

HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA

WEDNESDAY 31 AUGUST 2011

AT 3PM

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE,
180 SMITH STREET, LINWOOD**

Community Board: Bob Todd (Chairperson), Islay McLeod (Deputy Chairperson), Tim Carter, David Cox, Yani Johanson, Brenda Lowe-Johnson and Nathan Ryan.

Community Board Adviser:

Jo Daly

Phone: 941 6601 DDI

Email: jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- | | | |
|---------------|------------|---|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 17 AUGUST 2011 AND 18 AUGUST 2011 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT |
| | | 3.1 New Zealand Police |
| | | 3.2 Neighbourhood Support Canterbury |
| | | 3.3 Victoria Neighbourhood Group |
| PART B | 4. | PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICE OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS |
| PART A | 8. | REVIEW OF DELEGATIONS TO COMMUNITY BOARDS |
| PART C | 9. | APPLICATION TO EXTEND RESTAURANT – ON THE BEACH LTD |
| PART C | 10. | APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – CANTERBURY ALPINE ICE SKATING CLUB |
| PART C | 11. | APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – NATASHA MICHELLE TAYLOR |
| PART C | 12. | APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – HARRISON LOUIE KNIGHT |

31. 8. 2011

- 2 -

- PART C 13. LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOP – COMMUNITY BOARDS**
- PART B 14. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S UPDATE**
- PART B 15. BOARD MEMBERS’ QUESTIONS**
- PART B 16. BOARD MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 17 AUGUST 2011 AND 18 AUGUST 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 17 August 2011 and 18 August 2011, will be **separately circulated** to Board members.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meetings of 17 August 2011 and 18 August 2011, be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 NEW ZEALAND POLICE

Inspector Erasmus and Senior Sergeant Mike Jones of the New Zealand Police, will address the Board regarding policing in the ward.

3.2 NEIGHBOURHOOD SUPPORT CANTERBURY

Dave Wilkinson, Coordinator/Manager, from Neighbourhood Support, will address the Board regarding Neighbourhood Support work in the ward.

3.3 VICTORIA NEIGHBOURHOOD GROUP

Representatives from the Victoria Neighbourhood Group, also representing other inner city groups, will address the Board regarding the Council's draft Central City Plan.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

8. REVIEW OF DELEGATIONS TO COMMUNITY BOARDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Legal Services Manager
Author:	Vivienne Wilson, Solicitor and Chris Gilbert, Legal Services Unit Manager

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to submit to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, for review, the current delegations from the Council. The current delegations are set out in **Attachment A** and **Attachment B**.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. On 9 June 2011, the Council resolved to delegate to Community Boards the matters set out in Attachment A. However, the Council also resolved that the Community Boards be asked to review the delegations and bring them back to the Council by November 2011.
3. This report sets out the terms of the current delegations to Community Boards. Each Community Board is asked to review the current delegations and identify any issues they may have with the current provisions.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. The Local Government Act 2002 provides that “... *for the purposes of efficiency and effectiveness in the conduct of a local authority's business, a local authority may delegate to a committee or other subordinate decision-making body, community board, or member or officer of the local authority any of its responsibilities, duties, or powers*” except for certain specified responsibilities, duties and powers. The Council is also able to impose any conditions, limitations or prohibitions on any delegations it may make.
5. The Local Government Act 2002 also provides that the Council must consider whether or not to delegate to a Community Board if the delegation would enable the Community Board to best achieve its role.
6. Section 52 of the Act defines the role of Community Boards as follows:
 - (a) *represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of its community; and*
 - (b) *consider and report on all matters referred to it by the territorial authority, or any matter of interest or concern to the community board; and*
 - (c) *maintain an overview of services provided by the territorial authority within the community; and*
 - (d) *prepare an annual submission to the territorial authority for expenditure within the community; and*
 - (e) *communicate with community organisations and special interest groups within the community; and*
 - (f) *undertake any other responsibilities that are delegated to it by the territorial authority.*
7. The Act provides that once a delegation has been made by the Council to a Community Board then that Board is legally able to make a decision within the delegations as if it were the Council itself. This means that decisions made by a Community Board within the delegations legally bind the Council. If a matter or issue does not fall within these delegations, as a default position, a decision on that matter or issue is one for the Council itself.

8. Cont'd

8. The Act provides that the Council itself cannot rescind or amend a decision made by a Community Board made under delegated authority. However, the Council can at any time amend or revoke a delegation so as to apply any future decisions.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Yes. The delegations comply with the Local Government Act 2002.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Staff from the Legal Services Unit discussed the delegations with the chairs of each Community Board on 22 July 2011 at the Community Board Chair Forum. The Chairs raised a number of issues in relation to delegations set out below. Comments on those issues follow immediately after:

- (a) *From time to time, Community Boards would like the opportunity to make, on their own account, submissions on notified resource consent hearings.* **Comment:** Following legal advice, the Council's position is that Community Boards are not able to make submissions on notified resource consent hearings on their own account unless this power has been delegated to them. The delegations currently provide that Community Boards have the power to make submissions on behalf of the Council, on applications for resource consents, to other territorial authorities or the Canterbury Regional Council, where the application is of particular concern to the local community.
- (b) *Thought needs to be given to the role of Community Boards following the earthquakes, ie Suburban Recovery Planning.* **Comment:** At the 23 June meeting of Council, the Council considered a report outlining a proposed Suburban Centres programme. The aim of the Suburban Centres programme of work is to assist in the recovery and rebuild of earthquake damaged commercial centres through: assisting with planning, design and transport related matters; facilitating discussions with property owners and commercial ventures; and providing contact details for other agencies. The work programme consists of two streams of work:
- masterplans for the larger, more damaged centres; and
 - case management for smaller centres

Prior to taking the 23 June report to Council, the Community Boards were individually consulted on the proposed work to ensure they were aware of this initiative and to provide an opportunity for discussion. Their feedback was sought on whether the centres identified in their area should be treated as masterplans or through case management.

There will be further opportunities for community involvement in the masterplan process. Each masterplan will have an approximately five month project design phase involving community and stakeholder engagement. The project aims to provide the stakeholders (including businesses, community groups and local residents) with information and an opportunity to engage and partake in the rebuild of centres. The process for developing each masterplan includes focus group discussions with key stakeholders, technical workshops, public meetings and elected member presentations. The outputs include an agreed vision and masterplan for each centre, together with an implementation plan.

8. Cont'd

- (c) *With respect to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, could there be some explanation/justification for the approach of not permitting the Board to exercise its delegated functions in the Central City Area.* **Comment:** the Community Board delegations under the heading of "Roads, Parks and Leases" do not apply to that part of the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board area situated within the "Central City Area" marked on the plan (Plan A) **attached**. Delegations for those "Roading and Parks issues" in that "Central City Area" are to be exercised by the Council with reports on those matters coming directly to the Council. Prior to the earthquakes in 2010 and 2011, the rationale for this approach was that the central city area was of metropolitan significance to the well-being and growth of the city as a whole. (This is currently recognised in the City Plan, the Central City Revitalisation Strategy and the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy.) There was therefore a need to both consider issues within this area on a city-wide basis and to be able to respond without undue delay. It was considered that it would quicken the process for dealing with central city issues if matters went directly to the Council without first proceeding to the Board.
- (d) *The Community Boards would like to be involved at much earlier stage with respect to proposed Council works in reserves in their local areas. This relates to maintenance, renewals and capital projects.* **Comment:** The delegations currently provide that Community Boards have the powers of the Council (except the hearing of submissions/objections) in relation to preparation, review and change of management plans for reserves. Under section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977, management plans are to provide for and ensure the use, enjoyment, maintenance, protection, and preservation, as the case may require, and, to the extent that the administering body's resources permit, the development, as appropriate, of the reserve for the purposes for which it is classified. Management plans must also incorporate and ensure compliance with the principles set out in section 17, section 18, section 19, section 20, section 21, section 22, or section 23, as the case may be, of the Reserves Act for a reserve of that classification. .
- At present, reserve management plans are not in place for all reserves in the district, or some reserve management plans are at a high level. As reserve management plans are prepared or reviewed, there is scope for the plans to provide in more detail for proposed works. However, capex and opex expenditure are considered as part of the Annual Plan and Long Term Plan processes. Recent management plans have indicated that developments mentioned in the plans are dependent on the Long Term Plan process.
- (e) *Boards have the responsibility to make submissions on the Annual Plan. Six years ago, it was the practice for Boards to have input into the draft of the Annual Plan before it was consulted on. Can that be specified in the delegations?* **Comment:** There is a very tight timeframe each year for the preparation of the Annual Plan. It would be difficult to build in extra time for Community Boards to comment on the draft Annual Plan before it is signed off by the Council to start the formal consultation process. Once the special consultative procedure starts, Community Boards are able to participate fully in making a submission and providing quality feedback to the Council on the draft Annual Plan. It is acknowledged that under section 52(d) of the Local Government Act 2002, the role of Community Boards includes preparing an annual submission to the Council for expenditure within the community. However, it is considered that this role is ordinarily provided for in the current Annual Plan process.
- (f) *With abundance of local Reserve Management Committee on the peninsula, there is the opportunity when reserve planning takes place to involve all stakeholders – the Council, the Community Board and land owners. In general a clearer pathway is needed for consultation.* **Comment:** The Community Boards have specific delegated powers for local projects but not all local projects. This means that not all local projects will be referred to Community Boards for a decision. However, there is scope within the current delegations dealing with reserves and reserve management plans for discussions about reserve planning in the future, as discussed at paragraph (d) above.

8. Cont'd

- (g) *When matters are considered in public excluded before the Community Board, Board members are subsequently excluded from the public excluded part of the meeting when the matter comes before Council. Could this be clarified?* **Comment:** As you will be aware, under section 48 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, the Council (and Community Boards) may by resolution exclude the public from the whole or any part of the proceedings of any meeting only on one a number of specified grounds. Under section 48(5), any such resolution may provide for one or more specified persons to remain after the public has been excluded if that person, or persons, has or have, in the opinion of the local authority, knowledge that will assist the authority. Section 48(6) states that the resolution must state the knowledge possessed by that person or those persons which will be of assistance in relation to the matter to be discussed and how it is relevant to that matter. It would be open to the Council to resolve that members of a Community Board may stay in the public excluded part of the meeting if this is appropriate.

11. The comments from each Community Board will in due course be reported back to the Council. It is anticipated that before the Council considers the report with the Community Board comments there will be a workshop between the Councillors and Community Board members.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board consider each of its current delegations and indicate whether it would like to see any amendments.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

8. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

12. After each local authority election, it is the practice of the Council to reconsider and resolve the delegations it makes to the Community Boards. Following the disruption caused by the earthquakes on 4 September 2010 and 22 February 2011, the Council delegated various responsibilities, duties and powers to the Community Boards on 9 June 2011. However, the Council also resolved that the Community Boards be asked to review the delegations and bring them back to the Council by November 2011.
13. It should be noted that even though the Council did not resolve the delegations until 9 June 2011, the previous delegations continued in force over that period. There was no question that, in the interim, the Boards acted without delegated authority.
14. The current delegations, as set out in Attachment A, cover a wide range of matters, including financial delegations, roads, sale of liquor, resource management, parks, leases and other miscellaneous matters. There are some specific provisions relating to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board, the Lyttelton/Mt Herbert Community Board, and the Akaroa/Wairewa Community Board.
15. It should be noted that it has been the Council's procedure for many years that any exercise of the Board delegations must be within any policies or standards set by the Council. So if the Council has resolved a particular position then it is not open to a Community Board to make a decision which conflicts with that Council position.
16. Experience has also shown it is not feasible to write delegations which cover every permutation of a subject. The question may arise as of whether a matter falls within a Board's delegated authority.
17. To assist in these situations a decision on whether or not a Board has delegated authority on a particular matter will be a matter for joint decision by the General Manager, City Environment (as most matters are considered to be delegated are operational issues that fall within that group) and the General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services Manager. This is provided for in Attachment A.
18. Where there is a matter outside a Board delegation, such as a metropolitan facility which has a city wide impact but is situated in a particular Community Board area, and where the Board historically has taken an interest in the activities on that facility within their community, the issue has been addressed in the following way: a report on a particular matter involving the metropolitan facility is forwarded to the Community Board for comment before referring the final report to Council.
19. Following the report to Council on 9 June 2011, it has come to the attention of staff that there are some further delegations that have been made by the Council to Community Boards that have not been reflected in Attachment A. These delegations relate to the Council's Road Stopping Policy and are set out in Attachment B. The road stopping delegations were made on 9 April 2009 and are still in force. However, it would be desirable for these delegations to be contained in the Council's Delegation Register with the other delegations.

THE OBJECTIVES

20. The purpose of the review is to provide an opportunity for each Community Board to consider and comment on their current set of delegations with respect to any issues that they may have.

8. Cont'd

THE OPTIONS

- 21.** There are two options;

Option 1 – consider the current set of delegations but provide no comments.

Option 2 - consider the current set of delegations and provide comments to the Council with respect to any issues the Board may have.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

- 22.** The preferred option is option 2. The Council has expressed a desire for the Community Boards to review their current delegations and provide feedback to the Council.

9. APPLICATION TO EXTEND RESTAURANT – ON THE BEACH LTD

General Manager responsible:	City Environment General Manager, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Unit Manager
Author:	Bill Morgan, Property Consultant/Jeff Woodham Leasing Consultant

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's approval to a small extension to the restaurant effectively to improve circulation within the facility (refer **Attached**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. As a consequence of the September 2010 and subsequent earthquakes the above facility has suffered damage and has been inoperable pending approval of the insurance company to proceed with the necessary repairs. As the Board is aware the building was substantially altered and increased in area in 1999, based around a much older original building. There is evidence of ground movement in the surrounding surfaces of the building which have damaged the facility. Apart from damage to the deck, as a consequence of some piles settling and twisting, the principle damage to the building has occurred in the south west corner where the original concrete slab has settled significantly. There is no visible damage to the steel frames supporting the roof structure but most doors and windows do not open readily and most of the Gib lined walls have cracks/damage showing.
3. The damage to the building is fortunately repairable and once the scope of works has been prepared and approved by the Council's insurers the work will be costed and a contract let. It is evident that to effect the repairs there will be some deconstruction of the building. Given that this will occur the Company would like to take the opportunity to simultaneously extend the building through the addition of the area depicted on the attached plan at its cost. Currently the small bar area is congested making access to and from the restaurant difficult. The small extension will overcome this problem, improve the layout of the facility and is therefore supported.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. As the costs will be met by the owner there are no financial implications apart from the loss of rent which has been abated.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

5. Provision is included within the LTCCP for the revenue from the lease which will recommence once the business is opened for trade following the repairs. It will therefore result in the loss of approximately 15 months revenue.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

6. Community Boards have delegation from the Council for the granting of leases and licences on reserves pursuant to ss. 54, 56, 58A, 61, 73 and 74 of the Reserves Act. Further it has the power to make decisions as to alterations and/or additions to any building, fence or structure or construction of the same on any reserve land leased by the Council (administered by the Transport and Greenspace Unit) where the lease specifies the requirement of Council consent.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Clause 23.1 of the lease prevents any alterations to the building without the prior consent of the Council (not to be unreasonably or arbitrarily withheld) and approval is being sought so that the alterations can be completed simultaneously with the repairs to the building. As the proposed alterations are within the existing leased area the consent of the Minister of Conservation will not be required.

9. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

8. Yes.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

9. Not Applicable. The activity is not covered in the LTCCP.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

10. There are no Council strategies relevant to the transaction.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

11. Yes, see above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

12. There is no requirement to advertise the proposal as the alterations are within the existing leased area.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board grants approval the tenant's application for additions and alterations as outlined in this report subject to the appropriate Resource and Building consents being obtained.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

10. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – CANTERBURY ALPINE ICE SKATING CLUB

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Canterbury Alpine Ice Skating Club is seeking support for two representatives who reside in the Hagley/Ferrymead ward to travel to Melbourne to compete in the Australian National Short Track Championships. This trip will take place from 5 to 9 October 2011.
3. The representatives are athlete Benjamin Sarelius Whiteside of Redcliffs aged 16 years and coach Francesca Georgina Rebecca Jackson of Avonside aged 24 years.
4. The Canterbury Alpine Ice Skating Club is based at the Alpine Ice Sports facility on Brougham Street and 10 short track skaters have been selected to represent their club at the Australian National Short Track Championships. Athletes were selected based on their previous results at the 2010 Australian Championships and more recently their record breaking results at the Canterbury Championships. These athletes have also shown continued commitment to their club with them taking on extra training both on and off the ice.
5. Benjamin has competed in ice speed skating for six years and currently trains six days a week. He was the Junior Men's New Zealand National Champion in 2010, second in the Australian Junior Men National Championships in 2010 and achieved a personal best at the Australian Championships with a result that was within 15 percent of the Junior World record. His future goal is to be selected for and compete at the Youth World Ice Speed Skating Championships in Melbourne in 2012. Training and competing in Melbourne this October will assist with preparation for the World Championships. It will be a great honour to represent New Zealand at an international level and be a role model for others. Benjamin also belongs to the Christchurch Yacht Club where he sails a Laser and has competed at three World Laser Youth Championships, achieving fifth place in 2010.
6. Francesca is the coach for the 2011 team of ice skaters and will also be one of the two adults chaperoning the team. She coaches five days a week as a volunteer and been involved with the sport from a very young age. Francesca has been a member of the Canterbury Alpine Ice Skating Club for many years competing in numerous competitions representing New Zealand at both national and international level. In 2010 Francesca gave up competing and taken on the role of coaching bringing her wealth of experience to the team of skaters who have shown consistent improvement in both skill and racing times. Francesca received the Sir Richard Hadley Sports award for coaching at the Australian Nationals in 2010 and having four of her skaters finish in the top three grades. Her short term goal is to take her team of skaters to the Junior Worlds and becoming a coach for New Zealand is her long term goal. Francesca is also keen on cycling, nutrition and works in Real Estate.
7. The total cost per person to compete at the Championships is \$1,725 and for the Coach is \$1,430. All skaters are committed to fundraising leading up to the trip with garage sales, raffles, sausage sizzles and cookie sales.

10. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

CANTERBURY ALPINE ICE SKATING CLUB – cost per player Benjamin Whiteside	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares and Insurance	600
Accommodation	380
Uniforms	300
Ground transport	80
Ice time training costs (22 weeks)	220
Entry Fees	145
Total Cost	\$ 1,725
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$500

CANTERBURY ALPINE ICE SKATING CLUB – cost per coach Francesca Jackson	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares and Insurance	640
Accommodation	400
Uniform	50
Ground transport	80
Food	200
Awards Dinner Entry Fee	60
Total Cost	\$1,430
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$500

9. This is the first time the applicants have applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for funding.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund which was established from the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund. There is a balance of \$9,600 in the Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

10. Cont'd

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board support the application and grant \$500 to each to Benjamin Whiteside and Francesca Jackson from the Canterbury Alpine Ice Skating Club, totalling \$1,000 from its 2011/12 Discretionary Response Scheme Fund as a contribution towards their expenses to travel to Melbourne to compete in the Australian National Short Track Championships from 5 to 9 October 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

11. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – NATASHA MICHELLE TAYLOR

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sport Unit Manager
Author:	Community Recreation, Diana Saxton

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Natasha Michelle Taylor, an 18 year old from Woolston has been selected from the Diva Rhythmic Gymnastic Club to attend the Malaysian Friendship Gymnastics Competition for rhythmic gymnastics from 1 to 5 September 2011.
3. The Diva Rhythmic Gymnastic Club is based at Spreydon School Hall. A small team of three athletes and their coach will be attending this competition. Natasha is a talented and accomplished rhythmic gymnast who has been doing gymnastics for eleven years. She trains 23 hours a week and is a member of the Diva Rhythmic Gymnastic Club. In 2010 she was the Level 10 New Zealand National Champion. Natasha works part time to enable her to stay committed to the intensive training required to compete at this level plus coaches five year olds once a week. She is also a qualified judge. Her goal is to represent New Zealand at the 2012 Pacific Rim Competition. This competition will give Natasha international experience and if she does well the recognition of succeeding in an international competition may lead to trialling for the New Zealand team and potentially representing New Zealand.
4. The Club has been involved in fund raising activities, including a raffle. Home stays will be arranged for all gymnasts throughout competition dates and all other expenses are included in the team's registration fee.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

Natasha Taylor	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares – return trip to Kuala Lumpur	1,003
Registration fee per gymnast	120
TOTAL (PER GYMNAST)	\$1,123
Total Requested from the Community Board (per gymnast)	\$500

6. This is the first time the applicant has applied for funding from the Community Board.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

7. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund which was established from the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund. There is a balance of \$9,600 in the Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

8. There are no legal issues to be considered.

11. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

9. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

10. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

11. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

12. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

13. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board makes a grant of \$500 from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund to Natasha Michelle Taylor, to attend the Malaysian Friendship Gymnastics Competition in September 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

12. APPLICATION TO THE HAGLEY/FERRYMEAD COMMUNITY BOARD 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME FUND – HARRISON LOUIE KNIGHT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Recreation and Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Harrison (Harry) Louie Knight, a 19 year old a CPIT Jazz School student from Mt Pleasant is seeking support towards a trip to New York City from 8 to 25 October 2011 to further his music education and career.
3. Harry is leading a group of four students from CPIT Jazz School, who are currently studying towards a Bachelor of Musical Arts, to travel to New York City for a two week cultural and educational music trip. The group will experience the finest jazz through attending live performances and participating in group and individual workshops at the University of New York the Manhattan School of Music and the New York Jazz Academy. Harry's aim is that the trip will become an annual or bi-annual event for students to aspire to during the course of their studies.
4. Harry is passionate about music. At high school he made it into the regional finals at Rock Quest with his band 'The Producers'. He then went on to record a CD and perform concerts. Harry is now in his second year at CPIT where he achieves consistently high grades and is also highly regarded by staff and students for organising additional opportunities with notable musicians that students engage in and benefit from. He also enjoys film and media and has worked with a team from Weta Studios on a western movie 'Good for Nothing'. An active member of the Sumner Redcliffs Anglican Church, Harry is recognised as a gifted musician and contributes to music that is played on Sundays by the young adults group he belongs to. The group also played at the Community Board's older adults event at Woolston in July and are keen to continue to contribute to community events and functions.
5. Harry is enthusiastic about the contribution music makes to the wellbeing of a community and upon graduation plans to start a venue in Christchurch with a recording label where local, national and international musicians can perform and entertain.
6. Cameron Pearce, Programme Leader of Music Arts at CPIT supports the application. Having completed a Masters Degree in music at Queens' College, City University of New York he has assisted the group utilise well established contacts in the colleges the group intends to visit and notes that for the students to experience the art form of jazz performed at the highest level in New York will be very significant for those going on the trip plus their experience will also have a positive impact on other jazz students at CPIT.
7. Fund raising activities for the trip include selling fudge, working full time in the holidays doing security work and on a part time basis teaching guitar to local school students plus raffles and a few concerts.

12. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

Harrison Louie Knight	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares and insurance	2,343
Workshop and lesson fees	570
Accommodation, meals, travel, personal expenses	1,370
Concert admission fees	200
Total Cost	\$ 4,483
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$300

9. This is the first time that the applicant has applied to the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board for financial support.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants. This application is seeking funding from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund which was established from the Board's 2011/12 Discretionary Response Fund. There is a balance of \$9,600 in the Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

11. There are no legal implications in regards to this application.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with Council Activities and Services, Community Support, Community Grants and Grants, pages 176 and 184, in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. Yes. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board approve the application and make a grant of \$300 from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme Fund to Harrison Louie Knight towards jazz workshops and lessons in New York City in October 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

13. LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOP – COMMUNITY BOARDS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Jo Daly, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board's approval for interested members to attend Local Government New Zealand “Know How” Training Workshop – Community Boards, to be held at the Waimakariri District Council on Friday 16 September 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This workshop is a one day event and is aimed at helping Board members understand and value the role of the Board. It will cover in detail the role of the community board and how to build strong trust-based relationships. As well as working through relevant legislation, the workshop will review various success stories from across New Zealand.

Discussions include:

- The decision making processes
- Identifying personal action points
- Statutory roles and responsibilities
- Proactive and reactive roles
- How to advocate for the interests of your community

Further information is **attached**.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3. The cost of this Local Government workshop is \$350 plus GST per person.

The Board's 2011/12 training, conference and travel budgets currently have an unallocated budget of \$4,550, subject to recent decisions made by the Board on training.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

4. Yes, provision for elected member training is made in the LTCCP, specifically under the Elected Member Representation activity.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

5. Yes, there are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

6. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

7. Not applicable.

13. Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

8. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board give consideration to approving the attendance by interested members at the Local Government New Zealand "Know How" Training Workshop – Community Boards, to be held at the Waimakariri District Council on Friday 16 September 2011.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

14. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE

15. BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

16. BOARD MEMBER'S INFORMATION EXCHANGE