plan.

#### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. The principal statutory provisions which apply in this instance are the Seventh Schedule of the Local Government Act 2002, and the Remuneration Authority Act 1977. Once this Council's 2011/12 remuneration proposal (or any variation thereof) has been approved by the Remuneration Authority, it will be gazetted via the Local Government Elected Members' Determination 2012.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. Page 156 of the LTCCP, level of service under Democracy and Governance refers.

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

10. Not applicable.

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 11. The Council's proposal for remuneration must be received by the Remuneration Authority no later than May 2011 so that the Authority can issue its Determination prior to 1 July 2011. This has meant there has been sufficient time to consult with all Community Boards and seek their views which will be included in this report when it is submitted to the Council.
- 12. In submitting its proposal to the Remuneration Authority, the Council is required to notify the Authority of:
  - (a) details of any dissent at Council; and
  - (b) details of any dissent from its community boards.
- 13. Any person (including individual community boards) also has the ability to express any opposing views they might have on the Council's final proposal direct to the Remuneration Authority. Although there is no set closing date for the lodging of such submissions with the Authority, they should be lodged as soon as possible after the Council has reached a final decision on its preferred remuneration structure, as the Authority intends to deal with each application within a relatively short time-frame.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- 14. It is recommended that the Council:
  - (a) Adopt the salary only model as its basis of remuneration for elected members of the Christchurch City Council for the remainder of the 2011/12 financial year.

Note: The remuneration framework requires all community board members to be paid an annual salary (i.e. there is no provision for the payment of meeting fees to community board members).

- (b) Agree to submit the proposal set out in paragraph 27 of this report to the Remuneration Authority, which provides for 2010/11 remuneration levels and ratios to be applied for 2011/12, with the increase in the pool's size of \$38,705 and associated increase of \$8,883 from outside the pool to be allocated on the 2010/11 pro-rata basis across all elected member salaries (with the exception of the Mayor).
- (c) Note that the Remuneration Authority must be advised of any dissent expressed by members of the Council or its Community Boards in relation to the Council's final proposal.

# CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

# BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

# **Remuneration Framework**

- 15. The Remuneration Authority is responsible for setting the salaries of elected local government representatives (clause 6 of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002 refers).
- 16. A brief summary of the remuneration framework and the rules and principles under which the Remuneration Authority works is attached as **Attachment One.**
- 17. The indicative pool for Christchurch City Council elected member remuneration in the remainder of 2011/2012 is \$1,510,828. This is for the total remuneration for the Deputy Mayor and Councillors, and 50 per cent of the total remuneration paid to elected Community Board members (excluding Councillors as they have been appointed by the Council to community boards). Fifty per cent of the total remuneration paid to elected community board members is paid outside the pool.
- 18. Only one salary is payable to elected members. Thus, a Councillor who serves as an appointed member of a Community Board is paid a Councillor's salary only, and receives no additional payment for serving on the Community Board.
- 19. Directors' fees paid to Councillors who serve as directors of Council-controlled organisations cannot be taken into account when considering Councillors' remuneration. The directors' fees paid to such Councillors reflect their service as directors of the companies concerned, rather than their role as Councillors.
- 20. The Mayor's salary is set independently by the Remuneration Authority, and is not included within the pool. Where a Mayor has partial or full private use of a car provided by the Council (as is the case in Christchurch), the Mayor's gross salary is reduced by an amount which reflects both the extent of private use and the value of the car supplied.
- 21. Although it is possible for the Council to recommend the payment of a mixture of salary and meeting fees to Councillors, community board members must be paid on a salary only basis, without meeting fees.
- 22. Christchurch City Council has had a salary only basis for remuneration of all its elected members since 2004.

# **Current Remuneration Levels**

23. The salaries that currently apply to Christchurch City Council elected members for the 2010/11 year (excluding the Mayor) are:

| Position              | Total Positions | Individual Salary | Totals      |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Deputy Mayor          | 1               | \$99,571          | \$99,571    |
|                       |                 |                   |             |
| Councillors           | 12              | \$86,249          | \$1,034,988 |
| Total Councillors     |                 |                   |             |
| salaries              | 13              |                   | \$1,134,559 |
|                       |                 |                   |             |
| City CB Chairs        | 6               | \$24,270          | \$145,620   |
| BP CB Chairs          | 2               | \$16,018          | \$32,036    |
| City CB members       | 24              | \$16,989          | \$407,736   |
| BP CB members         | 8               | \$11,216          | \$89,728    |
| Total CB salaries     | 40              |                   | \$675,120   |
| less 50% outside pool |                 |                   | \$337,560   |
| Total paid from pool  |                 |                   | \$1,472,119 |

. . .

24. The proportions in percentage terms of the current 2010/11 Determination as set by the Remuneration Authority are:

| Deputy Mayor                          |                                   |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Councillors x 12                      | (86.62% of Deputy Mayor's salary) |
| City Community Board Chairs x 6       | (28.14% of Councillors)           |
| City Community Board members x 24     | (70% of City Board Chair)         |
| Peninsula Community Board Chairs x 2  | (66% of City Board Chair          |
| Peninsula Community Board members x 8 | (70.02% of Peninsula Board Chair) |
|                                       |                                   |

- 25. Factors underlying the rationale given previously by the Remuneration Authority in 2007 for approving the above ratio between Councillors and Community Boards, and Deputy Mayor and Councillors, are as follows:
  - (a) The size, complexity and in particular the accountability of the Councillors' role, especially compared to that of the members of Community Boards
  - (b) Maintaining a margin between the remuneration of the Deputy Mayor and that of a Councillor
  - (c) City Community Board Chairs maintaining relativity with other urban Community Board Chairs
  - (d) Maintaining a 70 per cent relationship between the remuneration of Community Board members and that of the Board Chairs
  - (e) The remuneration for Chairs of the Peninsula Community Boards is well above the norm for chairs of rural community boards, but as part of Christchurch City there is a wider role for both the chairs and members, and a corresponding extra time commitment, which may not be faced by members of other rural community boards.
- 26. In a letter to the Council dated 2 March 2011, responding to the Council's proposal for 2010/11 remuneration that was adopted at the Council meeting of 10 February 2011, the Remuneration Authority has confirmed its views on the differing levels of remuneration (see **Attachment Two**).

# **Proposed New Remuneration Levels**

27. There are many possible options that can be provided on this topic (such as a mix of salary and meeting fees and other differences between elected members). Given the points outlined in paragraphs 25 and 26 above and that elected members have discussed these issues within the past three months, it is proposed that the 2010/11 relativities between elected members be continued for the next financial year, with the increase in the pool allocated on a pro-rata basis resulting in the following adjustments:

|                       | Total Positions | Individual Salary | Totals      |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Deputy Mayor          | 1               | \$102,190         | \$102,190   |
|                       |                 |                   |             |
| Councillors           | 12              | \$88,517          | \$1,062,204 |
| Total Councillors     |                 |                   |             |
| salaries              | 13              |                   | \$1,164,394 |
|                       |                 |                   |             |
| City CB Chairs        | 6               | \$24,909          | \$149,454   |
| BP CB Chairs          | 2               | \$16,440          | \$32,880    |
| City CB members       | 24              | \$17,436          | \$418,464   |
| BP CB members         | 8               | \$11,511          | \$92,088    |
| Total CB salaries     | 40              |                   | \$692,886   |
| less 50% outside pool |                 |                   | \$346,443   |
| Total paid from pool  |                 |                   | \$1,510,837 |

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Agenda 3 May 2011

# 3. 5. 2011

- 12 -

# 11. CRICHTON TERRACE - PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, City Environment, DDI 941-8608            |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Officer responsible:         | Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace                     |  |
| Author:                      | Sonia Pollard, Traffic Engineer – Transport and Greenspace |  |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Crichton Terrace adjacent to the bowling club.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Council staff have received a request from residents that additional no stopping restrictions be installed on Crichton Terrace. (Refer to **Attachment 1**).
- 3. Crichton Terrace is a local urban road with a road width varying between 6.8 metres to 5.5 metres at the location of the proposed no stopping restriction. As per the City Plan the minimum roadway width for a local urban road is 7.5 metres. Crichton Terrace was built prior to the current City Plan and the existing road width is less than the minimum outlined in the City Plan. There is no footpath in this area.
- 4. There is currently a no stopping restriction which extends for 19 metres around the bend on the west side of Crichton Terrace. This was installed in 2002 in response to complaints regarding vehicles parked on the bend making the road hard to negotiate.
- 5. There is a bowling club and tennis club situated in this area with the entrance to the bowling club on Crichton Terrace. On tournament days traffic build up is increased with vehicles parking as close to the entrance as possible. This reduces the available carriageway, causing safety issues for motorists and other road users such as pedestrians and cyclists.
- 6. Parking in this area is obstructing traffic lanes and increasing the level of congestion on the road. It is also decreasing the visibility of, and for, pedestrians, and affecting the ease of movement for residents and pedestrians. Safety of all road users should be given priority at all times and in order to improve safety in this area it is recommended that an area of no stopping be installed on the east side of Crichton Terrace. This will improve safety and improve traffic flow where parked vehicles would otherwise impede traffic flow or obscure visibility. In addition, two angle parking spaces will be removed (refer to the **attached plan**) outside the bowling club where the road is particularly narrow. The bowling club have agreed to this proposal.
- 7. This is a safety issue so information leaflets were distributed to residents located near this proposal and the Cashmere Residents' Association. This was discussed with the resident raising the issue and the Cashmere Bowling Club and all contacted agree with the proposal.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$200.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of signs and roadmarkings are covered by Transport and Greenspace Operational budgets.

#### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.

- 11. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
- 12. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. As above.

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001 and the Road Safety Strategy 2004.

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 17. This is deemed a safety issue so an information leaflet was distributed to local residents in the area, the Cashmere Residents' Association and the Community Board Adviser. A number of residents contacted the council to discuss this proposal following the distribution of the initial information leaflet. In response to the feedback from residents the proposal was amended so that the existing no stopping restriction on the west side of Crichton Terrace is retained, and that the proposed no stopping length be reduced. An amended plan was then distributed to residents, who offered support for the new proposal.
- 19. The Officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon /Heathcote Community Board:

Retain the following parking restrictions:

(a) That the existing parking restriction on the west side of Crichton Terrace commencing at a point 176 metres south from its intersection with Cashmere Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 19 metres be retained.

Approve the following on Crichton Terrace:

(b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Crichton Terrace commencing at a point 155 metres southwest from its intersection with Cashmere Road and extending in a predominantly southerly direction for a distance of 116 metres.

#### CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

- 14 -

# 12. ALBERT TERRACE – PROPOSED P3 PARKING RESTRICTION

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608 |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Officer responsible:         | Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace         |  |
| Author:                      | Sonia Pollard- Traffic Engineer Transport      |  |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that a P3 Parking Restriction be installed on the west side of Albert Terrace.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Council staff received a request from St Martins School that parking restrictions on Albert Terrace be improved to better meet the schools needs. There is currently a P5 loading zone outside the school. It is proposed to replace the P5 loading zone with a P3 parking restriction. Refer to Attachment 1.
- 3. St Martins School is located on Albert Terrace, which is classified as a local road. As part of the traffic management in this area there is a Kea crossing, areas of no parking, a P5 loading zone area, and a school bus stop located on Roscoe Street.
- 4. In November 2010, Council staff met with the school to discuss possible improvements to the school's travel plans. As a result of these discussions, it is proposed that an existing area of P5 parking be changed to P3 parking, now standard outside of schools. These restrictions will apply from 8.15am to 9.15am and 2.30pm to 3.30pm, school days only.
- 5. This area is on the school frontage and the proposed area is already restricted so no further consultation was carried out.
- 6. The installation of P3 parking restrictions has proved successful as the most efficient way of utilising parking outside schools and ensuring the highest turn over. The proposed P3 parking restriction will ensure that this area is only used as a drop off and pick up zone.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$250.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. The installation of signs is covered by Transport and Greenspace Operational budgets.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

- 9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
- 10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
- 11. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/ or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. As above.

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003.

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

15. As above.

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 16. The proposal relates to an area that was previously resolved as a P5 loading zone. As the proposal will have no effect on residents no further consultation has taken place.
- 17. The school supports this proposal.
- 18. The Opawa/St Martins Residents' Association have been made aware of this proposal.
- 19. The Officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/ Heathcote Board:

- (a) Revoke the following parking restrictions:
  - (i) That any existing parking restriction on the western side of Albert Terrace commencing at a point 207.5 metres south of its intersection with Centaurus Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 21 metres be revoked.
- (b) Approve the following on Albert Terrace:
  - (ii) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of three minutes on the western side of Albert Terrace commencing at a point 207.5 metres south of its intersection with Centaurus Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 21 metres. This restriction is to apply from 8.15am to 9.15am and 2.30pm to 3.30pm, School Days Only.

# CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

- 16 -

# 13. HALSWELL ROAD - PROPOSED BUS STOP RELOCATION

| General Manager responsible: General Manager City Environment, DDI 941- 8608 |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Officer responsible:   | Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace |
| Author:  | Sonia Pollard, Traffic Engineer       |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval that a bus stop be relocated on the north-west side of Halswell Road and a new bus shelter be installed.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Council staff are initiating moving the current bus stop on the north west side of Halswell Road outside Spreydon School nearer to its intersection with Hoon Hay Road (refer **Attachment 1**).
- 3. Following a request from the public to review parking in this area a site visit revealed that the best option to optimise parking would be to relocate the current bus stop 25 metres closer to Hoon Hay Road, increasing parking in this area by three spaces, as the lead into the new bus stop can utilise existing vehicle crossings.
- 4. The new bus stop will be installed to meet the Bus Stop Guidelines introduced in February 2009 to allow for the safe movement of the new larger buses in and out of bus stops. The guidelines specify an eight metre pull-in area, a minimum 14 metre length of marked bus stop followed by a four metre pull out area, making a 26 metre area in total. The eight metre and four metre areas are indicated by no stopping markings or vehicle entrances in this case for the pull in area. This creates a safer environment and ease of movement for bus drivers, as the vehicle entrances mean the bus has plenty of space to pull into the bus stop whilst the no stopping restrictions in front allows them to merge back into the traffic.
- 5. The current bus shelter is the old style shelter. The shelter will need to be relocated if the bus stop is relocated and therefore should be upgraded to a new shelter. The location for this new shelter is shown on the attached plan. This is to be installed on school property behind the boundary line of the school frontage, which avoids creating a concealed area behind the bus shelter and any restriction to the footpath width.
- 6. This area is on the Spreydon School frontage and the school agrees with and supports this proposal. No other stakeholders are directly affected so no further consultation has been undertaken or is considered necessary.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$600.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Covered by existing unit budgets.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 9. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
- 10. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
- 11. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

12. Under s339 of the Local Government Act 1974 the Council may erect on the footpath of any road a shelter for use by intending public-transport passengers or taxi passengers provided that no such shelter may be erected so as to unreasonably prevent access to any land having a frontage to the road. The Council is required to give notice in writing to the occupier and owner of property likely to be injuriously affected by the erection of the shelter, and shall not proceed with the erection of the shelter until after the expiration of the time for objecting against the proposal or, in the event of an objection, until after the objection has been determined. As the school has agreed for the installation of the bus shelter on their land this requirement is satisfied.

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. In terms of section 11 as the school has agreed for the installation of the bus shelter on their land this does not apply.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. As above.

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Pedestrian Strategy 2001, and the Road Safety Strategy 2004.

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As above.

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 18. Spreydon School as the only directly affected party have agreed to this proposal.
- 19. The Officer in Charge- Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

- (a) Revoke the following on Halswell Road:
  - (i) The existing Bus Stop located on the north-west side of Halswell Road commencing at a point 63 metres north-east from its intersection with Hoon Hay Road and extending in a north-easterly direction for a distance of 16.5 metres.
- (b) Approve the following on Halswell Road:
  - (i) That a bus stop be installed on the north-west side of Halswell Road commencing at a point 38 metres north-east from its intersection with Hoon Hay Road and extending in a north-easterly direction for a distance of 25 metres.

# CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

# - 18 -

# 14. HASTINGS STREET WEST - PROPOSED RESIDENTS ONLY PARKING SPACE

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, City Environment, DDI 941-8606 |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Officer responsible:         | Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace          |  |
| Author:                      | Traffic Engineer - Transport, Steve Dejong      |  |

# PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board's approval to install a residents only parking space outside number 20 Hastings Street West.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Council has received a written request from the residents who are also the property owners of 20 Hastings Street West requesting a residents only parking space to be established outside their property as they are unable to provide off street parking on their property (refer **Attachment 2**).
- 3. The residents of 20 Hastings Street West have owned the property since 2003 and have lived at the address for the last two and a half years. Over this time they have found it increasingly difficult to obtain kerbside parking close to their dwelling as retail activity in the vicinity intensifies and more of the older neighbouring dwellings are demolished and replaced with multi unit town houses.
- 4. 20 Hastings Street West is situated 100 metres east of Colombo Street and 30 metres from the retail outlets situated at this corner. It is a historic workers cottage located only 3.5 metres from the street frontage and one metre off the eastern boundary. As the dwelling is built on the western boundary there is no access along this side of the property (refer **Attachment 1**).
- 5. Staff investigated this request and confirmed that it meets all the criteria required for the installation of a kerbside "Residents Only" parking space as set out in Council policy. The property has no existing off street parking or the ability to provide any due to the proximity of the dwelling to the street frontage.
- 6. The residents of 20 Hastings Street West often have to park some distance from their dwelling due to vehicles belonging to workers in the immediate area and Sydenham being parked all day in their street. The Residents Only Parking Scheme was initially introduced to encourage the preservation of older historic dwellings of this type within the inner city.
- 7. Consultation was initiated with property owners and tenants in the immediate area with a plan, explanation letter and a voting form being delivered. Five response returns were received; all of these were in support of the residents at 20 Hastings Street West being provided with a residents only parking space. The Residents' Association for the area has been in recession for some time now.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$230.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.

- 19 -

# 14 Cont'd

- 11. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
- 12. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As above.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. As Above

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendation aligns with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As above

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

- 18. Consultation was initiated with property owners and tenants in the immediate area with a plan, explanation letter and a voting form being delivered. Five response returns were received; all of these were in support of the residents at 20 Hastings Street West being provided with a residents only parking space.
- 19. The Spreydon Residents' Group is presently in recession, however documentation was also delivered to the last known address of the contact person but there has been no response to date.
- 20. The Officer in Charge Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon / Heathcote Community Board approve that:

(a) A "Residents Only" parking space is installed on the south side of Hastings Street West commencing at a point 105 metres east of its Colombo Street intersection and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of five metres and be operative at all times.

# CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

# 3 .5. 2011

#### - 20 -

# 15. RESILIENT FUTURES CONFERENCE – APPROVAL FOR ATTENDANCE

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462 |
|------------------------------|---|
| Officer responsible:         | Democracy Services Manager                                    |
| Author:                      | Jenny Hughey, Community Board Adviser                         |

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek retrospective approval for the funding of those Board Members who attended the Resilient Futures Conference held at Lincoln College on 18 April 2011.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. The Resilient Futures Conference was for attendees to hear from people who have worked on disaster recovery in places like Kobe, New Orleans, Chile and Haiti. Issues covered included mitigating hazards and regulating land use in geologically hazardous areas, humanitarian assistance for earthquakes and green recovery and reconstruction.
- 3. Information gained from the conference will be valuable for elected members participating in the earthquake recovery process for Christchurch.
- 4. There was insufficient time from when details of the conference were received, until it was convened, to be able to obtain prior approval from the Board for the members attendance.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The cost of the conference was \$74 plus GST per person. There is sufficient funding in the Board's operational budget to cover the costs of those who attended.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, provision for elected member training is made in the LTCCP, specifically under the Elected Member Representation activity.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

# Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes, there are no legal implications.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

8. Not applicable.

# ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

# Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

9. Not applicable.

# CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board retrospectively approve the attendance of the Board members who attended the Resilient Futures Conference held at Lincoln College on 18 April 2011.

- 21 -

# 16. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

16.1 Board meeting dates

# 17. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The purpose of this exchange is to provide a short brief to other members on activities that have been attended or to provide information in general that is beneficial to all members.

# 18. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS



# SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

# **CONTINUATION AGENDA**

# TUESDAY 3 MAY 2011 AT 5.00PM

# IN THE BOARDROOM, BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE 66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

**Community Board:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Barry Corbett, Paul McMahon, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett, Mike Thorley and Sue Wells.

# **Community Board Adviser**

Jenny Hughey Telephone: 941-5108 Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
- PART B REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C DELEGATED DECISIONS
- INDEX CLAUSE
- PART C 19. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SPREYDON YOUTH COMMUNITY TRUST
- PART C 20. REQUEST FOR FUNDING FROM SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND AND YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME
  - CHURCH ARMY CANTERBURY KIDS COACH
  - ADDINGTON COMMUNITY HOUSE INC
  - SOUTH CHRISTCHURCH COMMUNITY GARDENS TRUST
  - GAPFILLER
  - RACHEL HAMILTON

# 19. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – SPREYDON YOUTH COMMUNITY TRUST

| General Manager responsible: | General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607 |
|------------------------------|---|
| Officer responsible:         | John Filsell, Unit Manager                              |
| Author:                      | Sarah Benton, Community Recreation Advisor              |

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon Heathcote Community Board to consider an application for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from the Spreydon Youth Community Trust (SYC) for \$5,000 for a KiwiSport Coordinator project.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Spreydon Heathcote Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
- 3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
- 4. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
- 5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
  - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
  - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
  - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: "Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."

- 6. Based on this criteria, the application from Spreydon Youth Community Trust for a KiwiSport Coordinator is eligible for funding.
- 7. Just before the February quake the Spreydon Youth Community Trust (SYC) submitted an application for funding from the Spreydon/ Heathcote Discretionary Response fund. This is for assistance with establishing a KiwiSport Coordinator position to develop sport opportunities for children attending five local primary schools. The project is already supported by Sport Canterbury, local schools and community groups.
- 8. Since late 2010 the Community Recreation Advisor and the Recreation and Sports Unit (RSU) have provided advice and financial support to help SYC develop a plan for this project. Funding from RSU and community collaborators including, Spreydon Baptist Church, SHARP and the schools, enabled SYC to employ the KiwiSport Coordinator in early February. Around the time of the quake Sport Canterbury confirmed its significant contribution to the project. The project requires further funding of \$8,280 to continue to develop and fulfil its strategic plan for 2011.
- 9. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix. (Attachment 1).

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. There is currently \$35,524 remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

#### LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

12. There are no legal considerations.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

#### ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Refer to the Decision Matrix (Attachment 1).

#### CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not applicable.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon Heathcote Community Board approve a grant of \$5,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Spreydon Youth Community Trust for the KiwiSport Coordinator project towards staff and volunteer costs.

#### CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION

# 3. 5. 2011

#### - 41 -

# 20. REQUEST FOR FUNDING FROM SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND AND YOUTH ACHIEVEMENT SCHEME

| General Manager responsible: | Community Services General Manager   |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Officer responsible:         | Community Support Manager            |
| Author:                      | Jenny Hughey Community Board Adviser |

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider a number of applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund and its 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme, from the following organisations and one individual as follows:
  - an application for \$2,000 for the Church Army Canterbury Kids Coach charitable towards the cost of the children's programme resource costs.
  - an application for \$2,000 for the Addington Community House Inc towards the costs of hosting community wellbeing meetings.
  - an application for \$5,000 for the South Christchurch Community Gardens Trust towards administration and staff resource costs.
  - an application for \$500 for the Gapfiller organisation towards post earthquake activities in the ward.
  - an application from Rachel Hamilton for funding of \$500 towards assisting with her competing at the World Irish Dancing Championships in Dublin in April 2011.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# Canterbury Kids Coach – Hoon Hay / Rowley Families

- 2. Louise Weller runs this successful alternative out of school project for Rowley/Hoon Hay families. The programme involves collecting young children on a bus from their own streets between home and school, and engaging them in on board activities. More recently, Louise has taken on another part time worker who supports the parents through a young mothers group and home visits.
- 3. After the September earthquake, Louise realised that there was a local need to extend the bus programme through the Christmas period. She applied to Ministry of Social Development (MSD) for response assistance funding and was refused. She managed to have this decision reviewed, but was turned down for a second time. Despite this the group met local needs by continuing the programme for 105 local families through the holiday period.
- 4. Just before the February quake Louise submitted a \$2,000 small grant application to Council for programme resource costs. Staff talked with Louise 14 April 2011, and found that the budget for the Kids Coach programme is in urgent need of replenishment. The group was given an earthquake donation of \$1,000 from the United Kingdom which will be needed for the family support wages component.
- 5. Registration Delivery Activity Summary:
  - Values Programme on Tuesdays and Thursdays on board our bus, based in two streets for a six month period, for school aged children. 3pm-5pm.
  - Ukulele lessons on board the bus every Wednesday for school aged children. 3pm–5pm.
  - Womens Group every Thursday morning at Rowley Resource Centre, 10am-12 noon.
  - X-Site after school programme every Friday 3pm–5pm.
  - Family Visiting Mondays 10am–5pm and whenever needed. The group is on call 24 hours.
  - Involvement with other community groups working in the Rowley suburb.

# Addington Community House (Manuka Cottage) – Addington Wellbeing Meetings

- 6. The Family and Community Division of Anglican Care has recently employed a new Community Development Worker based at Manuka cottage, utilising Strengthening Communities 2010/11 funds. Community Development worker, Cherylan Davies is initiating a stakeholder consultation project for two reasons: firstly because the group's core committee has agreed that the cottage operations need to broaden focus around Addington, and secondly because there is concern for the vulnerable population in the local area given swift and major changes taking place as a result of the February earthquake.
- 7. The intention is to begin with a workshop of 15 or more local stakeholders that work in part or wholly to improve the wellbeing of the Addington community. This includes a mix of community development groups, service providers, and churches. The objective will be to explore current changes, identify where gaps and opportunities lie, and how these issues may be addressed. Further workshops, meetings, and communications will follow as appropriate, including scope for new players and relocated organisations to become involved.
- 8. Two result areas are envisaged: direction for Manuka Cottage based community development activities, and new or refreshed collaborations by existing and potentially new participants seeking community wellbeing outcomes.
- Funding support of \$2,000 is sought towards the record keeping, communications, and venue expenses involved. Facilitation for the first meeting will be provided by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Development Adviser and the Manager of The Family and Community Division of Anglican Care.

# South Christchurch Community Gardens Trust – Administration Support

- 10. The Trust Manager, Christine Blance, located at the Strickland Street community garden site, had prepared a Discretionary Response Fund application before the 22 February 2011 earthquake. It was a request for \$8,000 to top up operating funds to September 2011.
- 11. The Trust faces a budget shortfall as a result of less funding available to community groups from funding bodies, while staff hours required to deliver services and programmes has grown.
- 12. An application to Lotteries for \$20,000 was approved, an application for \$15,000 to J R McKenzie Trust was declined, and an application for \$50,000 to the MSD Canterbury Earthquake Response Fund resulted in a \$5,000 grant. The current Council grant of \$25,000 to wards manager wages is the third of a three year commitment.
- 13. The Trust attracts 2,000 hours of voluntary work from over 50 participants per annum. The local community benefits from access to the organic garden experience, cheap produce, recycling disposals, and regular public social events. People referred from social service agencies and educational institutions benefit from therapeutic activity and learning on site. Recent responses to local needs includes support to social housing gardener residents (McGibbon Place), supporting a social worker on placement and employment of a subsidised maintenance worker undertaking national level horticultural training. An organisation development workshop for the Trust is planned for the near future.
- 14. After discussions with the manager on 14 April 2011, the requested amount is now reduced to \$5,000, to be used for public relations newsletter and pamphlet production and dissemination, and worker management costs.

# Collaboration between Addington Action and Gapfiller

15. The Board has received a request for funding assistance from the organisation Gapfiller to assist with funding of a collaboration between Addington Action and Gapfiller as an urgent matter following the February 22 earthquake.

16. A line-up of local Christchurch bands and activities for the whole family will be provided at a post earthquake gap filler experience. This will include an evening of film and live music at 276 Colombo Street, Beckenham, next to Smiths Mitre 10.

# Youth Achievement Fund application

- 17. The Board has received an urgent request for funding assistance from Rachel Hamilton a 16 year old girl who has qualified for the World Irish Dancing Championships in 2011 to be held Dublin in April 2011 following the 22 February earthquake.
- 18. This is her eighth year of Irish dancing; she dances with the O'Neill School of Irish Dance. In 2009 Rachel competed at the World championships in America qualifying to dance solo. In the teams section her team won a medal, coming 27th out of 99 teams from throughout the world. This year she is one of seven dancers from her dance school who are competing in the Worlds'.
- 19. Rachel Hamilton spent the summer holidays fundraising and training for her trip. She raised close to \$2,000 by busking (dancing) in the Square unfortunately this source of revenue came to an end because of the Buskers Festival and then the earthquake and at weddings. This amount is still at least \$500 short of her airfares.
- 20. Rachel is also part of a group which has fundraised holding community events (eg New Year's Day gala day at Spencer Park) and raffles.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

21. There is currently \$35,524 remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary respond Fund and \$4,450 remaining in the Board's in the 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme.

# Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

22. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

# LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

23. There are no legal considerations.

# ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

24. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

# Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

25. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

- (a) approve a grant of \$2,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to The Church Army Canterbury Kids Coach Charitable Trust to be allocated for the children's programme resources.
- (b) approve a grant of \$2,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Addington Community House Inc towards the costs of hosting community wellbeing meetings.

- (c) approve a grant of \$2,000 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the South Christchurch Community Gardens Trust towards administration and staff resource costs.
- (d) allocate \$500 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund towards funding of the Gapfiller activities in this ward.
- (e) allocate \$500 from its 2010/11 Youth Achievement Scheme to assist Rachel Hamilton to compete at the World Irish Dancing Championships in Dublin in April 2011.

# CHAIRPERSONS RECOMMENDATION