


7. HERITAGE INCENTIVE GRANTS - SIX MONTHLY REPORT



General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Strategy and Planning Group DDI 941-8281
Officer responsible:	Programme Manager, Liveable City
Author:	Brendan Smyth, Architecture, Heritage & Urban Design

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to update the Heritage and Arts Committee on the Heritage Incentive Grants and Covenants approved during the six month period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010. A report is to be provided from the Committee to the Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This report provides a summary of heritage grants and covenants approved during the period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010. Attachments are as follows:
 - Attachment 1 - New Regent Street Shops, New Regent Street
 - Attachment 2 - New Regent Street Shops, 11 New Regent Street
 - Attachment 3 - New Regent Street Shops, 4 and 6 New Regent Street
 - Attachment 4 - Former Exhibition State House, 52 Longfellow Street
 - Attachment 5 - St Mary's Church, 2 Truscotts Road, Heathcote
 - Attachment 6 - Duncan's Buildings, 135-165 High Street, 137-139 High Street
3. The Heritage Incentive Grant Fund has a budget of \$763,684 for the 2010/11 financial year. Commitments from previous years totalled \$245,167.
4. 18 grants had been approved by December 2010 with a total value of \$165,207. The Council resolved on 11 November 2010 that \$383,000 of the remaining Heritage Incentive Grant funds was to be committed to the Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund. The Council also decided to commit fifty percent of the Heritage Incentive Grant fund for the financial year 2011/12 to the earthquake fund.
5. No conservation covenant consent applications were received in this time period.
6. Statements of Heritage Significance, which have been provided as part of the decision making process for each grant application, are attached to the Committee report for reference.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. Heritage Incentive Grants are budgeted for on an annual basis through the Council's LTCCP. The total Heritage Incentive Grant Fund for 2010/11 was \$763,684.
8. Larger heritage maintenance and conservation projects may receive grant funding over more than one financial year. In the 2009/10 financial year the grant commitments included \$141,920 to St Paul's Trinity Pacific Presbyterian Church; the total grant of \$638,000 approved by the Council in June 2009 is to be paid over four financial years. In 2009/10 there was also a commitment to the Repertory Theatre of \$103,247; the total grant of \$213,976 is to be paid over two financial years.

		2009/10
Annual Budget		\$ 763,684
Commitments from previous financial year: (St Paul's Presbyterian Church) (Repertory Theatre, Kilmore Street)	\$ 141,920 \$ <u>103,527</u>	
		\$(245,447)
Grant approvals, (01 July to 31 December 2010)		\$ (165,207)
Net available funds as at 31 December 2010		\$ 353,030
Funds made available from lapsed grants (Committee resolution 2 September 2010)		\$ 33,688
Total available funds as at 31 December 2010		\$ 386,718
Funds committed to Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund (Council decision 11 November 2010)		\$ (383,000)
Net available balance 01 January - 31 July 2011		\$3,718

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes. The Heritage Incentive Grant budget is an annual fund provided for in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. Limited Conservation Covenants are required under the Heritage Conservation Policy for properties receiving Heritage Incentive Grants of \$5,000 to \$49,999. A full Conservation Covenant is required for grants of \$50,000 or more.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. Yes. Covenants are a more comprehensive form of protection for the buildings because they are registered against the property title, ensuring that the Council's investment is protected. For all grants approved in the six month period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010, covenants have been required as a condition of grant approval where the value of the grant exceed \$5,000.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. The Heritage Incentive Grants Scheme is aligned to the Community Outcome '*An attractive and well-designed City*' (LTCCP 2009-19, page 50). 'Community Outcome 9. Development' provides for, among other things, ensuring "*our lifestyles and heritage are enhanced by our urban environment*" (page 54). One of the success measure is that "*Our heritage is protected for future generations*" (page 54). "*Progress will be measured using these headline indicators ... number of heritage buildings, sites and objects.*" (page 54). Heritage Incentive Grants contribute towards the number of protected heritage buildings, sites and objects, which is the measure under the outcome.
13. Within the 'Activities and Services' section of the LTCCP, is 'City planning and development' which aims to help improve Christchurch's urban environment, among other things. One of the activities included in 'City planning and development' is 'Heritage protection'. "*A city's heritage helps to sustain a sense of community identity, provides links to the past, and helps to attract visitors. The Council is committed to protecting the heritage of our city and works with developers, landowners and other stakeholders to conserve heritage buildings, areas and other items*" (page 187).
14. 'Heritage Protection', requires the Council to "*Research and promote the heritage of Christchurch and Banks Peninsula. Work with developers, landowners and other stakeholders to conserve heritage areas, buildings, and other items. Promote development that is sensitive to the character and heritage of the city and existing communities.*" (page 192). The Council provides information, advice and funding for city heritage and heritage conservation as part of its objective to retain heritage items.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. Alignment of the requirement for Heritage Incentive Grants and Conservation Covenants stems from the Heritage Conservation Policy which in turn is relevant to:

- Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy (UDS).
- Christchurch City Plan and Banks Peninsula District Plan.
- Central City Revitalisation Strategy.
- New Zealand Urban Design Protocol.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. There is no requirement for community consultation for Heritage Incentive Grants or Covenants.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended: that the Committee receive the six monthly grants and covenants report for the period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010.

BACKGROUND

20. Approved Heritage Incentive Grants July to December 2010.

Property Name and Address	Value of Grant	Date of Approval	Covenant
4 and 6 New Regent Street	\$11,654	5 August 2010	Limited Covenant
2 Truscotts Road, 'Church of St Mary'	\$5,692	2 September 2010	Limited Covenant
137-139 High Street	\$41,322	2 September 2010	Limited Covenant
11 New Regent Street	\$4,883	2 September 2010	No
3 New Regent Street	\$2,961	2 December 2010	No
5 New Regent Street	\$5,763	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
7 and 9 New Regent Street	\$7,156	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
10 New Regent Street	\$6,292	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
13 New Regent Street	\$3,905	2 December 2010	No
12 New Regent Street	\$3,633	2 December 2010	No
16 - 22 New Regent Street	\$25,572	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
24 New Regent Street	\$5,476	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
25 New Regent Street	\$4,128	2 December 2010	No
28 New Regent Street	\$3,617	2 December 2010	No
30 New Regent Street	\$6,586	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
35-37 New Regent Street	\$7,705	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
153 Gloucester (Corner of New Regent St)	\$14,235	2 December 2010	Limited Covenant
52 Longfellow Street, Beckenham	\$4,627	2 December 2010	No
Total	\$165, 207		