

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 19 APRIL 2011

AT 5PM

**AT THE RICCARTON CLUB,
66B WHARENUI ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Ishwar Ganda, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Jo Daly

Telephone: 941-6601

Email: jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	CLAUSE	
PART B	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 15 FEBRUARY 2011
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
PART B	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
	6.1	Gilberthorpes Estate Residents' Association Inc
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART A	8.	RICCARTON/WIGRAM WARD NEW PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROADS IMPLEMENTATION
PART A	9.	ELECTED MEMBERS' REMUNERATION FOR 2011/12
PART C	10.	TREE REMOVALS AND REPLACEMENTS IN RESERVES
PART C	11.	RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE – REPORT OF COMMITTEE MEETING – 17 FEBRUARY 2011
PART C	12.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME –OMAR TARAK ABAZA

	PG NO	CLAUSE	
PART B	36	13.	RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – REPORT OF COMMITTEE MEETING – 15 FEBRUARY 2011
PART C	39	14.	RESILIENT FUTURES CONFERENCE – APPROVAL FOR ATTENDANCE
PART B	40	15.	BOARD MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 15 FEBRUARY 2011**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Tuesday 15 February 2011 are **attached**.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 15 February 2011 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICES OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

6.1 GILBERTHORPES ESTATE RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATION INC

Correspondence (**attached**) has been received from the above residents group regarding issues within the estate.

7. BRIEFINGS

8. **RICCARTON/WIGRAM WARD NEW PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROADS IMPLEMENTATION**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager of City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Paul Forbes, Assistant Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to the Council to approve the new times and days for roads in the Riccarton/Wigram Ward currently on the "Register of Prohibited Times On Roads" ("The Register").

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. At the Council meeting held on 22 April 2010 it was resolved that the existing "Prohibited Times on Road" be reassessed into the two categories presented at the meeting (refer **Attachment 2**, Paragraph d).
3. The Police are supportive of the tool that the Traffic and Parking Bylaw provides them with to control anti-social road user behaviour on roads that have been identified as "problem" areas. The Police also support consistency throughout the city.
4. Prohibited Roads should be categorised into two groups:
 - (a) Group A: Roads that are in industrial areas and have no through traffic function. The days and times are from 10.00pm to 5.00am, seven days a week;
 - (b) Group B: Rural roads that are of a residential nature and/or have some through traffic function. The days and times are from 10.00pm to 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on days immediately preceding Statutory Holidays to 5.00am on the Statutory Holiday and from 10.00pm on the statutory holiday to 5.00am the following day.
5. Selwyn District Council (SDC) also have a Traffic & Parking Bylaw that includes a "Register of Prohibited Times on Road". However, the times on SDCs Register are different from Christchurch City Council's Register. For Police to be able to enforce the "Prohibitions" on boundary roads, the times and days on each of these roads must be the same. Dawsons Road is the only boundary road currently on the "Register" in the Riccarton/Wigram Ward. Because Dawsons Road is already on the "Register" with times and days the same as SDCs "Register", Dawsons Road will be unaffected by this proposal.
6. Roads within Riccarton/Wigram that have existing prohibitions with times and days that differ from the two categories set at the Council meeting on 22 April 2010 are: Anchorage Road, Barters Road, Calgary Place, Canada Crescent, Chinook Place, Dakota Crescent, Edmonton Road, Gerald Connolly Place, Green Lane, Hasketts Road, Hickory Place, Klondyke Drive, Leggett Road, McTeigue Road, Mountview Place, Paragon Place, Prairie Place, Produce Place, Roberts Road, Sonter Road, Timothy Place, Wilmers Road and Yukon Place. **Attachment 1** shows what changes need to be made so that the roads align with the two categories. Wigram Close, Hammersmith Drive, Ballarat Way, Kotzikas Place and Michelle Road are all consistent with the new times and days and therefore no change is required to the Register in regards to these roads.
7. With the exception of roads that are boundary roads with SDC, any roads added to the Register in the future will align with the new policy. SDC boundary roads will match the times and days on SDC's Register which are 9.00 pm to 5.00am, Monday to Friday.
8. It is the intention of this report to align the times and days of existing prohibitions on roads with those approved by the Council (refer **Attachment 2**, Paragraph d).

8. Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9. The estimate for the replacement signage is \$5,000.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

10. The installation of road signs and markings are within the LTCCP Transport and Greenspace operational budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

11. Clause 15 (1) of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides that "The Council may by resolution specify any road or part of a road and the days and times during which motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kilograms are prohibited from being used on the road or part of that road or roads".
12. The installation of any restriction signs and/or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. As noted in paragraphs 11 and 12.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. Aligns with the Transport and Greenspace activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety and access.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

16. The recommendations align with the Council's Safer Christchurch Strategy and Litter Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

17. As noted in paragraph 16.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

18. New Zealand Police have been informed of the proposal.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board recommend to the Council:

- (a) That the Council revoke the existing times and days on the Register of Prohibited Times on the following roads:

Anchorage Road
Barbers Road
Calgary Place
Canada Crescent
Chinook Place
Dakota Crescent
Edmonton Road
Gerald Connolly Place

Leggett Road
McTeigue Road
Mountview Place
Paragon Place
Prairie Place
Produce Place
Roberts Road
Sonter Road

8. Cont'd

Green Lane
Hasketts Road
Hickory Place
Klondyke Drive

Timothy Place
Wilmers Road
Yukon Place

- (b) That the Council resolve that pursuant to the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008, Part 2, clause 15, motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kilograms are prohibited from being operated on the following roads at the following times and days:
- (i) Anchorage Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (ii) Barters Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on any day which precedes a statutory holiday to 5.00am the next morning (the statutory holiday day) and from 10.00pm on any statutory holiday to 5.00am the following day;
 - (iii) Calgary Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (iv) Canada Crescent between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (v) Chinook Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (vi) Dakota Crescent between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (vii) Edmonton Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (viii) Gerald Connolly Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (ix) Green Lane between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (x) Hasketts Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xi) Hickory Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xii) Klondyke Drive between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xiii) Leggett Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on any day which precedes a statutory holiday to 5.00am the next morning (the statutory holiday day) and from 10.00pm on any statutory holiday to 5.00am the following day;
 - (xiv) McTeigue Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on any day which precedes a statutory holiday to 5.00am the next morning (the statutory holiday day) and from 10.00pm on any statutory holiday to 5.0 am the following day;
 - (xv) Mountview Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xvi) Paragon Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xvii) Prairie Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xviii) Produce Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xix) Roberts Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on any day which precedes a statutory holiday to 5.00am the next morning (the statutory holiday day) and from 10.00pm on any statutory holiday to 5.00am the following day;
 - (xx) Sonter Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;
 - (xxi) Timothy Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday;

8. Cont'd

(xxii) Wilmers Road between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Thursday to Monday, from 10.00pm on any day which precedes a statutory holiday to 5.00am the next morning (the statutory holiday day) and from 10.00pm on any statutory holiday to 5.00am the following day;

(xxiii) Yukon Place between 10.00pm and 5.00am, Monday to Sunday.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

9. ELECTED MEMBERS' REMUNERATION 2011/12

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Authors:	Lisa Goodman

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to enable the Council to formulate a proposal to be submitted to the Remuneration Authority for the payment of remuneration to elected members for the twelve month period beginning 1 July 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Remuneration Authority has advised that the remuneration pool for the elected members of the Christchurch City Council and its eight community boards for the 2011/12 year has been fixed at \$1,510,828. This is an increase of \$38,705 in the size of the pool (approximately 2%) compared to this current financial year, which is \$1,472,123.
3. The pool excludes the Mayor's gross salary of \$168,700 which has already been fixed by the Remuneration Authority. This has not been increased.
4. Based on the rules and principles set by the Remuneration Authority, the Council is now required to determine how it proposes to allocate the pool amongst the 53 elected members (Councillors and Community Board members) for the 2011/2012 financial year and, once decided by the Council, submit its proposal to the Remuneration Authority for approval. That approval must be given before the Council can implement its proposed remuneration structure.
5. Given that:
 - (a) the Remuneration Authority has recently confirmed its views on the remuneration ratio between Councillors and Community Board members, including a distinction between metropolitan and rural Community Boards, and
 - (b) the Council has only recently discussed this remuneration ratio on 10 April 2011 when submitting a proposal to the Authority for the remuneration for the balance of this current financial year,

it is proposed that the remuneration levels for the Deputy Mayor, Councillors, Community Board Chairs and remaining Community Board members be continued at the same ratios as those for the 2010/11 year, and that the increase of \$38,705 in the size of the pool be allocated on a pro-rata basis across all elected member roles.

6. All Community Boards have been consulted on the contents of this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?**

7. With the increase in the pool there will be an increase in overall remuneration for elected members of \$47,588; comprising the \$38,705 increase as well as an additional \$8,883 to meet the increase in community board remuneration from outside the pool. Provision for this increase will be made in the draft 2011/12 Annual Plan.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

8. The principal statutory provisions which apply in this instance are the Seventh Schedule of the Local Government Act 2002, and the Remuneration Authority Act 1977. Once this Council's 2011/12 remuneration proposal (or any variation thereof) has been approved by the Remuneration Authority, it will be gazetted via the Local Government Elected Members' Determination 2012.

9. Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

9. Page 156 of the LTCCP, level of service under Democracy and Governance refers.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

10. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

11. The Council's proposal for remuneration must be received by the Remuneration Authority no later than May 2011 so that the Authority can issue its Determination prior to 1 July 2011. This has meant there has been sufficient time to consult with all Community Boards and seek their views which will be included in this report when it is submitted to the Council.
12. In submitting its proposal to the Remuneration Authority, the Council is required to notify the Authority of:
- (a) details of any dissent at Council; and
 - (b) details of any dissent from its community boards.
13. Any person (including individual community boards) also has the ability to express any opposing views they might have on the Council's final proposal direct to the Remuneration Authority. Although there is no set closing date for the lodging of such submissions with the Authority, they should be lodged as soon as possible after the Council has reached a final decision on its preferred remuneration structure, as the Authority intends to deal with each application within a relatively short time-frame.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

14. It is recommended that the Council:
- (a) Adopt the salary only model as its basis of remuneration for elected members of the Christchurch City Council for the remainder of the 2011/12 financial year.

Note: The remuneration framework requires all community board members to be paid an annual salary (i.e. there is no provision for the payment of meeting fees to community board members).
 - (b) Agree to submit the proposal set out in paragraph 27 of this report to the Remuneration Authority, which provides for 2010/11 remuneration levels and ratios to be applied for 2011/12, with the increase in the pool's size of \$38,705 and associated increase of \$8,883 from outside the pool to be allocated on the 2010/11 pro-rata basis across all elected member salaries (with the exception of the Mayor).
 - (c) Note that the Remuneration Authority must be advised of any dissent expressed by members of the Council or its Community Boards in relation to the Council's final proposal.

9. Cont'd

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)**Remuneration Framework**

15. The Remuneration Authority is responsible for setting the salaries of elected local government representatives (clause 6 of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002 refers).
16. A brief summary of the remuneration framework and the rules and principles under which the Remuneration Authority works is attached as **Attachment One**.
17. The indicative pool for Christchurch City Council elected member remuneration in the remainder of 2011/2012 is \$1,510,828. This is for the total remuneration for the Deputy Mayor and Councillors, and 50 per cent of the total remuneration paid to elected Community Board members (excluding Councillors as they have been appointed by the Council to community boards). Fifty per cent of the total remuneration paid to elected community board members is paid outside the pool.
18. Only one salary is payable to elected members. Thus, a Councillor who serves as an appointed member of a Community Board is paid a Councillor's salary only, and receives no additional payment for serving on the Community Board.
19. Directors' fees paid to Councillors who serve as directors of Council-controlled organisations cannot be taken into account when considering Councillors' remuneration. The directors' fees paid to such Councillors reflect their service as directors of the companies concerned, rather than their role as Councillors.
20. The Mayor's salary is set independently by the Remuneration Authority, and is not included within the pool. Where a Mayor has partial or full private use of a car provided by the Council (as is the case in Christchurch), the Mayor's gross salary is reduced by an amount which reflects both the extent of private use and the value of the car supplied.
21. Although it is possible for the Council to recommend the payment of a mixture of salary and meeting fees to Councillors, community board members must be paid on a salary only basis, without meeting fees.
22. Christchurch City Council has had a salary only basis for remuneration of all its elected members since 2004.

Current Remuneration Levels

23. The salaries that currently apply to Christchurch City Council elected members for the 2010/11 year (excluding the Mayor) are:

Position	Total Positions	Individual Salary	Totals
Deputy Mayor	1	\$99,571	\$99,571
Councillors	12	\$86,249	\$1,034,988
<i>Total Councillors salaries</i>	<i>13</i>		<i>\$1,134,559</i>
City CB Chairs	6	\$24,270	\$145,620
BP CB Chairs	2	\$16,018	\$32,036
City CB members	24	\$16,989	\$407,736
BP CB members	8	\$11,216	\$89,728
<i>Total CB salaries</i>	<i>40</i>		<i>\$675,120</i>
<i>less 50% outside pool</i>			<i>\$337,560</i>
Total paid from pool			\$1,472,119

9. Cont'd

24. The proportions in percentage terms of the current 2010/11 Determination as set by the Remuneration Authority are:

<i>Deputy Mayor</i>	
<i>Councillors x 12</i>	<i>(86.62% of Deputy Mayor's salary)</i>
<i>City Community Board Chairs x 6</i>	<i>(28.14% of Councillors)</i>
<i>City Community Board members x 24</i>	<i>(70% of City Board Chair)</i>
<i>Peninsula Community Board Chairs x 2</i>	<i>(66% of City Board Chair)</i>
<i>Peninsula Community Board members x 8</i>	<i>(70.02% of Peninsula Board Chair)</i>

25. Factors underlying the rationale given previously by the Remuneration Authority in 2007 for approving the above ratio between Councillors and Community Boards, and Deputy Mayor and Councillors, are as follows:
- The size, complexity and in particular the accountability of the Councillors' role, especially compared to that of the members of Community Boards
 - Maintaining a margin between the remuneration of the Deputy Mayor and that of a Councillor
 - City Community Board Chairs – maintaining relativity with other urban Community Board Chairs
 - Maintaining a 70 per cent relationship between the remuneration of Community Board members and that of the Board Chairs
 - The remuneration for Chairs of the Peninsula Community Boards is well above the norm for chairs of rural community boards, but as part of Christchurch City there is a wider role for both the chairs and members, and a corresponding extra time commitment, which may not be faced by members of other rural community boards.
26. In a letter to the Council dated 2 March 2011, responding to the Council's proposal for 2010/11 remuneration that was adopted at the Council meeting of 10 February 2011, the Remuneration Authority has confirmed its views on the differing levels of remuneration (see **Attachment Two**).

Proposed New Remuneration Levels

27. There are many possible options that can be provided on this topic (such as a mix of salary and meeting fees and other differences between elected members). Given the points outlined in paragraphs 25 and 26 above and that elected members have discussed these issues within the past three months, it is proposed that the 2010/11 relativities between elected members be continued for the next financial year, with the increase in the pool allocated on a pro-rata basis resulting in the following adjustments:

	Total Positions	Individual Salary	Totals
Deputy Mayor	1	\$102,190	\$102,190
Councillors	12	\$88,517	\$1,062,204
<i>Total Councillors salaries</i>	13		<i>\$1,164,394</i>
City CB Chairs	6	\$24,909	\$149,454
BP CB Chairs	2	\$16,440	\$32,880
City CB members	24	\$17,436	\$418,464
BP CB members	8	\$11,511	\$92,088
<i>Total CB salaries</i>	40		<i>\$692,886</i>
<i>less 50% outside pool</i>			<i>\$346,443</i>
Total paid from pool			\$1,510,837

10. TREE REMOVALS AND REPLACEMENTS IN RESERVES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Manager
Author:	Zoë Dewson, Asset and Network Planning

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's approval for the following:
 - (a) Wigram Village Green detailed tree removal and replacement plans
 - (b) Arcon Stream Reserve tree removals and landscape concept plan
 - (c) Aidanfield Reserve tree removals for Stage 8 stormwater basin

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**Wigram Village Green – Tree Removals and replacements**

2. Wigram Village Green is located on the intersection of Springs Road and Corsair Drive. It is one of the main entrances into the Wigram Heritage Area that includes the Heritage Protected Tower and Hanger Buildings and the Kingsford-Smith Heritage Reserve. It is also the main entrance into the Subdivision of the former Wigram Aerodrome site, now being re-branded as 'Wigram Skies' and owned by Ngai Tahu Property Ltd.
3. On the 29 September 2010, the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board considered and resolved to approve the Wigram Village Green Landscape Concept Plans. (Prepared by John Marsh Landscape Architects on behalf of Ngai Tahu Property Ltd (the Developer), as part of their subdivision application.)
4. As part of these approved landscape concept plans, the Developer proposes to remove and replace specimen trees to enhance the Wigram Village Green, as seen in **Attachment 1** and **Attachment 2**.
5. A total of 25 trees have been identified to be removed primarily due to stem damage, die back, disease or poor form reasons. The species to be removed include 14 *Quercus palustris*, four *Fraxinus americana*, four *Alnus glutinosa*, an *Arbutus unedo*, *Castanea sativa* and a *Cupressus* sp. **Attachment 1** documents, the reasons why each tree has been selected for removal.
6. The Developer proposes, as part of the overall landscape shrub planting and enhancements, to replace these trees where appropriate. **Attachment 2** Planting Plan indicates a total of 16 new specimen grade *Podocarpus totara* are to be planted. The species selection relates to the overall theme of the already planted Awatea Stormwater Basin project and the general theme of the Wigram Skies residential development. The removal and replacement of the nominated species can be funded by Reserve Contributions from the new subdivision.
7. The proposed tree planting must comply with the Infrastructure Design Guidelines (IDS) (2010), and the Construction Standard Specifications (CSS) (2010), to ensure that all landscape works meet the Council's standards. All proposed works are to be maintained by the developer for an Establishment period of 12 months (the maintenance and defects period) following council acceptance of construction.
8. After 12 months establishment, the landscape planting will be taken over by the Council and become part of the regular maintenance regimes for the Riccarton/Wigram Parks Maintenance Team.

10. Cont'd

ARCON Stream Reserve – Landscape Concept Plan

9. Arcon Stream Reserve is located to the western side of Carmen Road, with pedestrian access from Carmen Road, Vanguard Drive and Kintyre Drive. Paparua Stream flows through the reserve and is culverted under Carmen Road. New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) is upgrading State Highway 1 from Yaldhurst Road to the railway line south of Waterloo Road from two to four lanes. The four-laned road will be within the road reserve adjacent to Arcon Stream Reserve, and will require the realignment of Paparua Stream as shown in **Attachment 3**. To enable the stream realignment to go ahead, there is a proposal to remove a number of trees from within Arcon Stream Reserve, as well as riparian vegetation along the stream margins. The trees for removal are shown in **Attachment 4**.
10. A total of 11 trees have been identified for removal because of their location in proximity to the new carriageway or the realigned waterway. Of these, two may be tree spaded and relocated if possible. The species to be removed include single specimens of silver birch, golden elm, ribbonwood, oak, and cabbage tree, along with two hornbeam, four willow, scattered sycamores and underplanting. In addition, there is a pin oak and two ribbonwoods that will only be retained if practical, with earthworks required to retain adequate soil around the root mass. **Attachment 4** documents these proposed removals and shows the existing location of the trees in relation to the road and the realigned stream.
11. NZTA proposes, as part of the landscape concept plan to replace the removed trees with alternative species as indicated in **Attachment 3**. Replacement exotic tree species included in the concept plan are *Liquidambar styraciflua*, *Liriodendron tulipifera*, and *Quercus robur*. Council officers have suggested that the tree replacement list should also include copper beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and golden elm (*Ulmus procera*), because examples of these species are growing well within Arcon Stream Reserve. The removal and replacement of the nominated trees and vegetation will be funded by NZTA.
12. The proposed tree planting must comply with the Infrastructure Design Guidelines (IDS) (2010), and the Construction Standard Specifications (2010), to ensure that all landscape works meet the Council standards. All proposed works are to be maintained by the developer for an establishment period of 12 months (the maintenance and defects period), after which time Council will resume regular maintenance of the site.

Aidanfield Reserve – Tree Removals for Stage 8 Stormwater Basin

13. Aidanfield Reserve is a strip of land of approximately 30 metres width, located between Halswell Road and the Aidanfield subdivision. The reserve extends along the road frontage, between Aidanfield Drive and Templetons Road. As part of Stage 8 of this subdivision, a stormwater treatment detention area will be excavated on land adjacent to the existing Aidanfield Reserve and will extend into this existing reserve along part of its length.
14. To enable the construction of the stormwater detention facility, approximately 160 trees and shrubs will need to be removed from Aidanfield Reserve, as shown in **Attachment 5**. These include approximately 24 Common Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), 37 Pin Oak (*Quercus palustris*), 20 Lombardy Poplar (*Populus nigra*) and 81 *Pittosporum tenuifolium*. A preliminary assessment of the trees suggests that 20 of the trees will be suitable for transplant. These include 16 Pin Oak, and four Lombardy Poplar. The Common Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) are not considered suitable for transplant, because these trees are too large to be moved with available equipment and this species is no longer planted by Council. *Pittosporum tenuifolium* are not considered to be economical for transplant. The trees in Aidanfield Reserve, adjacent to the proposed Stage 9 of this subdivision will not be removed this year. The Developer proposes to temporarily relocate the suitable trees to Curletts Reserve (shown in **Attachment 5**) while earthworks are completed throughout Aidanfield Stage 8. Once earthworks are complete, the trees will be permanently transplanted to new reserve areas within Stage 8 (also shown in **Attachment 5**). It is expected that the trees will be transplanted to their permanent locations by October 2011. The developer is responsible for ensuring the survival of the transplanted trees, for an establishment period of 12 months.

10 Cont'd

15. The temporary and permanent relocation of the proposed trees will be funded by the Developer. The proposed tree planting must comply with the Infrastructure Design Guidelines (IDS) (2010), and the Construction Standard Specifications (2010), to ensure that all landscape works meet the Council's standards. The Developer has agreed to use Treotech to undertake this tree work.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

17. The proposed works in Wigram Village Green can be implemented with Reserve Development Contributions funds from the new subdivision for the tree and shrub planting. The proposed works are part of the value of reserves being vested and approved landscaping.
18. The proposed works at Arcon Stream Reserve will be funded by NZTA as part of the SH1 four laning between Yaldhurst and Waterloo roads.
19. The proposed tree works at Aidanfield Reserve will be funded by the Developer Aidanfield Holdings Limited.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

20. The proposed landscape enhancement works for Wigram Village Green are aligned with the Development Contributions Policy 2009-19, refer page 191, which describe the terms of use for Reserve Development Contributions. The proposed works are part of the value of reserves being vested and approved landscaping.
21. The proposed works at Arcon Stream Reserve will be funded by NZTA.
22. The proposed works at Aidanfield Reserve will be funded by the Developer.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

23. Community Boards have delegated authority from Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated 23 July 2010. The list of delegations for the Community Board includes the authority to approve the planting, maintaining and removal of trees on reserves, parks and roads under the control of the Council within the policy set by the Council and to approve the design of landscape plans on reserves, parks and roads provided the design is within the policy authorised by the relevant community board.
24. The Developer must implement all work according to the Christchurch City Councils Quality Control processes, the Construction Standard Specifications (2010) and the Infrastructure Design Guidelines (2010).
25. All work will be carried out by a Council approved contractor with the appropriate health and safety and work site management controls in place.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

26. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

27. LTCCP 2009-19: Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways – Page 116
 - (a) Safety – by ensuring our parks, open spaces and waterways are healthy and safe places;
 - (b) Community – by providing welcoming areas for communities to gather and interact;
 - (c) Recreation – by offering a range of recreational opportunities in parks, open space and waterways;
 - (d) City Development – by providing and inviting, pleasant and well care for environment.

10. Cont'd

28. Parks and Open Spaces Activity Management Plan

- (a) Council objective with urban parks is to provide and manage Community Parks, Garden and Heritage Parks, Sports Parks, and Riverbanks and Conservation areas throughout the city that provide amenity values, areas for recreation and organised sport, garden environments and green corridors, that contribute to the city's natural form, character, heritage and Garden City image.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

- 29. Yes, as above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

30. Aligns with:

- (a) Parks and Waterways Access Policy;
- (b) Safer Christchurch Strategy;
- (c) Youth Strategy;
- (d) Recreation and Sport Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

- 31. Yes, as above.

consultation fulfilment

- 32. No wider consultation has been undertaken as it is not considered necessary as all works are tree replacements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board:

- (a) Approve the detailed planting plans for the Wigram Village Green (Attachment 1 and Attachment 2)
- (b) Approve the landscape concept plan and tree removal plan for Arcon Stream Reserve (Attachment 3 and Attachment 4)
- (c) Approve the tree removal plan and the plans for the temporary relocation of trees from Aidanfield Reserve to Curletts Reserve and then the further relocation to other new reserves within Aidanfield Stage 8 (Attachment 5).

11. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE MEETING – REPORT OF COMMITTEE MEETING 17 FEBRUARY 2011

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting held on Thursday 17 February 2011.

The meeting was attended by Ishwar Ganda (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Sam Johnson and Judy Kirk.

Apologies for absence was received and accepted from Mike Mora and Peter Laloli.

An apology for an early departure was received and accepted from Helen Broughton who retired from the meeting at 2.47pm and was absent for Clauses 5 to 8 inclusive.

1. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

At 1pm the Committee **resolved** that the meeting stand adjourned. The Committee meeting resumed at 2.20pm.

2. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

2.1 Mr John (Andy) Anderson, resident of Halswell, was to discuss with the Committee issues of pedestrian safety within Halswell. Mr Anderson was unable to attend and has asked for his deputation to be deferred to the 17 March 2011 Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting.

3. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

4. BRIEFINGS

3.1 Mr Peter Brocklehurst, Senior Project Manager, gave the Committee an update on the Western Interceptor project.

3.2 Kelly Griffiths, Mike Thomson and Philippa Upton gave the Committee an update on the proposed signalised crossing on Clyde Road at Hinau Street.

5. RICCARTON/WIGRAM AND NEW PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROADS IMPLEMENTATION

The Committee considered a report seeking the Committee's recommendation to the Board to recommend to the Council to approve the new times and days for roads in the Riccarton/Wigram Ward currently on the "Register of Prohibited Times On Roads" ("The Register").

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.1 of this report

11. Cont'd

6. BOWMAN PLACE – PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS

The Committee considered a report seeking the Committee's recommendation to the Board to approve the parking of vehicles be prohibited from 9.00am to 3.00pm on school days and a No Stopping restriction be installed on the eastern side of Bowman Place.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.2 of this report

7. THE STABLES SUBDIVISION – PROPOSED ROAD NAMING

The Committee considered a report seeking the Committee's recommendation to the Board to approve one new road name within The Stable Subdivision, Epsom Road.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.3 of this report.

8. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Nil.

9. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Riccarton/Wigram Ward New Prohibited Times On Roads Implementation

The Committee's recommendation is recorded under Part A, Clause 8 of the Riccarton Wigram Community Board 1 March 2011 Agenda.

9.2 Bowman Place – Proposed No Stopping Restrictions

That the Board approve:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited on the north eastern side of Bowman Place between 9am and 3pm on school days commencing at a point 25 metres north west from its intersection with Main South Road and extending in a north westerly for a distance of 28 metres.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north eastern side of Bowman Place commencing at a point 53 metres north west from its intersection with Main South Road and extending in a north westerly and then northerly direction for a distance of 26 metres.

9.3 The Stables Subdivision – Proposed Road Naming

That the Board approve the road name Taggart Place for the new road within The Stables subdivision in Epsom Road.

The meeting concluded at 2.53pm.

12. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – OMAR TARAK ABAZA

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Community Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Omar Abaza is an 17 year old Avonhead resident, who is seeking Community Board support to travel to China to compete in the World Youth Futsal Championships. This trip will take place 21 – 30 April 2011.
3. Omar migrated to NZ in 1996 at the age of three and has played football since the age of five and began playing futsal two years ago. Omar currently plays with the senior Avon United football club as well as playing for his school, Riccarton High. Omar regularly competes in school based tournaments as well as local club tournaments for both indoor and outdoor football. Omar gained selection into the NZ Youth Men's Futsal team following a strong performance at the recent National Provincial Championships.
4. Omar's immediate goal is to continue to excel with his senior Avon United football team and to also progress to the senior futsal ranks. Omar's long term goal is to gain selection and play for New Zealand.
5. Omar has only recently been informed of his selection and therefore has not raised any funds for this trip. He has applied to Sport Canterbury's 'Making it Happen Sports Awards' for a \$500 grant.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

OMAR TARAK ABAZA	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Airfare costs	\$2,000.00
Accommodation and Food	\$1,150.00
Uniform	\$409.00
Total Cost	\$3,559.00
Amount Requested from Community Board	\$3,000.00

7. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton Wigram Community Board for funding.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

10. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

12. Cont'd

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

11. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

12. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

13. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

14. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board decline the application owing to insufficient funding available in the Board's 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme.

13. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – REPORT OF COMMITTEE MEETING– 15 FEBRUARY 2011

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on Tuesday 15 February 2011.

The meeting was attended by Judy Kirk (Chairperson), Jimmy Chen, Sam Johnson, Ishwar Ganda, Peter Laloli and Mike Mora.

An apology for absence was received and accepted from Helen Broughton.

1. ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

At 5.39pm the Committee **resolved** that the meeting stand adjourned. The Committee meeting resumed at 6.20pm.

2. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

3. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

4. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

5. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – KEVIN JAGAU

The Committee considered a report for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for Kevin Jagau towards his expenses to travel to Romania to compete in the Division 2 Junior World Ice Hockey Championships.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 8.1 of this report.

6. APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – HAYLEY ROCHELLE LONG

The Committee considered a report for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for Hayley Rochelle Long towards her expenses to travel to Greece to compete in athletics at the Special Olympics World Summer Games in Athens, Greece.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 8.2 of this report.

13. Cont'd

7. **APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM 2010/11 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – JESSICA BOULD**

The Committee considered a report for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme for Jessica Bould towards her cost associated with attending the New Zealand Planning Institute's Annual Conference And Young Planners Congress in Wellington.

The Committee's decision on this matter is recorded under clause 8.3 of this report.

8. **COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

8.1 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Kevin Jagau**

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decline the application owing to insufficient funding available in the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Community Services Committee grant \$400 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010 Youth Development Scheme to Kevin Jagau towards his expenses to travel to Romania to compete in the Division 2 Junior World Ice Hockey Championships.

8.2 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Hayely Rochelle Long**

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decline the application owing to insufficient funding available in the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Community Services Committee grant \$500 from the Riccarton/Wigram 2010 Youth Development Scheme to Hayley Rochelle Long towards her expenses to travel to Greece to compete in athletics at the Special Olympics World Summer Games in Athens, Greece.

8.3 **Application to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010/11 Youth Development Scheme – Jessica Bould**

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decline the application owing to insufficient funding available in the 2010/11 Riccarton/Wigram Youth Development Scheme.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the Community Services Committee decline the application from Jessica Bould to the Riccarton/Wigram 2010 Youth Development Scheme.

(Note: Jimmy Chen requested that his vote against the above resolution be recorded).

13. Cont'd

9. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Nil.

The meeting concluded at 6.40pm.

14. RESILIENT FUTURES CONFERENCE – APPROVAL FOR ATTENDANCE

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation & Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Jo Daly, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek retrospective approval for the funding of those Board members who attended the Resilient Futures Conference held at Lincoln College on 18 April 2011.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Resilient Futures Conference was for attendees to hear from people who have worked on disaster recovery in places like Kobe, New Orleans, Chile and Haiti. Issues covered included mitigating hazards and regulating land use in geologically hazardous areas, humanitarian assistance for earthquakes and green recovery and reconstruction.
3. Information gained from the conference will be valuable for elected members participating in the earthquake recovery process for Christchurch.
4. There was insufficient time from when details of the conference were received, until it was convened, to be able to obtain prior approval from the Board for the members attendance.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5. The cost of the conference was \$74 plus GST per person. There is sufficient funding in the Board's operational budget to cover the cost of those who attended.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

6. Yes, provision for elected member training is made in the LTCCP, specifically under the Elected Member Representation activity.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

7. Yes, there are no legal implications.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?**

8. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

9. Not applicable.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

10. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board retrospectively approve the attendance of the Board members who attended the Resilient Futures Conference held at Lincoln College on 18 April 2011.

15. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS