

**FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 16 AUGUST 2011

4PM

**IN MEETING ROOM 3,
FENDALTON SERVICE CENTRE
CORNER JEFFREYS AND CLYDE ROADS**

Community Board: Val Carter (Chairperson), Cheryl Colley (Deputy Chairperson), Sally Buck, Faimeh Burke, David Cartwright, Jamie Gough and David Halstead.

Community Board Adviser
Edwina Cordwell
Phone 941 6728 DDI
Email: edwina.cordwell@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION
PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- | | | |
|---------------|------------|--|
| PART C | 1. | APOLOGIES |
| PART C | 2. | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 1 AUGUST 2011 |
| PART B | 3. | DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
3.1 Selwyn Maister and Iain Ansell – High Performance Sport New Zealand |
| PART B | 4. | PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS |
| PART B | 5. | NOTICES OF MOTION |
| PART B | 6. | CORRESPONDENCE |
| PART B | 7. | BRIEFINGS |
| PART A | 8. | TEMPORARY HIGH PERFORMANCE SPORT FACILITY – JELLIE PARK |
| PART C | 9. | APPLICATION TO THE BOARD’S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME –
CRESCENDOS CHOIR MIDDLETON GRANGE SCHOOL |
| PART C | 10. | APPLICATION TO THE BOARD’S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – JENNY HUNG |
| PART C | 11. | LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOPS – MEDIA, DECISION
MAKING AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION |
| PART C | 12. | FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES
FUNDING 2011/12 ALLOCATIONS |

16. 8. 2011

- 2 -

- PART B 13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
- PART B 14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART B 15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 1 AUGUST 2011

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Monday 1 August 2011 are **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S OR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 Selwyn Maister (Acting CEO) and Iain Ansell, representing High Performance Sport New Zealand will speak to the Board in relation to Clause 8 (Part A) of this agenda.

4. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

Nil.

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil.

6. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

7. BRIEFINGS

8. TEMPORARY HIGH PERFORMANCE SPORT FACILITY – JELLIE PARK

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment Group, DDI 941-8606
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager Asset and Network Planning
Author:	Derek Roozen, Parks and Waterways Planner

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To seek the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board's consideration of this report and recommend to the Council that approval be given for the temporary location of a building to house the High Performance Sport New Zealand facility, and temporary car parking associated with the facility, in Jellie Park pursuant to the Canterbury Earthquake (Reserves Legislation) Order 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. High Performance Sport New Zealand (HPSNZ) is the new name of the organisation that was previously named the New Zealand Academy of Sport. HPSNZ is a network of high performance focused operations designed specifically to support and enhance the performance of leading and most promising sports men and women. HPSNZ's southern regional operations, headquartered in Dunedin, includes a major service centre located in Christchurch. In partnership with Sport and Recreation New Zealand (SPARC), HPSNZ is committed to excellence in high performance sport, providing a wide range of services and support for the region's talented athletes and coaches, including sport science, sports medicine, access to training facilities, coaching support, and career, educational and professional development opportunities.
3. HPSNZ is an incorporated society, which has provided services, support and resources to athletes and their coaches since 2000, enabling them to achieve notable national and international success.
4. The Christchurch Centre of Excellence for High Performance Sport, one of only two strategic high performance centres in the country (the other being in Auckland) was officially opened on 11 November 2009. It was, up to the time of the earthquake on 22 February 2011, located in Christchurch at the Queen Elizabeth II Park Recreation and Sport Centre (QEII). The Centre of Excellence was a joint venture principally between the Council and the New Zealand Academy of Sport - South Island (ASI), formalised through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) entered into in 2007 by the Council and ASI to work co-operatively together to advance a mutual and collective interest in performance sport and to outline some principles by which that may occur. The Centre housed ASI staff, as well as High Performance support teams from national sporting organisations including Bowls NZ, Bike NZ, Paralympics NZ, Triathlon NZ and the Winter Performance Programme. The Centre's training facilities had, prior to February, been significantly expanded, in partnership with the Council and the Canterbury Community Trust. These facilities included a dedicated athlete strength and conditioning zone and associated performance training equipment and technology, a recovery zone (including hot and cold pools), Paralympics friendly training facilities and a then soon-to-be-completed three lane 100 metre indoor track laid on the concourse under the main stadium. The Centre also had access to the 50 metre Olympic pool, 400 metre mondo running track and indoor courts.
5. The Centre of Excellence's presence at QEII was formalised through a lease for part of the premises there, with a deed of lease made to SPARC, as tenant, dated 22 December 2010, final expiry being 31 December 2025. Notice of termination of the lease because of the extensive damage caused to QEII by the 22 February 2011 earthquake, making it untenable, was given to HPSNZ on 17 May 2011.
6. The QEII Park Recreation and Sport Centre facility is now closed for the foreseeable future because of the earthquake damage. The popular QEII Fitness Centre however is being re-located to Parklands Community Centre and is due to open on 15 August 2011. The Centre of Excellence is currently operating out of two large rooms at the Pioneer Recreation and Sport Centre.

8 Cont'd

7. HPSNZ is not able to continue operating at the Pioneer Recreation and Sport Centre for a number of reasons including the following:
 - (a) They will need to move to enable the Southern Centre to be re-established at Pioneer.
 - (b) There is no viable option to re-establish the high performance gym and recovery facilities at Pioneer.
 - (c) There are limited quiet meeting spaces to meet athletes and clients on site.
8. HPSNZ has considered more than ten locations in Christchurch for a temporary High Performance Sport facility. See paragraph 15 of this report below and the accompanying table for an account of these. The preferred location is on the grassed area within, and at the north-western end of, the area occupied by the Council's Recreation and Sport Centre (upgraded in 2008) at Jellie Park. See **Attachment A** for a Concept Site Plan. The grassed area lies between the hydro slide (which is currently closed) on its southern side and a high wire mesh fence and mature trees on the other sides. The area identified lies within the area zoned for the recreation and sports centre as shown on the zoning plan on page 7 of the Jellie Park Management Plan, approved by the Council on 25 February 2010, and corresponds approximately with Area 3 (Outdoor activity space) on the Indicative Development Plan in the management plan (see **Attachment B** for the Indicative Development Plan). The development plan proposes that Area 3 be used for events supervised from the pool, and be used for future provision of additional recreation and sports facilities. Area 3 on the development plan lies between Area 2 (Ornamental lake and garden), which is situated below the terrace and is screened by the mature trees on the northern side of Area 3, and Area 7 (Recreation and sports centre). Both Area 3 and Area 7 are on the higher terrace.
9. The proposed facility comprises a rectangular shaped single storied building covering a floor area of approximately 2,000 square metres. The building is designed in such a way that much of it is re-locatable. The total area of park proposed to be occupied, including building surrounds and eleven new and six existing car parks, is approximately 4,000 square metres. Connection will be required to electricity, data/phone, stormwater and wastewater drainage, and high pressure town water supply. **Attachments A, C and D** show the concept site plan, proposed floor plan/elevations and site photos, respectively. HPSNZ have indicated that their aspiration for occupation of the site is until 18 April 2016 at the latest, with a desire to be considered for co-location with any major metropolitan sports facility planned as part of the Central City Plan or Greater Christchurch Recovery Strategy. Should that not be a viable option they would investigate alternative options to locate at the Christchurch Polytechnic Institute of Technology (CPIT) or the University of Canterbury.
10. The temporary placement of the High Performance Sport facility at the proposed Jellie Park site will require car parking to be provided for the exclusive use of facility staff and users. Existing car park space on the park, servicing the Recreation and Sports Centre, is already fully utilised and not in close proximity to the site of the proposed facility. HPSNZ therefore propose for new temporary car parking to be established on the side of the existing park road adjacent to the site, as well as to utilise some existing currently unused road-side car parking space. See **Attachment A** for the proposed location of this temporary parking. Officers advise that the location is not one that is currently available or accessible for public parking (the park road to this point is not open for public vehicular use), nor is it identified in the Jellie Park Management Plan as able to be considered for future parking needs.
11. The proposed building, surrounds and car park development will necessitate the removal of eleven trees. None of these are significant or protected in the City Plan. Some are already earmarked for removal due to age, condition or damage caused by 22 February 2011 earthquake. HPSNZ will replace all trees removed and meet the cost of the reinstatement of the site to the Council's satisfaction upon cessation of the temporary occupation.
12. The intended use of the proposed temporary facility will be similar to the QEII based facility prior to the 22 February earthquake. This will include the sports and athletes targeted then. The intention is to re-create the base for support staff, coaches and athletes from key national and regional sports who had made their base at QEII.

8 Cont'd

13. Jellie Park is a Christchurch City Council recreation reserve of 12.5 hectares in the north-west of the city. It is bounded on one side by the Wairarapa Stream and has an ornamental lake located in the centre of the park. The park provides recreational opportunities ranging from informal pursuits, such as walking, swimming, and picnicking, through to competitive field sports. It is home to the anchor aquatic facility for the western side of the city. It also has a popular skate park and play areas, and it serves as a green linkage and cycleway between Greers Road and Ilam Road. Being close to four schools, it is well used by young people.
14. Officers consider the proposed temporary occupation at Jellie Park will have a manageable effect upon the overall park environment and its use, due to the occupation being in an area not freely accessed by the public nor often used for events currently. An assessment of the effects is given in the following table, along with comment on how each of these may be mitigated.

Area of Effect	Effects	Comment on Effects and how they can be mitigated
Public Access	Closing off with additional fencing part of the area zoned in the Jellie Park Management Plan for the recreation and sports centre and which is normally accessible to the public through the Centre for informal/casual use.	The added fencing during construction is for security and public safety reasons and may be removed upon completion of the construction. The existing high perimeter fence will remain. Currently, there is limited public access to the site – that being only through the Recreation and Sport Centre.
Visual	There will be a large additional building, and associated car parking, placed on the park.	The building and car parking, of course, are temporary. The building can be designed, coloured and have surrounding landscaping and planting undertaken to integrate the structures into the surrounding environment. Furthermore, it will be sited near other structures and be screened from view from other parts of the park by trees and these other structures. It will not be located near any existing residential properties and the proposed site is located well off the street.
Vehicle Access	Heavy machinery and vehicle access across the park to the site will be required during the construction phase.	Park access will be via the existing park road, either from Greers Road or Ilam Road but probably from the former as this section of park road is not used for public/staff access to the Recreation and Sports Centre.
Physical (Ground)	Excavation for the underground services from the proposed building to connect to existing services, which are located mostly on or near the Greers Road side of the Recreation and Sports Centre, will require a reasonable amount of surface disruption during the excavation and installation phase of the proposed development.	The disruption related to the utilities installation is confined to the development phase and can be managed to minimise conflict with normal uses of the park. The building/development site will be fully reinstated to its prior condition and state upon cessation of the temporary occupation.

	Also, due to the scale of built and hard surface development, there will be significant modification to the existing grassed surface.	
Physical (Vegetation)	A number of park trees will need to be removed to allow the development of the proposed building and accompanying car parking in Jellie Park.	None of these trees are significant, or protected in the City Plan. Some are old, in poor condition or have been damaged by the earthquakes and therefore would otherwise need to be removed. In any case, all trees removed can be replaced upon cessation of the temporary occupation.
Non-compliance with management plan	The proposed building and car parking do not comply with the policies contained in the Jellie Park Management Plan (see paragraph 17 of this report below), which states that only the Council is to build and control the use of any new buildings built on the park, and the proposed new car parking is outside the area zoned for car parking in the plan.	The building is for a use that the Council supports; the proposed site for the building, and the use it is to be put to, is consistent with the zone (Recreation and sports centre) identified in the management plan.

15. At the request of the Council's Recreation and Sport Unit's leadership team a range of options to re-locate the facility were investigated by HPSNZ. These options included commercial, educational, private and potential Council facilities. Some of the benefits and reasons to discount for each of these, including of the preferred option, are given in the following table (the options are not necessarily listed in any particular order).

Location	Benefits	Reasons to Discount
Jellie Park (in the Recreation and sport zone) – Preferred Option	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good geographic location – close to airport, university and associated athlete base. • Greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. • Surrounding roads relatively unaffected by the earthquakes – supports a large cycling base from Triathlon and Bike NZ athletes. • Accessible pools – key factor particularly to support Triathlon NZ base, and key aquatic athletes. • The ground of the site is level and has not suffered earthquake damage. • Security of site, being within the fenced boundary of an existing Council facility. • Gives sense of being able to create a special environment to inspire athletes. • Able to replicate the interface between the public and athletes that existed at QEII. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary loss of publicly accessible (although this is marginal) greenspace. • No outdoor track facility. • Associated creation of further car parking (albeit temporary) in a part of the park for which that is not planned. • Generally non-complying with the Jellie Park Management Plan.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintains the spirit of co-operation and partnership between the Council and HPSNZ as promoted in the MOU (refer paragraph 4 of this report above). • Supported by Council management staff. • Available space currently underused by the public. • Low impact on landscape and views of the park through being screened by existing trees and structures. 	
St Bedes College	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good accessible location. • Good car parking. • Greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. • Pool access (although limited). • Court space available. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A disconnect with the Council (not able to maintain the opportunity of co-operation and partnership under the MOU). • School use is the priority.
Pioneer Recreation and Sport Centre – Current Temporary Location	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good accessible location. • Good indoor court space. • Greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. • Good access to road cycling and hill training. • Council owned and operated facility – good security. • Good car parking. • Pool access (although limited). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to move to enable the Southern Centre Multi Sensory Experience, previously located at QEII, to be re-established at the Pioneer Recreation and Sport Centre. • No space in existing building to accommodate core high performance sport facilities. • Limited quiet meeting spaces to meet athletes and clients on site. • Poor pool space – high community swim programmes. • No outdoor track facility. • Not so close to accommodation or airport.
Centennial Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good accessible location. • Available land on site to create a stand alone facility. • Good car parking. • Greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary loss of publicly accessible greenspace. • No outdoor track facility. • May require further car parking (albeit temporary). • Not so close to accommodation or airport.
Westminster Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good accessible location. • Good car parking. • Greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor existing facilities - no pool or court space. • Not supported by Council staff. • Cost prohibitive to HPSNZ for development of facilities.
CPIT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good accessible location. • Good facilities, including court space. • Potential option for long term solution. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No greenspace environment and fields for outdoor training. • Limited high performance sport environment potential. • No pool. • Limited car parking. • In the earthquake recovery construction zone for the next few years. • Education use is the priority. • Not so close to accommodation or airport.

University of Canterbury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good accessible location. • Potential option for long term solution. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short term unavailability of land and/or timing issues with respect to campus plans.
Cowles Stadium		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not functional – lack of specialist facilities.
Wigram Hangers		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost prohibitive. • A disconnect with the Council.
Rugby Park		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing issue.
Clearwater		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing and cost issues.
Other commercial space		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost prohibitive. • Cost and length of lease. • Lack of specialist facilities. • High demand for and competition with the corporate business world. • Being typically located in industrial areas, detracting from a high performance environment.

16. The proposed facility would be open up to 360 days of the year (similar to when at QEII). The facility would typically be open weekday office hours 8:30am to 5:30pm, with the gym possibly open after hours and on the weekends with swipe card access. Vehicle access will be controlled by a barrier arm through a dedicated entrance off the internal park road coming from Ilam Road.
17. Policy 3.10.1 of the Jellie Park Management Plan states that new buildings will be erected only by the City Council, and Policy 3.10.3 requires that new buildings be erected only in the recreation and sports centre zone, which is where the proposed HPSNZ facility is proposed to be located (refer paragraph 8 of this report above). Policy 3.10.2 states that the Council will take direct control of the activities and use of buildings on the park, and further that the use is not to be restricted to one particular club or group. With respect to vehicle parking and circulation on the park, policies 3.13.1 to 3.13.4 of the management plan provide for formal car parking areas and vehicle access to be confined to between the Recreation and Sport Centre and Ilam Road for general public use, and between the centre and the south-western boundary of the park for parking by staff and people with disabilities, with angle parking straddling the park boundaries on Ilam and Greers Roads. The Legal Considerations section of this report explains the relationship between the Jellie Park Management Plan and the Canterbury Earthquake (Reserves Legislation) Order 2011.
18. Jellie Park is comprised of four classified recreation reserve titles subject to section 17 of the Reserves Act 1977, totalling 12.6015 hectares in area, these being listed in the table below.

Legal Description	Certificate of Title	Area
RS 40044	12A/976	11.8133
Lot 3 DP 40118	19A/162	0.1541
Pt Lot 131 DP 16040	40C/128	0.0731
Lot 71 DP 68192	39D/571	0.5610

The proposed temporary occupation will be within RS 40044.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

19. There are no financial implications for the Council arising from granting permission for HPSNZ to temporarily occupy the site in Jellie Park. HPSNZ will meet all costs associated with the establishment and operation of the facility, including all resource and building consents, and costs to reinstate the site, to the satisfaction of the Greenspace Manager or her designate, at the end of the occupation.

8 Cont'd

20. Officers propose that a ground rental will be charged for the temporary occupation of the site, as decided by an independent registered valuer approved by the Council, plus a charge levied for the car parking associated with the proposed facility.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

21. See above.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

22. Ordinarily, the grant to a sports body of a right to occupy a recreation reserve would be by way of a lease granted by the Council under section 54 of the Reserves Act 1977. If a proposed lease conforms with the management plan for the reserve then no other process steps are required. However, if a proposed lease does not conform with the management plan, the Reserves Act requires that a public consultation process is undertaken (including the hearing of any objections received) and that the consent of the Minister of Conservation is obtained.
23. In response to the circumstances arising from the 22 February 2011 earthquake, the Government made the Canterbury Earthquake (Reserves Legislation) Order ("the Order") to enable reserves to be used for certain purposes that would not ordinarily be permissible under the Reserves Act.
24. Whilst the Order currently expires on 31 March 2012, the Department of Building and Housing and the Department of Conservation have recommended to the Government that the Order be extended to 18 April 2016 (which is the expiry date of the empowering legislation under which the Order has been made). It is expected that extension will be made in September 2011. Unless the Order is extended beyond 18 April 2016 it will not be possible for the Council to authorise the use of Jellie Park beyond this date. Any use beyond that date would need to be authorised using the 'business as usual' processes available under the Reserves Act.
25. Clause 5(c) of the Order provides that the Council, or any person authorised by the Council in writing, may use a reserve or erect a structure on a reserve for any purpose if the use or structure is necessary in the opinion of the Council or the chief executive of the Council to respond in a timely manner to any circumstances arising from the earthquake.
26. The Order provides that when the Council authorises any use of a reserve, or the erection of any structure on a reserve, it does not need to comply with any relevant management plan or the usual Reserves Act processes. However, the Council is required to take all reasonable steps to protect the integrity of the reserve and to ensure that the reserve is reinstated at the end of the use or when the structure is removed.
27. In addition to Council authorisation under the Order, the applicant will also need to obtain all necessary resource and building consents required.
28. If the Council approves the proposed occupation under the Order then a formal written occupation agreement will be entered into by the applicant. The agreement will contain the provisions that would ordinarily be included in a lease to protect the Council's position, including an obligation on the occupier to remove its building at the end of the term and to reinstate the land.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

29. Yes, see above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

30. Not applicable.

8 Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

31. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

32. Not applicable.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

33. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

34. Clause 6 of the Order expressly provides that the Council may act under the Order without complying with the Reserves Act 1977 (including any provision relating to public notification or the hearing of objections).
35. Clause 7 of the Order requires the Council to give notification to parties who have an easement, lease, licence, covenant or other legal right over the area of reserve to be temporarily occupied under the Order. There are no such parties over the area proposed to be temporarily occupied in Jellie Park.
36. In addition, the Canterbury Earthquake (Local Government Act 2002) Order 2010 exempts the Council from compliance with some of the decision-making processes set out in the Local Government Act 2002. These include the requirement that the Council considers community views and preferences.
37. The exemptions can be relied upon in this case because it is necessary for the purpose of ensuring that Christchurch, the Council, and its communities respond to and recover from the impacts of the Canterbury Earthquakes.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board recommend that the Council resolve as follows:

- (a) Pursuant to clause 5(c) of the Canterbury Earthquake (Reserves Legislation) Order 2011, and having formed the opinion that such is necessary to respond in a timely manner to circumstances resulting from the earthquake of 22 February 2011 and subsequent aftershocks, that High Performance Sport New Zealand Incorporated be authorised to use that part of the recreation reserve known as Jellie Park. The area of occupation is approximately shown as the hatched area labelled "Temporary High Performance Sport Facility Area", incorporating the "proposed building", and associated car parking areas on the concept site plan attached to this report as **Attachment A** for the purpose of the erection of a building to be operated as a High Performance Sport facility;
- (b) That the period for which the authority referred to in paragraph (a) of this resolution shall apply is that period commencing on the date of this resolution until the date on which the Canterbury Earthquake (Reserves Legislation) Order 2011 shall expire (including any amended expiry date);
- (c) That the Corporate Support Manager be delegated the power to negotiate and enter into on behalf of the Council such occupancy agreement, warrant or similar document on such terms and conditions as he shall consider necessary to implement the authority referred to in paragraph (a) of this resolution (including the obligation on the occupier to remove the building and to reinstate the reserve once this authority has expired).

9. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – CRESCENDOS CHOIR MIDDLETON GRANGE SCHOOL

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Support Group
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports
Author:	Ken Howat, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Crescendos Choir is a mixed choir comprising of Tenor, Soprano, Base and Alto. Twenty seven students from Middleton Grange School make up the choir which has been operating for four years. Six of these students live in the Fendalton/Waimairi Ward. Phillipa Chirside the Choir Director is applying for \$200 each, on behalf of the students to attend the Big Sing Competition. The students are as follows:
 - o Savannah Minchington
 - o Meg Van Ameyde
 - o Sydney Erickson
 - o Laura Boyce
 - o Chris George
 - o Sam Moot
3. The Crescendos Choir has been invited as a guest choir to perform at the New Zealand Choral Federation (NZCF) Secondary Schools Choral Competition-The Big Sing 2011 at the Wellington Town Hall from 22 to the 24 August.
4. The Big Sing is a popular event among Secondary Schools and only four schools have been invited as guest choirs. These choirs will perform in the Guest Choir Programme which is held concurrently with the Finale. Guest Choirs will perform one ten minute recital in the competition session. They will also each have an hour long workshop with a choral clinician and other guest choirs, in a nearby venue, perform an item at the Gala Concert, receive valuable professional development from the conductor through hearing the top secondary choirs and receive a written report from the clinicians.
5. In 2009 the Crescendos Choir won the Toogood Youtube Choir competition and in 2010 were participants in Praise Be. Attending the Big Sing 2011 will be a great opportunity for the Choir to view exceptional choirs and to aspire to even higher standards. The exposure to choir training will also be of significant benefit to them.
6. The Choir has been involved in several fund raising activities, including chemical toilet packing project (\$3,000), selling programmes at the school production of "Annie" (projected income of \$3,000 - \$4,000) and selling Baking Paper.
7. The future goal of the Crescendos Choir is to gain Finale status in a Finale Big Sing Competition.

9. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

Crescendos Choir	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares	180
Accommodation (backpackers)	96
Meals	50
Social Event	12
Transport costs	20
Staff costs	60
TOTAL (PER STUDENT)	\$418
Total Requested from the Community Board (per student)	\$200

9. This is the first time the applicants have applied for funding from the Board.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

11. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board makes a grant of \$600 to Middleton Grange School Crescendos Choir towards expenses for the trip to the Big Sing Competition, from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme to be distributed equally to the following students; Savannah Minchington, Meg Van Ameyde, Sydney Erickson, Laura Boyce, Chris George and Sam Moot.

10. APPLICATION TO THE BOARD'S YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – JENNY HUNG

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Support Group
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager , Recreation and Sports
Author:	Ken Howat, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant is Jenny Hung, a 20 year old Canterbury University student living in Ilam.
3. Jenny has been selected to represent New Zealand in the Oceania Table Tennis Cup in Adelaide. The Oceania Cup is held every two years and is the most prestigious table tennis event in the region, comprising of the top Oceania players. Category winners of this tournament will represent the region at the World Cup later this year.
4. Jenny's list of achievements in the sport include Under 21 Women's Singles Oceania Champion 2010, quarter finalist Women's Doubles, Commonwealth Games 2010, New Zealand Table Tennis Player of the Year 2009 and ranked number one New Zealand Women's Open Player since 2008. Her goals are to represent New Zealand at the 2012 Olympic Games and 2014 Commonwealth Games.
5. Jenny's family immigrated to New Zealand from Taiwan in 1995 and have lived in the Fendalton/Waimairi ward since that time. Jenny started playing table tennis at age seven and has represented New Zealand at age group level since age 13. She has been the Table Tennis Canterbury junior coach for the last years and will be head coach for the Canterbury Junior team competing in the New Zealand Junior Nationals in Auckland later this month.
6. Jenny has fundraised selling entertainment books and receives payment for her coaching role with Table Tennis Canterbury.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of costs:

EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfares	878
Accommodation and Entry Fee	378
Training costs in preparation for the tournament	540
TOTAL	\$1,796

8. This is the first time the applicants have applied for funding from the Board.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

10. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board makes a grant of \$300 to Jenny Hung towards the cost of competing in the Oceania Table Tennis Cup from the 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

11. LOCAL GOVERNMENT “KNOW HOW” TRAINING WORKSHOPS – MEDIA, DECISION MAKING AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Edwina Cordwell, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board’s approval for interested members to attend Local Government New Zealand “Know How” Training Workshops – How to Present the Right Image to the Media, Decision Making and a Practical Guide to Public Consultation, to be held at the Selwyn District Council on Tuesday 30 August, Tuesday 20 September and Tuesday 18 October 2011 respectively.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. These workshops are all one day events. The How to Present the Right Image to the Media course is designed for elected members to develop a strong relationship with the media. The Decision Making – How it Really Works course provides an interactive workshop which references the Local Government Act, the Council’s Long Term Plan and other relevant legislation to give a complete framework for decision making. The Practical Guide to Public Consultation workshop focuses on how consultation works in the local government context.

Further information on each workshop is **attached**.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3. The cost of these Local Government workshops are:

How to Present the Right Image to the Media	\$795 per person plus GST
Decision Making – How it Really Works	\$495 per person plus GST
Practical Guide to Public Consultation	\$795 per person plus GST

The Board’s 2011/12 training and travel budgets currently have an unallocated budget of \$4,550

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

4. Yes, provision for elected member training is made in the LTCCP, specifically under the Elected Member Representation activity.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

5. Yes, there are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

6. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council’s strategies?

7. Not applicable.

11. Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

8. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board give consideration to approving the attendance by interested members at the Local Government New Zealand "Know How" Training Workshops – How to Present the Right Image to the Media, Decision Making and a Practical Guide to Public Consultation, to be held at the Selwyn District Council on Tuesday 30 August, Tuesday 20 September and Tuesday 18 October 2011 respectively.

12. FENDALTON/WAIMAIRI COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2011/12 ALLOCATIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, Ph 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Carolyn Gallagher, Unit Manager Community Support
Author:	Maryanne Lomax, Community Development Advisor

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board to allocate the Fendalton/Waimairi Strengthening Communities Fund for 2011/12.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. This report provides information to Board Members on the applications received for the Strengthening Communities Fund.
3. The total pool available for allocation in 2011/12, as outlined in the LTCCP, is \$238,918. There are no pre-existing commitments. Applications totalling \$304,210 were received. Current staff recommendations total \$238,918.
4. **Attachment 1** (which is separately circulated) is a decision matrix, which outlines the projects that funding is being sought for. Following staff collaboration meetings, staff have ranked all projects as either Priority 1, 2, 3 or 4 and have made recommendations as to funding.
5. The Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board has not put forward any projects as Key Local Projects in 2011/12.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

7. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

8. Yes Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes, including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

9. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch community boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

12. Cont'd

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in the attached decision matrix and approve allocations from the Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding for 2011/12.

BACKGROUND

Strengthening Communities Strategy

12. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Projects Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme
13. For detailed information on the Strengthening Communities Strategy's Outcomes and Priorities, please see **Attachment 2**. The specific criteria for the Strengthening Communities Fund is also attached, as **Attachment 3**.

The Decision Matrix

14. Information on the projects is presented in a Decision Matrix, (attachment 1 which is separately circulated). To ensure consistency, the same Decision Matrix format and presentation has been provided to the Metropolitan Funding Committee and all Community Boards.
15. Applications are project-based; information is provided that relates specifically to the project for which funding is being sought, not the wider organisation.
16. All applications appearing on the Decision Matrix have been assigned a Priority Rating. The Priority Ratings are:
 - Priority 1** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 - Priority 2** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 - Priority 3** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 - Priority 4** Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities; or Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor); or other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.
17. Staff have used the following criteria to determine whether an application is a Priority One:
 - Impact the project has on the city
 - Reach of the project
 - Depth of the project
 - Value for Money
 - Best Practice
 - Innovation
 - Strong alignment to Council Outcomes and Priorities
 - Noteworthy leverage or partnership/match funding from other organisations or government departments.

12 Cont'd

18. There were no ineligible applications received

Key Local Projects

19. Each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
20. The Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board has not put forward any projects as Key Local Projects in 2011/12.
21. The Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board had no existing KLPs.

Timeline and Process

22. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting. All groups will then be informed of the decisions and funding agreements will be negotiated where relevant. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2011.

16. 8. 2011

- 21 -

13. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE
15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS