

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

MONDAY 13 JUNE 2011

AT 4PM

**IN THE BOARD ROOM
CORNER BERKELEY AND NEW STREETS,
BURWOOD**

Community Board: Stuart Chapman, Tony Baker, David East, Julie Gorman, Glenn Livingstone,
Peter Dow, Chrisie Williams

Community Board Adviser
Peter Dow
Phone 941-5305 DDI
Email: peter.dow@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

| INDEX | PG NO | | |
|--------------|--------------|-----|--|
| PART C | 2 | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2 | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 24 AND 30 MAY 2011 |
| PART B | 6 | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT |
| PART B | 6 | 4. | PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS |
| PART B | 6 | 5. | NOTICES OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6 | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 6 | 7. | BRIEFINGS |
| PART C | 7 | 8. | BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - APPLICATIONS |
| PART C | 13 | 9. | BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS |
| PART C | 24 | 10. | STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011/12 |
| PART B | 33 | 11. | COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE 11.1 Upcoming Board Activities |
| PART B | 33 | 12. | BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS |

13. 6. 2011

- 2 -

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 24 MAY 2011 AND 30 MAY 2011**

The minutes of the Board's extraordinary meeting of 24 May 2011 and the ordinary meeting of 30 May 2011, are **attached**.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS
5. NOTICES OF MOTION
6. CORRESPONDENCE
7. BRIEFINGS

8. BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD 2010/11 DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND - APPLICATIONS

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| General Manager responsible: | Michael Aitken, General Manager Community Services Group, 941-8607 |
| Officer responsible: | Carolyn Gallagher, Community Support Unit Manager |
| Author: | Natalie Dally, Community Development Adviser |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board to consider three applications for funding from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund from:
 - (i) New Brighton Community Gardens for \$1,035;
 - (ii) Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch for \$1,685; and
 - (iii) Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme (PEEEP) for \$400.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In 2010/11, the total pool available for allocation for the Discretionary Response Fund is \$51,197. The Discretionary Response Fund opens each year on 1 July and closes on 30 June the following year, or when all funds are expended.
3. The purpose of the Fund is to assist community groups where the project and funding request falls outside other council funding criteria and/or closing dates. This fund is also for emergency funding for unforeseen situations.
4. At the Council meeting on 22 April 2010, the Council resolved to change the criteria and delegations around the local Discretionary Response Fund.
5. The change in criteria limited the items that the local Discretionary Response Fund does not cover to only:
 - (a) Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled Organisations or Community Boards decisions;
 - (b) Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project; and
 - (c) Projects or initiatives that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council.

The Council also made a note that: "Community Boards can recommend to the Council for consideration grants under (b) and (c)."
6. Based on this criteria, the attached applications from New Brighton Community Gardens, Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch and Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme (PEEEP) are eligible for funding (refer **Attachment 1**).
7. Detailed information on the application and staff comments are included in the attached Decision Matrix (Attachment 1).

Financial Implications

8. There is currently **\$3,640** remaining in the Board's 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund with the combined total requested by the organisations in this report being \$3,120.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 184 of the LTCCP regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

8. Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP and Activity Management Plans, page 172 and 176.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The application from New Brighton Community Gardens aligns with the following Council Strategies and Policies:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Community Gardens Guidelines
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy
- Ageing Together Strategy
- Children's Strategy

The application from New Brighton Community Gardens aligns with the following Burwood/Pegasus Community Board objectives:

- The Board will play an active role in the Burwood/Pegasus ward by acknowledging diverse communities and will contribute to facilitating a vibrant, inclusive and strong community.
- The Board will support and encourage residents to participate in local recreation, leisure and cultural activities.
- The Board will work for the Burwood/Pegasus Ward being a safer place in which our residents live.

14. The application from Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch aligns with the following Council Strategies and Policies:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Safer Christchurch Strategy

The application from Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch aligns with the following Burwood/Pegasus Community Board objectives:

- The Board will work for the Burwood/Pegasus Ward being a safer place in which our residents live.
- The Board will promote local lifelong learning opportunities and recognise achievements.

15. The application from Project Employment and Environmental Programme (PEEEP) aligns with the following Council Strategies and Policies:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Social Wellbeing Policy

The application from Project Employment and Environmental Programme (PEEEP) aligns with the following Burwood/Pegasus Community Board objectives:

- The Board will play an active role in the Burwood/Pegasus ward by acknowledging diverse communities and will contribute to facilitating a vibrant, inclusive and strong community.

8. Cont'd

- The Board will promote local lifelong learning opportunities and recognise achievements.
- The Board is committed to the guardianship of the local environment through advocating for sustainability initiatives particularly those relating to improving the water quality of the Avon/Heathcote Estuary and local waterways.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

- (a) Approve a grant of \$1,035 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to the New Brighton Community Gardens towards their security system.
- (b) Approve a grant of \$1,685 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch towards volunteers attending a training weekend in Dunedin.
- (c) Approve a grant of \$400 from its 2010/11 Discretionary Response Fund to Project Employment and Environmental Programme for it's Winter Wednesday soup day.

2010-11 DRF BURWOOD PEGASUS DECISION MATRIX

Attachment 1 to Clause 8

Priority Rating

| | |
|-------|--|
| One | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding. |
| Two | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding. |
| Three | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding. |
| Four | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding. |

| 00033831 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|----------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|------------|--|---|----------|
| | Burwood/Pegasus Community Watch | Community patrols of New Zealand capacity building/ training seminar attendance Community Watch supports the Police in maintaining a safer community by providing community watch patrols. The organisation want to send two volunteers to the National Training Weekend in Dunedin June 24-26, 2011 . | Staff: 0 Volunteers: 70 Number of participants: 50,000 User fees: Nil Volunteer hours: 80 | CCC funding history (this project only) Nil Other sources of funding (this project only) Nil | \$1,685 | \$1,685 100% percentage requested Contribution sought towards: Costs for volunteers to attend a National Training weekend \$1,685 | \$1685 That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board makes a grant of \$1685.00 to the Burwood Pegasus Community Watch towards Volunteer attendance at National Training. | 1 |

Organisation Details

Service Base: Community Constable's Office, 211A Breezes Road
Council facility: No
Legal Status: Incorporated society
Established: 24/11/99
Staff – paid: 0
Staff – unpaid: 70

Target groups The entire community particularly those in the community who feel vulnerable, attending events and with property.

Networks: Combined Watches of Canterbury, Community Patrols of New Zealand, Police, Residents Associations, Neighbourhood Watch

Audited accounts: 31/08/10

Organisation objectives: To provide support to the New Zealand Police in maintaining a safer community through car watch patrols in Burwood Pegasus.

CCC Funding History

2010/11 - \$2,000 (Administration costs) SGF BP
2008/09 - \$8,000 (Replacement car and uniforms) DRF BP

Other Sources of Funding

Nil

Alignment with Council Strategies

Strengthening Communities Strategy
Safer Christchurch Strategy
Community Board Objectives; 1, 8.

Alignment with Council Funding Outcomes

| | |
|--|-----|
| Foster collaborative responses | Yes |
| Reduce or overcome barriers | Yes |
| Provide community based programmes | Yes |
| Enhance community & neighbourhood safety | Yes |
| Increase community engagement | No |
| Community participation and awareness | Yes |
| Support, develop and promote capacity | Yes |

How much will the project do?

A minimum of two daytime patrols per week
A minimum of five night time patrols per week
100 % of volunteers will be offered at least one training opportunity each
At least two volunteers will go to national training weekend

How will participants be better off?

Communities will feel safer and better able to enjoy living and recreating in their communities.
Police will be supported in maintaining safety in communities and a visual presence.
Volunteers will be better skilled to deal with the special duties and nature of the position through training and support

Staff Assessment

This project is recommended as Priority 1 owing to its alignment with Council outcomes and priorities as well as the significant spread and uniqueness of the project to the ward area.

The Burwood Community Car Watch works in the Burwood Pegasus ward providing community watch patrols. There are 2 - 3 daytime and 6 -7 night time patrols per week. Each patrol is provided by a minimum of two volunteers and for a duration of anywhere between 3-6 hours.

Watch members also deliver notices advising of burglaries to the properties that surround an affected property. These notices advise of the burglary, seek any relevant information that could help the Police and give information about Community Watch and Neighbourhood Support.

Watch patrols also assist by patrolling surrounding streets when there are large events at AMI stadium. These patrols have contributed to the huge reduction in the incidents of stolen vehicles and thefts of cars during these events.

Two volunteers from the organisation will attend the National Training Weekend put on by Community Patrols of New Zealand to be held in Dunedin from June 24-26 this year. The training learned will be brought back to share with the rest of the volunteers and committee as appropriate. This includes volunteer recruitment and retention, courtroom essentials (being prepared for a court appearance as crown witness), observation and reporting skills, communications (Police Communications Centres), self care and psychological counselling for patrollers, an overview of training in obtaining a light motor vehicle driving qualification for patrollers, community policing partnership support and crime science.

This kind of training is particular to this type of organisation and can be best provided by a national organisation in conjunction with the Police due to the nature of the work involved for the mutual safety of the volunteers, organisation and communities they protect.

Burwood Community Car Watch is the only provider of this type of service in Burwood Pegasus and has around 80 volunteers who are trained by the police driving the shifts.

2010-11 DRF BURWOOD PEGASUS DECISION MATRIX

Attachment 1 to Clause 8 Cont'd

| Priority Rating | |
|-----------------|--|
| One | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding. |
| Two | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding. |
| Three | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding. |
| Four | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding. |

| 00032834 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------|---|--|----------|
| | New Brighton Community Gardens Trust | Installation of Security Alarm system Two cases of break-ins and vandalism in December/January 2011 at the gardens saw security lighting, dummy CCTV cameras, window security stays and latches installed. A security consultant advised a monitored security alarm would substantially increase security and provide some deterrence. The project is to increase security at the gardens through installing a Bosch security alarm system. | Staff: 4 Volunteers: 50 Number of participants: 100 regular – 5000 in community User fees: Nil Volunteer hours: 200 | CCC funding history (this project only) 2 Other sources of funding (this project only) Organisation funds on hand \$303 (for alarm monitoring) and any security callouts @ \$59.80 /callout | \$1338 | \$1035 77% percentage requested Contribution sought towards: Installation of a security alarm system. | \$1035 That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board make a grant of \$1035 to the New Brighton Community Gardens towards installing a security system. | 2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|-----|--|-----|-------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|--|
| <p>Organisation Details</p> <p>Service Base: 136 Shaw Avenue, Rawhiti Domain Council facility: Yes – No cost lease pending Legal Status: Trust Established: 09/09/05 Staff – paid: 4 Staff – unpaid: 50 Target groups: The wider community of New Brighton and some from Aranui, and Parklands. Older folk and those caring for children.</p> <p>Networks: New Brighton Strollers, Horizons Care, PEEEP, Work and Income, New Brighton Project, St Andrews Community House.</p> <p>Audited accounts:</p> <p>Organisation objectives: 1. To maintain current opening hours, 10.00am to 4.00pm Monday, Wednesday, and Friday. 2. To support the role of community development through the Gardens and foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need. 3. To provide an abundance of healthy organic food for volunteers- will educate on basic life skills such as gardening, and cooking. 4. To support, develop and promote community classes that enhance the physical, emotional and financial health of the community. Will increase participation in and awareness of such events, classes, and groups that utilise the garden and centre. 5. To become a showcase for sustainability.</p> <p>CCC Funding History</p> <p>2010/11- \$14,198 (Operating Costs) SCF BP 2010/11 - \$ 1,000 (Equipment Purchases) SGF BP 2009/10 - \$ 21,348 (Staff wages and Courses) SCF BP 2009/10 - \$ 2,620 (Trestle tables, Freezer, Vacuum, Equipment and Plants) SGF BP</p> <p>Other Sources of Funding</p> <p>Organisation funds on hand \$303 (for alarm monitoring) and any security callouts @ \$59.80 /callout</p> | <p>Alignment with Council Strategies</p> <p>Strengthening Communities Strategy Community Gardens Guidelines Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy Ageing Together Strategy Children's Strategy Community Board Objectives 1, 2, 7.</p> <p>Alignment with Council Funding Outcomes</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>Foster collaborative responses</td><td>Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Reduce or overcome barriers</td><td>Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Provide community based programmes</td><td>Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Enhance community & neighbourhood safety</td><td>Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Increase community engagement</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr><td>Community participation and awareness</td><td>Yes</td></tr> <tr><td>Support, develop and promote capacity</td><td>Yes</td></tr> </table> <p>How much will the project do?</p> <p>The organisation will be able to continue to open and provide services at least three days per week. To provide a monitored alarm system seven days per week throughout the year.</p> <p>How will participants be better off?</p> <p>The community of people who use the gardens will feel safer and know that their hard work has been acknowledged and allowed to come to fruition through having a security system. The organisation will be able to maintain continuity in service and administration without losing vital equipment and tools for both governance and management uses and using up finances to replace things stolen or damaged that they cannot afford to replace or repair.</p> | Foster collaborative responses | Yes | Reduce or overcome barriers | Yes | Provide community based programmes | Yes | Enhance community & neighbourhood safety | Yes | Increase community engagement | No | Community participation and awareness | Yes | Support, develop and promote capacity | Yes | <p>Staff Assessment</p> <p>The New Brighton Community Gardens are based at Rawhiti Domain off Shaw Avenue in New Brighton and have been operating since 2005. The vision for the gardens is 'A thriving Garden which involves the community in providing a role model for growing organic food in a coastal and sandy environment.'</p> <p>The Gardens attract a wide range of people from the community in New Brighton, Aranui, and Parklands and are open three days a week with over 50 volunteers contributing 200 hours per week.</p> <p>The gardens are also a venue for a 'Sustainable Swap' meeting on Saturdays and the building is available for hire for community use.</p> <p>In addition to providing food and a growing space, the community garden facilitates the enhancement of basic life skills while many older adults and mothers with young children attend for the social interaction. The spin off effects also include enhanced community and neighbourhood safety through neighbourhood connections, providing community based programmes for the people by the people in their backyard and a sense of belonging to the community . There are no barriers to participating when the community get together in a community garden.</p> <p>The Gardens are frequented by a range of visitors such as New Brighton Strollers and Horizons Care (providers for disabled people). They have a long history with PEEPS and provide work bridging and sponsor activities in the community through Work and Income. They also have strong ties with the New Brighton Project and have worked with St Andrews Community House to deliver an exercise programme.</p> <p>Due to their relatively isolated location and profile in the community the gardens have unfortunately been targeted for theft and vandalism on no less than five occasions since 2005. Security has increased over this time but so has the boldness of those breaking in and the impacts of the recession and earthquakes. Having a monitored security alarm is the next step for the organisation in protecting this community asset.</p> <p>This is the only community garden in New Brighton and as more and more community gardens are popping up around local communities many drop into the New Brighton Community Gardens for advice and ideas.</p> |
| Foster collaborative responses | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduce or overcome barriers | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide community based programmes | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhance community & neighbourhood safety | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increase community engagement | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community participation and awareness | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support, develop and promote capacity | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2010-11 DRF BURWOOD PEGASUS DECISION MATRIX

Attachment 1 to Clause 8 Cont'd

Priority Rating

| | |
|-------|--|
| One | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding. |
| Two | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding. |
| Three | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding. |
| Four | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding. |

| 00033829 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|----------|--|---|---|--|------------|---|--|----------|
| | Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | Soup day Wednesday The project works with participants who are long-term unemployed or have a mental illness or some other barrier to employment. Most participants have poor diets and make unhealthy food choices due to limited incomes. | Staff: 7 Volunteers: 11 Number of participants: 40 individuals, 1000 projects and 50,000 in community User fees: Nil Volunteer hours: 165 | CCC funding history (this project only) Nil Other sources of funding (this project only) Organisation Funds on hand \$100 | \$500 | \$400 80% percentage requested Contribution sought towards: Ingredients | \$400 That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board make a grant of \$400.00 to Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement towards the Winter Wednesday Soup Lunch. | 2 |

Organisation Details

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Service Base: | QEII Park |
| Council facility: | Yes. Small rental paid |
| Legal Status: | Charitable Trust |
| Established: | 07/04/94 |
| Staff – paid: | 7 |
| Staff – unpaid: | 11 |
| Target groups | People with disabilities, Community Organisations, |
| Mainstream community | |
| Networks: | Community Gardens throughout Christchurch, Catapult Employment services, New Zealand Spinal Trust, Community Organisations in Burwood Pegasus |
| Audited accounts: | 30/06/10 |

Organisation objectives: To assist individuals to gain employment through the medium of environmental related work and learning activities.
To help create a sense of individual self-sufficiency through education, training, understanding and acceptance.
To assist in, and advocate for, local community environmental projects and activities

CCC Funding History

2010/11 - \$35,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP
2009/10 - \$28,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP

Other Sources of Funding

Organisation Funds on hand \$100

Alignment with Council Strategies

Strengthening Communities Strategy
Social Wellbeing Policy
Community Board Objectives; 2, 4, 8.

Alignment with Council Funding Outcomes

| | |
|--|-----|
| Foster collaborative responses | Yes |
| Reduce or overcome barriers | Yes |
| Provide community based programmes | Yes |
| Enhance community & neighbourhood safety | Yes |
| Increase community engagement | No |
| Community participation and awareness | Yes |
| Support, develop and promote capacity | Yes |

How much will the project do?

Each Wednesday in winter all volunteers/staff will be invited to participate in the making and partaking of a healthy meal.

How will participants be better off?

Participants will be better off for having received at least one healthy meal in their diet for the week and learning how to cook them as well.
This also helps participants to focus and have more energy for the work undertaken.

Staff Assessment

The Project Employment Environmental Enhancement Programme was established in 1992 by the Burwood Pegasus Community Board and local manager of Keep Christchurch Beautiful. The aim of the Trust is for participants to achieve self sufficiency through training and education leading to employment and to provide environmental enhancement of the community.

Project participants are mainly socially or psychologically disadvantaged and achieve their growth and development through a structure and environment similar to a work situation. They are taught basic life skills and responsibilities in addition to carrying out repairs, maintenance and gardening type work for community organisations and environmental projects. Employees of PEEEP supervise the "work" programme at a ratio of 5 participants to one supervisor with up to 15 unemployed participants daily.

Currently 3 employed staff, 11 volunteers and between 30-40 participants undertake around 1000 hours of environmental related charitable work for non profit organisations per year. The organisation is innovative and unique in its philosophy, approach and success rate. It provides a practical method and environmental outcome by which individuals can achieve growth, development, basic life skills and responsibilities, with the potential to become self sufficient and give back to the community through employment and community work.

PEEEP are based at QEII and in spite of the damage after the Earthquakes they are still operating from there without mains power, water or sewerage as the premises have been green stickered. Routine is very important to the participants of the project and the need for the services PEEEP provide has increased slightly. Many of the participants in the programme were in supported accommodation and have slowly been returning to PEEEP as they re-establish their workload in the community sector.

PEEEP staff have seen that most of the participants have poor diets and make unhealthy food choices due to their limited incomes. Every year over the winter period, PEEEP provide a meal for everyone on a Wednesday. Generally this is a huge pot of soup, packed full of vegetables. In doing this, they know that staff and participants are having at least one healthy meal a week. Everyone has the opportunity to be involved in or watch the process increasing their own skills basis. This "community" type meal helps to improve the health, wellbeing and work focus of everyone involved particularly on cold, wet winter's days.

9. BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 – BOARD BIDS

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager Community Services Group, DDI 941-8607 |
| Officer responsible: | Manager Community Support Unit |
| Author: | Nicola Martin, Community Funding Adviser |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board to agree to the projects that will be put forward on behalf of the Board to the Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.
2. The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board's Strengthening Communities Fund decision meeting is scheduled for 15 August 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. Attached to this report is a table that outlines potential projects that the Board may wish to put forward for consideration for the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund (**Attachment 1**). These were discussed by the Board in a workshop earlier in the year. These projects have been agreed as part of Unit work programmes.
4. As a result of the earthquake, it may be that the Board's priorities for projects have altered. This meeting is the opportunity to update these projects.
5. Also attached is a list of local Board projects that have received funding from the Board in the three previous funding rounds (**Attachment 2**).
6. Subsequent to the Board identifying which projects it would like to put forward as applications, staff will assess each project and include these on the decision matrix along with the other applications received for Strengthening Communities Fund.
7. Due to the shortened timeframe for the funding process as a result of the earthquake, there will not be a Board Seminar prior to the decision meeting in August. Elected members will be provided with the decision matrix three weeks prior to the decision meeting in order to have time to consider the projects and staff recommendations. This will also allow time for elected members to have questions answered.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

9. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. The funding allocation process carried out by the community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in Attachment 1 – Projects to Consider 2011/2012, and approve a list of projects to be submitted as applications to the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund.

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

13. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Projects Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme.
14. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
 - Support, develop and promote the capacity and sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups.
 - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events.
 - Increase community engagement in local decision making.
 - Enhance community and neighbourhood safety.
 - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills.
 - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation.
 - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need.
15. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
 - Older Adults
 - Children and Youth
 - People with Disabilities
 - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
 - Disadvantaged and/or Socially Excluded
 - Capacity of Community Organisations
 - Civic Engagement.

TIMELINE AND PROCESS

16. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting.
17. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2011.

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

Attachment 1 – Projects to Consider Burwood/Pegasus 2011/2012

| Council Unit | Project (Brief description) | Amount |
|----------------------------|--|---------|
| Recreation and Sports Unit | <p>Carols in the Community</p> <p>The Community Board has funded this event for several years. The Board allocated \$4,500 towards these events in 2010/11. There were 7 Carols in the Community events for the 2010/11 SCF, therefore a small top-up of funding was required to cover the additional marketing costs.</p> <p>These are free community Christmas events run by local Residents Associations or Community groups/organisations with the support of the Community Recreation Adviser (CRA). Events have historically attracted over 1,500 people and are held in local parks and reserves. The events are run by volunteers and often involve other community groups. The Adviser endeavours to have a good spread of events across the ward but ultimately it is up the groups to apply for funding and run the event on the day. For the past few years there have been between 6-7 events across the ward. The promotion for the events is co-ordinated by the CRA.</p> | \$4,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | <p>Beach Blast</p> <p>The 2011 Beach Blast events were only held at North Beach as the weather and surf conditions were not suitable to run the second event at South Brighton (there was no postponement date for 2011). The North Beach event saw it's best number of participants with over 300 people on the beach and conditions were ideal. The North Beach event was run parallel to a New Zealand Surf Life Saving South Island Inter District competition. This created a fantastic atmosphere. There was plenty of room on the beach for both events although it did create a lot of congestion in the carpark and surrounding streets.</p> <p>The major costs for these events are the grants to the groups to deliver the activities on the day and the marketing costs. If one event was held then a postponement date would be added. However this would not be possible if two events were to be held as many of the clubs are entering into the competition calendars later in January.</p> <p>The focus of the event and the delivery would need to change to cater for an older group of</p> | \$3,000 |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------|
| | <p>participants. From previous discussions with the event partners the younger age group had been the focus with the aim of providing a taster to lead to ongoing participation. However all of the clubs involved have stated that they are at capacity with their junior club members.</p> <p>The Community Board has funded Beach Blast (North) for several years, the funding amount increased in 2006/07 when the event was extended to include a South Brighton event.</p> <p>Due to the strain on the volunteer capacity (ie 30 volunteers per event) of Clubs involved the Adviser is only requesting funding for one event for 2011/12 .</p> <p>These are free community events providing a have-a-go opportunities for a range of beach activities for children aged 8-12 years of age. The events are run in partnership with local sports clubs including Surf Life Saving Clubs, North Wai Boardriders, Canterbury volleyball and Youth Alive Trust.</p> <p>The activities at this event appeal to children and families and provide children with an opportunity to have a go for free at activities they may otherwise not get the chance to partake in. There are also spot prizes, music and free fruit and sausages available throughout the event.</p> <p>This event provide a great opportunity for children to experience new leisure activities and for local clubs to attract new members. It also promotes the beach environment in a positive way and educates the public about resources within their local community.</p> | |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | <p>Family Fishing Day</p> <p>The Pier has a limited capacity to cater to more than 500 participants. The Avon River could cater for more participants easily but does provide as many fish for participants. This event falls outside the Summertime event calendar which is a citywide publication with large distribution and specific website. The total marketing spend for this event is \$1,000.00. The free marketing for this event is via email PDF to networks and school newsletters also free advertising through a CCC homepage web banner, Bethere.co.nz – Children’s Fishing Day event listing and civic foyer screens.</p> | \$2,500 |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| | <p>The Community Board has funded this event for several years, the funding amount has increased slightly over the past few years. This event is run in partnership with Powerhouse Youth Ministries, Pier Liaison Group and several other key stakeholders.</p> <p>It is a free family event providing families with an opportunity to fish together using one of our wards recreational features. Volunteers are available to offer fishing tips and there are also spot prizes, music, free fruit and sausages available throughout the event.</p> <p>Family Fishing Day increases participation in and awareness of fishing and the use of Burwood Pegasus recreational spaces.</p> <p>In 2009/10 the event moved from the Avon River to the New Brighton Pier with the support of the Pier Liaison Group. The event has been held on the twice with good support from the local community.</p> | |
| <p>Recreation and Sports Unit</p> | <p>Skate Jam Thomson Park</p> <p>The Community Board has funded this event for the past 6 years..</p> <p>The Skate Jam free event at Thomson Park is used to promote the use of the extended skate facilities for beginners to intermediate skaters in the ward area. The event is targeted for 12-16 year olds through promotion at local schools, youth groups and through commercial outlets. The event is delivered in partnership with the newly launched Council and Sport Canterbury/SPARC initiative Skate Skool, Cheapskates, Youth Alive Trust and sponsored by 91zm.</p> <p>Skate Jam at Thomson park has become part of a program of skate events run throughout Christchurch. The Skate Skool provides low cost opportunities for children and youth to try skating and blading at school and through afterschool programmes to develop confidence and skills and participate in the Skate Jam series of event occurring across the city. primary provider of skate events in Christchurch is branching away from Cheapskates in order to deliver more events and programs for youth with Sport Canterbury. The Recreation and Sports Unit is working with Sport Canterbury in order to offer youth skate training programs in communities, leading up to events such as Skate Jam.</p> <p>This event has also provided a platform for the</p> | <p>\$4,000</p> |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

| | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| | <p>Council to engage with youth about recreation needs within the ward area. In the past this helped planners with the design and build of the new skate bowl.</p> | |
| <p>Community Support Unit and Recreation and Sports Unit</p> | <p>Youth Development Fund</p> <p>Need for this type of funding has increased over the past 2 years, the 2010/11 fund totalled \$7,500 and was distributed within 6 months. This is a common trend for this fund citywide with some Board allocating up to \$15,000 from either their Strengthening Communities or Discretionary Funding Schemes. The Board may wish to consider reducing the amount of funding given per applicant (previously \$500). The Board may also wish to closely reconsider the current criteria for the fund including options for quarterly funding rounds to ensure the funds are distributed equally throughout the year.</p> <p>The Youth Development Scheme Fund provides small grants to eligible individuals. The purpose of the scheme is to celebrate and support young people living positively in the local community by providing financial assistance for their development. Applications to the fund will be considered in the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educational Studies • Cultural Studies • Representation at Events • Recreational Development • Capacity Building <p>There are several criteria including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicants are to be aged between 12 to 20 years and living in the Burwood/Pegasus ward. • The need for assistance must be proven, e.g. limited income/unwaged. • A subsidy of up to \$500 per application/project is available. • Applications must be received four weeks prior to the project/event taking place. Late applications may be considered if extenuating circumstances can be proven (for example, the late selection to a team). | <p>\$5,000</p> |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicants should be undertaking other fundraising activities and not relying solely on Board support. <p>Applications are presented to the Board in a staff report written either by the Community Development Advisor or the Community Recreation Advisor.</p> | |
| Community Support Unit | <p>Garden Pride Awards</p> <p>This is a partnership between the Christchurch City Council and the Christchurch Beautifying Association (CBA) to acknowledge the work of residential gardeners who, by their efforts, have contributed to Christchurch's garden city image by beautifying their streets and garden frontages.</p> <p>Expenditure will be for certificates, catering, venue hire, photography and other associated administration costs.</p> <p>Judging is undertaken by the Christchurch Beautifying Association in January with a ceremony held in February/March 2012</p> | \$2,250 |
| Community Support Unit | <p>Neighbourhood Week</p> <p>Neighbourhood Week is a week dedicated to getting to know the people who live close to you. It's about bringing people together, whether it's a small get together of a few households or a larger neighbourhood gathering.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Week 2011 will be held over October 29th - November 6th. Each year we average 40 applications with approx 2000 people participating.</p> <p>Those who participate in Neighbourhood Week feel a sense of community with their immediate neighbours, and they feel safer in their homes and with their children playing together in the street.</p> | \$3,500 |
| Community Support Unit | <p>Community Service Awards</p> <p>Community Service Awards are awarded to individuals and/or groups in recognition of significant voluntary service in the B/P ward.</p> <p>The awards are advertised city wide and the Board receives all complying nominations and makes decisions on whom should be invited to receive an award.</p> <p>Expenditure will be for certificates catering,</p> | \$2,750 |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-12 – Board Bids

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------|
| | <p>venue hire, photography, advertising, production of materials, flowers, entertainment and other associated costs.</p> <p>Applications will be called for in February/March with the ceremony held in May/June 2012</p> | |
| Democracy Services Unit | <p>Board Communications with the Burwood/Pegasus community</p> <p>The Board has previously communicated with its community through articles/columns in local newspapers. The cost to produce three articles in the Pegasus Post and the Pegasus Bay News publications during 2010/11 is \$5,000.</p> | \$5,000 |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-2012 – Board Bids

Attachment 2 – Projects funded Burwood/Pegasus 2008-2011

2008/09

| Council Unit | Project | Amount |
|---|--|---------|
| SCF | | |
| Greenspace | Arbor Day | \$1,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Beach Blast Community Events | \$4,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Christmas Carol events | \$4,500 |
| Democracy Services Unit | Community Board Newsletters | \$7,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Community Garden Pride Awards | \$2,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Community Service Awards | \$2,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Family Fishing Day | \$2,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Liaison Network Meetings and Forums | \$2,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Neighbourhood Week | \$3,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Skate Jam | \$4,000 |
| DRF | | |
| Democracy Support Unit | 2009 Ellerslie International Flower Show tickets | \$506 |
| Democracy Services Unit | ANZAC Wreaths | \$360 |
| Community Support Unit | Emergency Response Fund | \$4,000 |
| Greenspace | Hap Hill Memorial Plaque, Bexley Wetlands | \$395 |
| Community Support Unit & Recreation and Sports Unit | Youth Development Fund | \$8,000 |

2009/10

| Council Unit | Project | Amount |
|---|--|---------|
| SCF | | |
| Community Support Unit | Community networking forums/opportunities, older adults information and children/youth workers information/forums/workshop in Burwood Pegasus for 2009-2010 (<i>Year 2 of 3 funding</i>) | \$1,000 |
| Community Support Unit | Awards and Events Programme | \$8,500 |
| Democracy Services Unit | Board Communications with the Burwood/Pegasus Community | \$6,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Carols in the Community | \$4,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Skate Jam '10 | \$4,000 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Family Fishing Day | \$3,000 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Beach Blast North and South | \$4,500 |
| DRF | | |
| Community Support Unit & Recreation and Sports Unit | Youth Development Fund | \$7,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Emergency Response Fund | \$2,750 |

Attachment to Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding 2011-2012 – Board Bids

2010/11

| Council Unit | Project | Amount |
|---|--|---------|
| SCF | | |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Carols in the Community | \$4,000 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Beach Blast North and South | \$4,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Family Fishing Day | \$2,500 |
| Recreation and Sports Unit | Skate Jam Thomson Park | \$4,000 |
| Community Support Unit and Recreation and Sports Unit | Youth Development Fund | \$360 |
| Community Support Unit | Garden Pride Awards | \$2,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Neighbourhood Week | \$3,500 |
| Community Support Unit | Community Service Awards | \$2,500 |
| Democracy Services Unit | Board Communications with the Burwood/Pegasus community | nil |
| DRF | | |
| Community Support Unit and Recreation and Sports Unit | Youth Development Fund | \$7,500 |

10. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2011/12

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| General Manager responsible: | General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607 |
| Officer responsible: | Community Support Unit Manager |
| Author: | Nicola Martin, Community Funding Adviser |

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board to consider whether they wish to recommend any Key Local Projects to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In a public excluded workshop, held on 13 June 2011, the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board considered the issue of Key Local Projects for 2011/2012.
3. As part of the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme, each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
4. The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:
 - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
 - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
 - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;

AND

- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area;
 - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
5. In addition, staff recommendations for Key Local Projects are also based on whether the project meets the following criteria:
 - The organisation undertaking the project has a proven track record with the Council in providing a high quality level of service;
 - Significantly contributes towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.

6. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

| Name of Group | Year/s | Name of Project | Amount |
|--|-----------------------|--|---------------|
| Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | 2010/2011 | Supervision of Referred Participants | 35,000 |
| Aranui Community Trust | 2008/2009 – 2010/2011 | Coordinator wages and Affirm - Year 3 of 3 | 31,000 |

7. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2010/11 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2011/12.

10. Cont'd

8. Staff recommend that the Community Board consider the following projects as KLPs in 2011/12. Attached is a decision matrix that provides information on the projects. (**Attachment 1**)

| Name of Group | Name of Project | Total Project Cost | Amount Requested | Recommendation |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| ACTIS | Community Coordinator wages and 10AFFIRM Festival | 72,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| PEEEP | Staff wages | 96,740 | 35,000 | 35,000 |

Timeline and Process

9. KLPs that are approved by the Board will be put forward to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Funding Committee for consideration at its meeting on 29 July 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. In 2011/12, the Draft Annual Plan includes \$238,918 for the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

12. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

14. The funding allocation process carried out by community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

10. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board recommends:

- (a) The ACTIS project as a Key Local Project to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund.
- (b) The PEEEP project as a Key Local Project to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2011/12 Strengthening Communities Fund.

10. Cont'd

BACKGROUND

16. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures, which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), subsequently renamed Key Local Projects (KLPs).
17. Each Board may nominate (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
18. The agreed process to determine if a "local" funding application should be processed as a KLP is detailed as bullet point 16 in the report that was adopted by the Council on 4 October, 2007:

The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
- Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
- Alignment to local Community Board objectives;

AND

- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
- Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

19. The process for considering KLPs is as follows:
 - (i) Community Boards nominate and priorities their KLPs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
 - (ii) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLPs.
 - (iii) Successful KLPs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
 - (iv) Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
20. Community Boards are advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding call on the Board for that project.
21. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the "funding constraints" criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the 4 October 2007 report which states that *"Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level"*.
22. Previous KLPs for this Community Board are:

| Name of Group | Year/s | Name of Project | Amount |
|--|-----------------------|---|--------|
| Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | 2010/2011 | Supervision of Referred Participants | 35,000 |
| Aranui Community Trust | 2008/2009 – 2010/2011 | Co-ordinator wages and Affirm - Year 3 of 3 | 31,000 |

10. Cont'd

23. All previous funding for KLPs ended in the 2010/11 funding round. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2011/12.
24. Staff recommend that the Community Board nominate the following projects as KLPs in 2011/12. Attached is a Decision Matrix that provides information on the projects (Attachment 1).

| Name of Group | Name of Project | Total Project Cost | Amount Requested | Recommendation |
|---------------|---|--------------------|------------------|----------------|
| ACTIS | Community Coordinator wages and 10AFFIRM Festival | 72,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| PEEEP | Staff wages | 96,740 | 35,000 | 35,000 |

25. Attached is a list of all applications to the Burwood Pegasus Board Strengthening Communities Fund 2011/12 (**Attachment 2**).

2011-12 SCF BURWOOD/PEGASUS DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

| | |
|-------|--|
| One | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding. |
| Two | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding. |
| Three | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding. |
| Four | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding. |

| 00033363 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|------------|--|--|----------|
| | Aranui Community Trust Inc Soc | <p>For costs associated with the Community Coordinator position and 10AFFIRM</p> <p>This contribution will go towards the Community Coordinators position to assist with coordination and collaboration around Housing, Health, Training, Youth and Unemployment issues, Event Management and overseeing the staff and Community Newsletter for the Aranui Community.</p> <p>10AFFIRM the annual Aranui Family Festival: Held annually in December the festival provides the community with educational, entertaining, training and health awareness opportunities in a positive engaging environment. This is the tenth year the Aranui Community Trust has coordinated the well attended community event.</p> | <p>Staff: 6</p> <p>Volunteers: 70</p> <p>Number of participants: 4,500</p> <p>User fees: \$</p> <p>Volunteer hours: 2,500</p> | <p>CCC funding history (this project only)</p> <p>2010/11 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP</p> <p>2009/10 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP</p> <p>2008/09 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP</p> <p>Other sources of funding (this project only)</p> <p>For AFFIRM I also approach for funding Housing New Zealand, Youth Town, Creative New Zealand, CCC,TPK and there will be a contribution from ACTIS.</p> <p>Applications applied for but not granted yet</p> | \$72,000 | <p>\$40,000</p> <p>56% percentage requested</p> <p>Contribution sought towards:</p> <p>Community Coordinator Salary \$30,000</p> <p>10AFFIRM (Annual Aranui Family Festival) \$10,000</p> | <p>\$40,000</p> <p>That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board nominate the Aranui Community Trust as a Key Local Project and recommend funding of \$40,000 from the Metropolitan Funding Committee being \$30,000 towards the community co-ordinators wages and \$10,000 towards the Affirm festival.</p> | 1 |

Organisation Details

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Service Base: | 37-39 Hampshire Street |
| Council facility: | |
| Legal Status: | Incorporated Society |
| Established: | 1/01/2001 |
| Staff – paid: | 6 |
| Staff – unpaid: | 11 |
| Target groups | The Aranui Community as a whole looking to improve, Housing, Health, Training and Employment opportunities. |
| Networks: | ACTIS have networks with at least 60 organisations with thirty to forty service providers operating from the Heartlands Service that the Trust co-ordinates from their premises at 39 Hampshire Street. These networks include Ministry of Social Development, Housing New Zealand Corporation, Christchurch City Council, Health Care Aotearoa, NZ Police, Aranui Schools, Supergrans, Aranui Mission (Salvation Army), Work and Income New Brighton |
| Audited accounts: | 1/05/2010 |
| Organisation objectives: | We work with and for the Aranui Community to enhance the community as a good place to live. We coordinate and collaborate with NGO, local and central government and local organisations to better service our community. We engage with our community to build capacity. |

CCC Funding History

2010/11 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP
 2009/10 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP
 2008/09 - \$31,000.00 (Coordinator Salary & AFFIRM Festival) SCF Met KLP

Other Sources of Funding

For AFFIRM I also approach for funding Housing New Zealand, Youth Town, Creative New Zealand, CCC,TPK and there will be a contribution from ACTIS.

Applications applied for but not granted yet

Alignment with Council Strategies

Strengthening Communities Strategy
 Youth Policy
 Children's Policy

Alignment with Council Funding Outcomes

| | |
|--|-----|
| Foster collaborative responses | Yes |
| Reduce or overcome barriers | Yes |
| Provide community based programmes | No |
| Enhance community & neighbourhood safety | Yes |
| Increase community engagement | Yes |
| Community participation and awareness | Yes |
| Support, develop and promote capacity | Yes |

How much will the project do?

Actis will coordinate over 25 community events this year
 Will work towards improving the primary indicators in 5 identified result areas
 Coordinate regular partner, stakeholder and community meetings

How will participants be better off?

More people in the Aranui Community will participate in activities that strengthen the community. This will be through coordinating a collaborative approach, effecting lasting change in the Aranui community.

Staff Assessment

This project is recommended as a KLP and Priority one as it demonstrates best-practice, collaboration, leadership and innovation, it provides a significant contribution towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities and the organisation and project have proven track records with Council in providing a high quality level of service.

The Trust works towards achieving a community that is; socially and spiritually strong, a community full of knowledge and learning, a great physical environment, people who know and fit in Aranui and a community that is healthy.

Monthly 'renewal' partnership forums with CCC, HNZA, MSD and other key agencies along with networks with over 57 organisations and agencies create avenues of mutual communication highlighting needs and facilitating collaborative responses to these.

An increase of \$5,000 (to \$30,000) is sought towards the co-ordinator/managers wages due to increasing costs, responsibility and hours from the originally funded position of eight years ago which was funded by the Council as a commitment to their role in the Aranui Renewal Partnership.

Through strategic planning and implementing more strategies to better meet the needs of and work with the community ACTIS have employed more staff. The organisation can no longer absorb the increasing costs as in previous years and the additional positions and projects have placed strain on their finances (even with funding for most of these for more than a one year term). The extra staff have added to the funding and supervisory demands on the role of the co-ordinator/manager. Added to this is the Earthquake response and recovery role ACTIS has played in co-ordinating and facilitating networking, assessments, practical supports and future options for the community, including setting up the weekly Aranui Hub and looking at securing permanent recovery assistance centre locally which has also taken some 'business as usual' finances, time and staffing. The managers last monthly report shows over 40 meetings attended in a twenty one day working period along with overseeing four government funding contracts including DIA crown funding, the Heartlands centre, Earthquake Response Funding and the 'It's not OK' family violence programme. Community Needs Analyses in November 2001 and April 2008 highlighted the need for the Aranui Community Trust and the positive changes, progress and the important role ACTIS played in this and could continue to play in the renewal and development of Aranui.

An increase of \$4,000 in funding is sought from the Council towards the AFFIRM festival. Council has contributed \$6,000 for eight years towards AFFIRM. Up until now the organisation has been able to absorb some of the increased costs and this has put a strain on their finances, favours and goodwill but good management has seen the festival continue with large community support (3,000 - 7,000 people attending). Costs have additionally increased for year 10 to secure acts from Aranui that have 'done alright for themselves' that can mentor and give back to the community, to celebrate Aranui and the community's resilience and support of each other particularly, after the earthquakes. A larger metropolitan crowd is expected at the festival due to the acts coming providing opportunities and celebrations for a wider audience but increasing operational costs.

2011-12 SCF BURWOOD/PEGASUS DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

| | |
|-------|--|
| One | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding. |
| Two | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding. |
| Three | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding. |
| Four | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding. |

| 00033479 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|----------|--|--|---|--|------------|--|--|----------|
| | Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | Staff Salaries The project is to work with and supervise individuals who are long term unemployed or suffer from mental illnesses to develop their skills base and social skills to further improve their chances of gaining and maintaining employment. The medium through which this is done is by providing a community service for individuals and not for profit organisations, maintaining grounds, landscaping and undertaking home handyman projects along with paid contracts that work towards environmental enhancement of the community. | Staff: 3 Volunteers: 11 Number of participants: 1000 User fees: \$ Volunteer hours: 165 | CCC funding history (this project only) 2010/11 - \$35,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP 2009/10 - \$28,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP Other sources of funding (this project only) Canterbury Community Trust \$28,240 (approved) Earthquake Emergency Response Fund \$20,000 (pending) | \$236,843 | \$35,000 15% percentage requested Contribution sought towards: Supervision wages \$35,000 | \$35,000 That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board nominate PEEEP as a key local project and recommend funding of \$35,000 from the Metropolitan Funding Committee. | 1 |

| 00033479 | Organisation Name | Project Name and Description | Project Details | Project Funding | Total Cost | Amount Requested | Staff Recommendation | Priority |
|---|--|--|--|--|------------|--|--|----------|
| | Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | Staff Salaries The project is to work with and supervise individuals who are long term unemployed or suffer from mental illnesses to develop their skills base and social skills to further improve their chances of gaining and maintaining employment. The medium through which this is done is by providing a community service for individuals and not for profit organisations, maintaining grounds, landscaping and undertaking home handyman projects along with paid contracts that work towards environmental enhancement of the community. | Staff: 3 Volunteers: 11 Number of participants: 1000 User fees: \$ Volunteer hours: 165 | CCC funding history (this project only) 2010/11 - \$35,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP 2009/10 - \$28,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP Other sources of funding (this project only) Canterbury Community Trust \$28,240 (approved) Earthquake Emergency Response Fund \$20,000 (pending) | \$236,843 | \$35,000 15% percentage requested Contribution sought towards: Supervision wages \$35,000 | \$35,000 That the Burwood Pegasus Community Board nominate PEEEP as a key local project and recommend funding of \$35,000 from the Metropolitan Funding Committee. | 1 |
| Organisation Details Service Base: QEII Park Council facility: Based at QEII Park and pay rent. Legal Status: Charitable Trust Established: 1/01/1993 Staff – paid: 7 Staff – unpaid: 11 Target groups: People with mental illness, Long Term unemployed, Not for profit community organisations, Individuals needing support to maintain their residence, Wider community enjoyment of environment Networks: Community gardens, CCC community swimming pools, Terranova Supershed, Whitewings Trust, Catapult Employment services, New Zealand Spinal Trust Audited accounts: 30/06/2010 Organisation objectives: To assist individuals to gain employment through the medium of environmental related work and learning activities. To help create a sense of individual self-sufficiency through education, training, understanding and acceptance. To assist in, and advocate for, local community environmental projects and activities | | | Alignment with Council Strategies Strengthening Communities Strategy The Board will promote local lifelong learning opportunities and recognise achievements. The Board will engage with and support local businesses wherever possible. Alignment with Council Funding Outcomes Foster collaborative responses Yes Reduce or overcome barriers Yes Provide community based programmes Yes Enhance community & neighbourhood safety Yes Increase community engagement No Community participation and awareness Yes Support, develop and promote capacity Yes How much will the project do? 100% of participants in the programme will show an increase in skills. A minimum of 50% of participants will move to further training and development or employment as a result of the programme. 100% of paid contracts will be completed on time and to the satisfaction of the contractee. How will participants be better off? Individual participants will be better off for having improved social and employment/trade skills and qualifications. Not for profit groups will be better off by having their grounds maintained professionally and for the safety of community users for little or no cost. The community will be better off by having well maintained public areas and private homes enhancing the environment and community for the enjoyment of all. | | | Staff Assessment This project is recommended as a Key Local Project due to its reach, significant contribution to CCC funding outcomes, innovation, best-practice model, value for money and the organisation and project have proven track records with Council. Established in 1992 by the Burwood Pegasus Community Board and local manager of Keep Christchurch Beautiful the aims of the Trust are for participants to achieve self sufficiency through training and education leading to employment and to provide environmental enhancement of the community. Project participants are mainly socially or psychologically disadvantaged achieve their growth and development through a structure and environment similar to a work situation where they are taught basic life skills and responsibilities in addition to carrying out repairs, maintenance and gardening type work for community organisations and environmental projects. Employees of PEEEP supervise the "work" programme at a ratio of 5 participants to one supervisor with up to 15 unemployed participants daily. Currently, 3 employed staff, 11 volunteers and between 30-40 participants undertake around 1000 hours of environmental related charitable work for non profit organisations per year. PEEEP has a proven track record of service and management over the past twenty years which has resulted in the provision of contract work for the CCC Graffiti Office, QEII and Coastcare. Governance/management structures and good membership/volunteer supports are in place along with positive collaborative partnerships both city wide and locally. This includes a memorandum of understanding with Catapult Employment services and the New Zealand Spinal Trust. This project has demonstrated consistently successful outcomes which is particularly significant, as many who come to PEEEP have tried everything else or do not have anywhere else to go. PEEEP has supported 40 - 70 individuals annually over the last three years with between 60% - 80% placement success rate for participants moving on to further training or employment. All participants have shown improved skills and attitudes and have grown and developed personally. Annually 40% - 60% of the people who directly benefit from participating in this project come from outside the Burwood Pegasus area while community work has been mainly in Burwood Pegasus and Shirley Papanui. The organisation is innovative and unique in its philosophy, approach and success rate, in providing a practical method and environmental outcome by which individuals can achieve growth, development, basic life skills and responsibilities, with the potential to become self sufficient and give back to the community through employment and community work. PEEEP are still based at QEII in spite of the damage after the Earthquakes. They are operating without power, water or sewerage from their green stickered premises. Overall there has been a bit of an increase for their services e.g. maintaining grounds of abandoned properties. Many of the participants in the programme were in supported accommodation and have slowly been returning to PEEEP as they re-establish their workload in the community sector. All of their contract work has continued and will continue including maintaining QEII until at least the end of the year. | | |
| CCC Funding History 2010/11 - \$15,000 (Graffiti removal and reporting) 2010/11 - \$35,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP 2009/10 - \$28,000.00 (Supervision of referred participants) SCF Met KLP 2009/10 - \$15,000 (Graffiti removal and reporting) | | | Other Sources of Funding Canterbury Community Trust \$28,240 (approved) Earthquake Emergency Response Fund \$20,000 (pending) | | | | | |

**APPLICATIONS RECEIVED FOR BURWOOD PEGASUS
STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND 2011/12**

| Customer Name | Name/Subject | Project Total Cost | Requested Amount |
|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Adventist Development and Relief Organisation New Zealand (ADRA NZ) | ADRA Community Support | \$95,972 | \$0 |
| Agape Street Ministries Charitable Trust | Agape Trust Intermediate Holiday Programme (Just 4 Kids) | \$23,830 | \$6,200 |
| Agape Street Ministries Charitable Trust | Agape Trust Junior Holiday Programme | \$46,320 | \$12,000 |
| Agape Street Ministries Charitable Trust | After School Clubs | \$24,100 | \$12,100 |
| Aranui Community Trust Inc Soc | For costs associated with the Community Coordinator position and 10AFFIRM | \$72,000 | \$40,000 |
| Birthright (ChCh) Inc | Field Worker Eastern Suburbs | \$32,264 | \$3,000 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood/Pegasus Community Board – Children's Fishing Day | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood Pegasus Youth Development Fund | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood/Pegasus Community Board – Carols in the Community | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood/Pegasus Community Board – Beach Blast | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Community Pride Garden Awards 2010-11 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood/Pegasus Community Board – Skate Jam | \$4,000 | \$4,000 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Neighbourhood Week 2010 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Burwood Pegasus Community Service Awards | \$2,750 | \$2,750 |
| Burwood/Pegasus Community Board | Communications with the Burwood/Pegasus Community | \$5,500 | \$5,500 |
| Burwood Community Church Trust | Powerhouse Youth Ministries | \$78,609 | \$15,000 |
| Christchurch Children's Holiday Camps Trust | Making holiday camp experiences available for children in need | \$114,000 | \$0 |
| Christchurch School of Gymnastics | Christchurch School of Gymnastics Classic Competition | \$23,364 | \$3,794 |
| Christchurch School of Gymnastics | Rewarding Volunteers | \$3,725 | \$3,725 |
| Crossroads Youth with a Future Trust | Stay Real Programme and Drop in Centre | \$97,560 | \$15,000 |
| Dallington Community Cottage Trust | Dallington Community Centre | \$10,395 | \$10,395 |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|------------------|
| E Tipu E Rea Early Learning Centre | E Tipu E Rea Learning Centres Rent | \$10,400 | \$10,400 |
| Family & Community Division (Anglican Care) | Community Development Worker St. Andrews House North New Brighton | \$31,203 | \$20,000 |
| Family Help Trust | Securing IT Systems | \$20,000 | \$10,000 |
| New Brighton and Districts Historical Society Inc. | Rent | \$12,999 | \$10,000 |
| New Brighton Community Gardens Trust | wages, administration and maintenance | \$43,964 | \$24,267 |
| New Brighton Project | New Brighton Project Operations | \$70,132 | \$36,482 |
| Ola Taumafai (Strive for Life) Charitable Trust | OLA TAUMAFAI-- | \$36,818 | \$23,200 |
| Parklands Baptist Community Church | Supporting and Strengthening Families | \$62,100 | \$45,000 |
| Parklands Youth Trust | Strengthening Youth in Parklands | \$82,400 | \$20,000 |
| Pegasus Belles Leisure Marching | Pegasus Belles Leisure Marching Team Uniforms | \$7,020 | \$2,000 |
| Project Early Charitable Trust | Caseworker interventions with children aged from 4 to 8 years (and their families) who have severe behaviour disorders | \$180,400 | \$12,900 |
| Project Employment and Environmental Enhancement Programme | Staff Salaries | \$96,740 | \$35,000 |
| Rawhiti Community Sports Complex | Community Sports Coordinator | \$49,285 | \$10,000 |
| Te Kupenga o Aranui | Youth/Social Worker wages | \$51,900 | \$15,000 |
| The Brighton Gallery Trust | Brighton Gallery Trust | \$16,236 | \$15,000 |
| The Muse - Community Music Trust | Eastern Suburbs Community Music Development | \$38,390 | \$28,120 |
| Wainoni/Avonside Community Services Trust | Salary and utilities (power, telephone & internet, cleaning | \$38,149 | \$14,000 |
| Whakaoranga Trust | Whakaoranga Expenses | \$144,000 | \$20,000 |
| World Buskers Festival - Buskers at Brighton | Buskers at Brighton – World Buskers Festival | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| Youth Alive Trust | Holiday Programmes | \$0 | \$10,000 |
| Youth Alive Trust | Youth Alive Trust General Costs | \$0 | \$31,534 |
| Grand Total | | | \$555,117 |

11. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

11.1 UPCOMING BOARD ACTIVITIES

12. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS