

**FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

NOTE:

Meeting cancelled due to
Earthquake on Monday
13 June 2011.

All reports referred to the
Extraordinary Meeting
held on **22 June** which
replaced this meeting.

TUESDAY 14 JUNE 2011

AT 4PM

**IN MEETING ROOM 3
FENDALTON SERVICE CENTRE
CORNER JEFFREYS AND CLYDE ROADS**

Community Board: Simon Carter (Chairperson), Cheryl Colley (Deputy Chairperson), Sally Buck, Faimeh Burke,
David C. Wright, Jamie Gough and David Halstead.

Community Board Adviser

Edwina Cordwell

Phone 941 6728 DDI

Email: edwina.cordwell@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- | | | |
|--------|-----|---|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 7 JUNE 2011 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
3.1 Simon Carter – Elmwood Bowling Club |
| PART B | 4. | PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICE OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS
7.1 Cameron Scott - Disability Advisory Group (DAG) |
| PART C | 8. | 35 MINERS ROAD - LICENCE FOR GRAVEL EXTRACTION |
| PART C | 9. | APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – OLA RATKA |
| PART C | 10. | APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – AMY GORE |
| PART C | 11. | APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME –
SELENA METHERELL |
| PART C | 12. | APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD'S 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND |
| PART C | 13. | STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011 REPORT |

14. 6. 2011

- 2 -

INDEX	PG NO		
PART C	25	14.	FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS
PART B	32	15.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE
		15.1	Current Issues
		15.2	Board funding Update for 2010/11
		15.3	Customer Services Request Report for May 2011
PART B	32	16.	ELECTED MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE
PART B	32	17.	QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

1. APOLOGIES

Faimah Burke, Cheryl Colley and Sally Buck.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 7 JUNE 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 7 June 2011 are **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 SIMON CARTER

Simon Carter will be in attendance to speak to members in support of the funding application for Elmwood Bowling Club. Refer clause 11.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

7.1 CAMERON SCOTT – DISABILITY ADVISORY GROUP (DAG)

Cameron Scott, Metropolitan Community Adviser Disability, and a member of the Disability Advisory Group will provide a briefing about the group, what they can offer the Council and some of the areas they are working in.

7.2 CERA (CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY AUTHORITY)

Staff from CERA will provide an update on upcoming community meetings.

8. 35 MINERS ROAD - LICENCE FOR GRAVEL EXTRACTION

General Manager responsible:	City Environment Group General Manager DDI 941 8656
Officer responsible:	Acting Unit Manager Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Justin Sims, Property Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to the granting of a Licence for gravel extraction from a reserve vested in Council at 35 Miners Road.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Fulton Hogan operate quarries in Hasketts Road and Miners Road. The demand for aggregates following the recent earthquakes has increased and they are therefore seeking new land to quarry to fulfil requirements.
3. 35 Miners Road is located on the opposite side of Miners Road to their existing quarry. The property is surrounded by land owned by Fulton Hogan and would therefore not require bunds on three sides of the property. It is therefore considered that a licence to Fulton Hogan would result in the largest amount of aggregates being removed with the highest amount of royalties being paid to Council.
4. A valuation of the appropriate royalties rate is currently being completed by an independent registered valuer and the licensee has agreed to pay the rate that is arrived at by them.
5. The property was vested in Council as a Gravel Pit administered under the requirements of the Reserves Act 1977 and the use is therefore consistent with the originally intended purpose.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The Royalty rate has been valued by an independent registered valuer and the revenue generated will return to Council.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. No, though the costs of granting the Licence will be off-set by the revenue received.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

8. Yes, the Legal Services Unit has been consulted regarding the Licence agreement and fulfilment of the requirements of the Reserves Act. As the Licence is not being tendered, it cannot be for a term greater than 5 years to adhere to the Public Bodies Leases Act 1969.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

9. No.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

10. Not applicable.

8. Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board adopts a resolution in the following form.

- (a) That the land at 35 Miners Road is Licensed to Fulton Hogan for gravel extraction on a royalties basis for a term of five years.
- (b) The Corporate Support Unit Manager is delegated authority to conclude the Licence agreement with Fulton Hogan.

9. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – OLA RATKA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Ken Howat, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.
2. There is currently \$3,000 in the 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The purpose of the Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Fendalton/Waimairi ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
4. The Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - **Personal Development and Growth**
For example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - **Representation at Events**
Applicants can apply for assistance if you have been selected to represent your school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
5. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - Age groups 12-20 years.
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application per person permitted per year.
 - Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
 - Successful applicants will be required to attend a Youth Celebration event hosted by the Community Board to report back on their experiences.
6. The applicant is Ola Ratka, a 13 year old Cobham Intermediate student living in Bryndwr .
7. Ola has been selected to represent Canterbury in the New Zealand Junior Table Tennis Championships being held in Auckland in July this year. Ola has been playing table tennis since age 9 and has won numerous age group Canterbury and South Island titles. She has also achieved silver medals in New Zealand and Oceania competitions. Ola is currently a member of the New Zealand Under 15 squad.
8. In April this year Ola represented New Zealand at the ITTF Global Junior and Cadet Tournament in Guam. Following the New Zealand Junior Championships in Auckland, Ola will compete in South Island Open, the Canterbury Open, followed by the New Zealand Open. If selected, Ola will also be competing in the Australian Junior Open in October this year.
9. Ola has been identified as an emerging talent and the president of Table Tennis Canterbury believes she has the mental discipline and commitment to become internationally competitive. However to reach this standard requires substantial international travel to train and compete an elite level. This cost is met by players and their families.

9. Cont'd

10. Ola's long term goals are to represent New Zealand in the Junior Olympic Games in China in 2014, Commonwealth Games in Scotland in 2014 and the Olympic Games in Brazil in 2016.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. The total cost to attend the Auckland tournament is \$650 which covers air fares, accommodation and entry fee.
12. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

13. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board make a grant of \$200 to Ola Ratka towards costs of competing in the New Zealand Junior Table Tennis Championships in Auckland.

10. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – AMY GORE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Ken Howat, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.
2. There is currently \$3,000 in the 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The purpose of the Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Fendalton/Waimairi ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
4. The Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - **Personal Development and Growth**
For example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - **Representation at Events**
Applicants can apply for assistance if you have been selected to represent your school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
5. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - Age groups 12-20 years.
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application per person permitted per year.
 - Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
 - Successful applicants will be required to attend a Youth Celebration event hosted by the Community Board to report back on their experiences.
6. The applicant is Amy Gore, a 14 year Christchurch Girls High School student living in Ilam.
7. Amy has been selected for the New Zealand Under 15 Girls Water Polo team to compete in a Trans Tasman tournament in July. The tournament is being held in Brisbane from 6 – 10 July and will be against Australian state teams. The build up to the tournament will include two training camps held in Auckland which is an additional cost that team members must meet.
8. Selection for the team involved two trials held in Auckland from 26 February this year. Despite her family home sustaining considerable damage in the earthquake and QE11 no longer available for training, Amy has remained focussed on achieving her goal of selection for this team.
9. Amy credits her passion for water polo to Fendalton Primary School where she was introduced to flipper ball in 2005. Since that time Amy has been a member of the QE11 Crushers Water Polo Club and has competed in regularly in South Island and National tournaments.
10. Amy's goal is to represent New Zealand in the Junior World Championships in 2014.

10. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. The total cost to attend the Brisbane tournament is \$2,200 which covers air fares, accommodation and entry fee. Amy has been actively fundraising through commission sales and has a baby sitting job.
12. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

13. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

14. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

15. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

16. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

17. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board makes a grant of \$300 to Amy Gore towards the costs of competing in the Trans Tasman Water Polo Tournament.

11. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – SELENA METHERELL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Ken Howat, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.
2. There is currently \$3,000 in the 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. The purpose of the Youth Development Scheme is to celebrate and support young people living in the Fendalton/Waimairi ward by providing financial assistance for their development. The Board also seeks to acknowledge young people's effort, achievement and potential excellence in the community.
4. The Youth Development Scheme will consider applications for the following activities:
 - **Personal Development and Growth**
For example leadership training, career development, Outward Bound, Spirit of Adventure, extra curricular educational opportunities.
 - **Representation at Events**
Applicants can apply for assistance if you have been selected to represent your school, team or community at a local, national or international event or competition. This includes sporting, cultural and community events.
5. The following eligibility criteria must be met:
 - Age groups 12-20 years.
 - Projects must have obvious benefits for the young person and if possible the wider community.
 - Only one application per person permitted per year.
 - Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Community Board support.
 - Successful applicants will be required to attend a Youth Celebration event hosted by the Community Board to report back on their experiences.
6. The applicant is Selena Metherell, a 17 year Unlimited Paenga Tawhiti student living in Fendalton.
7. Selena has been selected for the New Zealand Junior Orienteering Team to compete at the Junior World Championships in Poland in July this year. Selena has been described as a remarkable all round athlete having been successful in several different sporting codes including triathlon, cycling and athletics. However orienteering is her passion, where she has achieved successes at national and international level over the past three years.
8. Selena's results from the 2008, 2009, 2010 Australian Championships, and the 2010 Junior World Championships held in Denmark, have been the catalyst for selection in this year's team. Following the championships in Poland, Selena and the team will be travelling to Finland to compete in a five day international event, then on to Slovakia for a training camp. The training camp will give the team experience on terrain that will be similar to next year's Junior World Championships.

11. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The total cost to attend the tournament in Poland is \$7,700, which covers air fares, accommodation, travel insurance, uniforms and entry fee. With a regular calendar of national and international events Selena and her family are regularly fundraising through dinners, commission sales and Selena works part time tutoring maths, baby sitting and works in café.
10. This is the second time that the applicant has applied to the Board for financial support. In 2009 the board granted Selena \$400 to compete in the Australian Secondary schools Orienteering Championships.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme which was established as part of the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

13. Aligns with page 170 LTCCP, regarding Community Board Project funding.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

14. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

15. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

16. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

17. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board make a grant of \$400 to Selena Metherell towards the costs of competing in the Junior World Orienteering Championships in Poland.

12. APPLICATIONS TO BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Maryanne Lomax, Community Development Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from the following community organisations:
 - The Elmwood Club (**Attachment 1**)
 - Young Men's Christian Association of Christchurch (YMCA Bishopdale) (**Attachment 2**)
 - Merivale Precinct Society (**Attachment 3**)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Fendalton/Waimairi Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. There is currently \$32,178 remaining in this fund.
4. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
5. At the Council meeting of 22 April 2010, Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
6. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

Council also made a note that: "*Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c).*"
7. Based on this criteria, all of the requests covered in this report are eligible for funding.
8. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrices.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. There is currently \$32,178 remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. There are no legal considerations.

12. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, Community Support pages 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Refer to the attached Decision Matrix.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board:

- (a) Approve a grant of \$12,000 from the 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to The Elmwood Club for the Elmwood Club Administrator project;
- (b) Approve a grant of \$10,000 from the 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Young Men's Christian Association of Christchurch for the YMCA Bishopdale Coaches project;
- (c) Approve a grant of \$10,000 from 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the Merivale Precinct Society for the Elmwood War Memorial Restoration project.

13. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011 REPORT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Nicola Martin, Community Funding Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to consider whether they wish to recommend any Key Local Projects to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In a public excluded workshop, held on 17 May 2011, the Board considered the issue of Key Local Projects for 2011/12.
3. As part of the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme, each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
4. The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:
 - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
 - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
 - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;

AND

 - Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area;
 - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
5. In addition, staff recommendations for Key Local Projects are also based on whether the project meets the following criteria:
 - The organisation undertaking the project has a proven track record with the Council in providing a high quality level of service;
 - Significantly contributes towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.
6. In 2010/11, the Board recommended no local projects for consideration as a KLP.
7. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that should be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as Key Local Projects for 2011/12. (**Attachment 1**)
8. Staff recommend that no projects be recommended from the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board as KLPs for 2011/12.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. In 2011/12, the draft annual plan includes \$238,918 for the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

13. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch Community Boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board do not recommend any projects to be considered as Key Local Projects for the 2011-12 Strengthening Communities Fund.

13. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

16. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures, which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), subsequently renamed Key Local Projects (KLPs).
17. Each Board may nominate (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
18. The agreed process to determine if a "local" funding application should be processed as a KLP is detailed as bullet point 16 in the report that was adopted by the Council on 4 October, 2007:

The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
- Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
- Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
- AND
- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
- Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

19. The process for considering KLPs is as follows:
 - (i) Community Boards nominate and priorities their KLPs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
 - (ii) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLPs.
 - (iii) Successful KLPs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
 - (iv) Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
20. Community Boards are advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding call on the Board for that project.
21. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the "funding constraints" criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the 4 October 2007 report which states that *"Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level"*.
22. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2011/12.
23. Staff recommend that the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board do not recommend any projects to be considered as Key Local Projects for the 2011-12 Strengthening Communities Fund.
24. Attached is a list of all applications to the Fendalton/Waimairi Board Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 (**Attachment 1**).

14. FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services Group 941.8607
Officer responsible:	Manager Community Support Unit
Author:	Nicola Martin, Community Funding Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board to agree to the projects that will be put forward on behalf of the Board to the Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.
2. The Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund decision meeting is scheduled for the 16 August 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. Attached to this report is a table that outlines potential projects that the Board may wish to put forward for consideration for the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund (**Attachment 1**). These were discussed by the Board in a workshop earlier in the year. These projects have been agreed as part of Unit work programmes.
4. As a result of the earthquake, it may be that the Boards priorities for projects have altered. This meeting is the opportunity to update these projects.
5. Also attached is a list of local Board projects that have received funding from the Board in the three previous funding rounds (**Attachment 2**).
6. Subsequent to the Board identifying which projects it would like to put forward as applications, staff will assess each project and include these on the decision matrix along with the other applications received for Strengthening Communities Fund.
7. Due to the shortened timeframe for the funding process as a result of the earthquake, there will not be a Board Seminar prior to the decision meeting in August. Elected members will be provided with the decision matrix three weeks prior to the decision meeting in order to have time to consider the projects and staff recommendations. This will also allow time for elected members to have questions answered.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

14. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Not required

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in Attachment 1 – Projects to Consider 2011/2012 and approve a list of projects to be submitted as applications to the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund.

14. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

13. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Projects Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme

14. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
 - Support, develop and promote the capacity and sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups
 - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
 - Increase community engagement in local decision making
 - Enhance community and neighbourhood safety
 - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills
 - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation
 - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need

15. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
 - Older Adults
 - Children and Youth
 - People with Disabilities
 - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
 - Disadvantaged and/or Socially Excluded
 - Capacity of Community Organisations
 - Civic Engagement

TIMELINE AND PROCESS

16. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting.

17. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2011.

15. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

15.1 CURRENT ISSUES

Submission on the Annual Plan

The Annual Plan consultation phase is extremely short due to the impact of the February earthquake. The Board's submission will require formal approval. However this will not be achievable under the normal arrangements. It is necessary for the Board to delegate its authority for approving the submission appropriately.

Staff Recommendation

That the Board delegate the Chairperson the authority to approve its Annual Plan submission in order to meet the Council timeframes.

15.2 2010/11 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

Attached

15.3 CUSTOMER SERVICE REQUEST REPORT FOR MAY 2011

Attached

16. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE


17. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS



**Streets Maintenance CSR - By Community Board
comparison of May 2010 and May 2011**

As at 7 June 2011

Call Types	Month	May-10	May-11
GRA	Graffiti	88	69
PAG	Parks General	3	0
PAM	Parks Maintenance	21	25
PKE	Parking Enforcement	18	17
SER	Sewer Reactive Maintenance	8	28
SET	Treatment Plant	0	0
STA	Road Markings	6	2
STB	City Street Bus Stops	1	1
STE	Street Cleaning / Sweeping	158	98
STF	Footpaths	20	13
STL	Street Lights	14	14
STM	Street Maintenance	23	39
STQ	Traffic Engineer Community Enq	8	16
STS	Street Signs	22	24
STW	Pavement Weed Control	0	0
STX	Street Grass Maintenance	8	3
STY	Street Shrubs Maintenance	8	9
TSA	Park Trees	11	22
TSS	Street Trees	40	47
WAQ	Water Quality	0	3
WAR	Water Reactive Maintenance	66	87
WWE	Waterways Environmental Asset	7	12
WWG	Waterways General	4	4
WWU	Waterways Utilities	9	11
Totals:		543	544





CHRISTCHURCH
CITY COUNCIL - YOUR PEOPLE - YOUR CITY

Streets Maintenance CSR - By Community Board comparisons for March to May 2011

As at 7 June 2011

Call Types	Month	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11
GRA	Graffiti	27	36	69
PAG	Parks General	0	1	0
PAM	Parks Maintenance	0	11	25
PKE	Parking Enforcement	0	6	17
SER	Sewer Reactive Maintenance	6	5	28
SET	Treatment Plant	0	0	0
STA	Road Markings	1	1	2
STB	City Street Bus Stops	0	0	1
STE	Street Cleaning / Sweeping	5	30	98
STF	Footpaths	1	7	13
STL	Street Lights	4	19	14
STM	Street Maintenance	2	14	39
STQ	Traffic Engineer Community Enq	0	3	16
STS	Street Signs	2	19	24
STW	Pavement Weed Control	0	0	0
STX	Street Grass Maintenance	2	2	3
STY	Street Shrubs Maintenance	2	1	9
TSA	Park Trees	2	14	22
TSS	Street Trees	13	16	47
WAQ	Water Quality	1	1	3
WAR	Water Reactive Maintenance	0	24	87
WWE	Waterways Environmental Asset	14	5	12
WWG	Waterways General	1	1	4
WWU	Waterways Utilities	0	2	11
Totals:		84	218	544

