

**GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT
STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE**

MONDAY 18 APRIL 2011

AT 1.30PM

IN THE RUSSELY GOLF CLUB, 428 MEMORIAL AVENUE, CHRISTCHURCH

Committee:

UDS Independent Chair
Bill Wasley.

Christchurch City Council
Mayor Bob Parker, Councillors Sue Wells and Chrissie Williams/Claudia Reid.

Environment Canterbury
Sir Kerry Burke, Councillors Alec Neill and Eugenie Sage.

Selwyn District Council
Mayor Kelvin Coe, Councillors Lindsay Philps and Malcolm Lyall.

Waimakariri District Council
Mayor David Ayers, Councillors Jim Gerard and Dan Gordon.

Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu
Mark Solomon.

Interim Implementation Manager
Keith Tallentire
Telephone: 021 256 4494

Committee Adviser
Warren Brixton
Telephone: 941-6377

INDEX

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
1.	APOLOGIES	1
2.	CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES	1
3.	MATTERS ARISING	1
4.	MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT - INDEPENDENT CHAIR AND IMPLEMENTATION MANAGER	5
5.	VERBAL UPDATE ON CERA	11
6.	VERBAL PRESENTATION ON CANTERBURY WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	11
7.	‘A2B – UDS TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT INITIATIVE’	11
8.	RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC	15

CATERING

A light luncheon will be available at 1pm
Car parking available at the Russley Golf Club

18. 4. 2011

1. APOLOGIES

Councillor Malcolm Lyall and Lindsay Philps.

2. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Attached.

3. MATTERS ARISING

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE**

**Held in the Council Chamber, Environment Canterbury
58 Kilmore Street, Christchurch
on Monday, 21 February 2011 at 1.30pm.**

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson), Mayors Kelvin Coe and David Ayers, Commissioner Rex Williams, Councillors Dan Gordon, Malcolm Lyall, Lindsay Philips, Sue Wells and Chrissie Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE Jim Harland, New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA).

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received and accepted from the Mayor Bob Parker, Commissioner Tom Lambie, Councillor Jim Gerard and Mark Solomon.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES.

It was **resolved** that the Minutes of the meeting of 30 August 2010, as circulated, be received.

3. MATTERS ARISING

Nil.

4. UDS IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

It was **resolved** that:

- (i) The monthly report of the Independent Chair and Interim Implementation Manager be received.
- (ii) Members of the Committee advise the Independent Chairman the most appropriate arrangements for reporting UDS implementation back to their respective Councils.
- (iii) The submissions made in respect of the MfE Building Competitive Cities discussion document be confirmed.

5. UDS ACTION PLAN

It was noted that the Action Plan was produced prior to the 4 September 2011 earthquake and that it would be prudent for IMG to review the actions to ensure they are still appropriate and report any suggested changes back to the UDSIC.

Greater Christchurch UDS Implementation Committee 21.2.2011

- 2 -

5 Cont'd

It was **resolved** that:

- (i) The UDS Action Plan Update report be received.
- (ii) The proposed UDSIC 2011 Work Programme be adopted.

6. INTEGRATION OF UDS PARTNER TRANSPORT PLANNING FOR 2011 AND BEYOND

Members requested that Kiwi Rail be invited to give a presentation to a future meeting of the UDSIC.

It was **resolved** that the UDSIC:

- (i) Receive the report.
- (ii) Request that the UDSIMG consider a process for the UDSIC to work through to establish its long term vision for public transport on the north and southwest corridors considered in June 2010.
- (iii) Request that the Transportation Group Chair present this process to the next meeting of the UDSIC, for approval and implementation.

7. CANTERBURY (WARFIELD) EARTHQUAKE

Various staff representatives provided information covering:

- Implications for UDS and Change 1
- Recovery in WDC/SDC and CCC (including Central City)
- Temporary Housing
- Orders in Council (OIC).

The meeting concluded at 3pm.

18. 4. 2011

4. **MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT - INDEPENDENT CHAIR AND IMPLEMENTATION
MANAGER**

Attached.

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy

Report To: UDS Implementation Committee (UDSIC)
Subject: UDS Bi-Monthly Implementation Report
Report Author(s): Independent Chair & Interim Implementation Manager
Report Date: April 2011
Reference to UDS: Effective Governance and Leadership

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report provides an update to the Committee on UDS implementation. The Committee last met on 21st February 2011.

2. IMPLEMENTATION

2.1 Christchurch Earthquake 22nd February 2011

The day after the last meeting of the UDSIC, Christchurch experienced a further earthquake (magnitude 6.3) with devastating results for the CBD and eastern suburbs.

Separate items on this agenda cover issues relating to the earthquake for the UDS and report on some of the work being undertaken by partner councils and others.

2.2 Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)

On 29th March the Government announced plans to enact legislation to create the above authority to 'provide leadership and coordination of the ongoing recovery effort in Canterbury'.

A new website for CERA has been established (www.cera.govt.nz) and Cabinet papers relating to its formation and powers are available for download.

A separate item on this agenda covers more recent progress surrounding the tabling of legislation to Parliament and related issues.

2.3 Change 1 to the RPS

Environment Court appeal hearings on Change 1 were set down for a seven week period commencing 4th May 2011. At an interlocutory hearing on 31st March the UDS Partners sought a short adjournment of the hearings for logistical reasons and so that supplementary evidence regarding the implications of the 22 February earthquake be filed. The Court determined to defer the start date for the hearings until 7th June and

in light of limited number of briefs of evidence filed by appellants it reduced the hearing period to four weeks.

Environment Canterbury witnesses supplementary evidence and rebuttal on the evidence from appellants CRC witnesses is now due by 21st April with subsequent dates for reply and further rebuttal. A verbal update on this process is part of a separate item on this agenda.

2.4 Chief Executives Advisory Group (CEAG)

The CEAG has met twice in the last month to consider a range of matters to consider matters that the partner staff and IMG have been working on and confirming that the UDS and Change 1 to the RPS (agreed partner position) should remain as the preferred spatial planning framework for Greater Christchurch subject to appropriate review of some aspects once the outcome of land damage assessment and other information is available.

CEAG also agreed to meet monthly in the interim period.

2.5 UDS Implementation Management Team (UDSIMG)

UDSIMG officers have been heavily involved in earthquake response and recovery issues since 22 February. UDSIMG met formally on 7th April, however a number of meetings had been held previously with a wider group of UDS partner staff to maintain communications, collaboration and consistency on UDS matters post-earthquake. Considerable effort has gone into the preparation of the paper on the implications of the earthquake on the spatial planning framework of Greater Christchurch.

2.6 UDS Implementation Manager Recruitment

At the last meeting of this Committee it was reported that a recruitment process was underway to seek a new Implementation Manager. In the light of events no job advertisement has yet been placed and after discussion at the Chief Executives Advisory Group, it was agreed that the recruitment process remain on hold, albeit the intention to recruit a permanent replacement remains. This will allow time to consider the implications of the recovery legislation and how this may relate to UDS implementation.

2.7 Risk Profile

There are several key risks which affect the implementation of the UDS. This report would normally include a risk profile table to quantify the likely probability and impact of identified risk. To ensure a more up-to-date assessment is provided to UDSIC this will be tabled at the meeting.

2.8 Strategic Partner Forum and Government Agency Forum

Last year UDSIC agreed to a reformatted Strategic Partner Forum and the establishment of an Implementation Agency Forum. The Forums were not progressed due to focus on preparation of evidence in respect of RPS Change 1 and the September earthquake.

Given the current situation discussion and input is sought from UDSIC on whether the Forums should be progressed or held in abeyance until the scope and nature of the recovery legislation and CERA are clear.

2.9 Future Agenda Items

UDSIMG expects the following items to be on the next UDSIC agenda :

- UDS Bi-monthly Implementation Report
- UDS Action Plan review report
- Update on CERA and earthquake recovery matters

It is possible the dates for future meeting may be rearranged to avoid clashes with members' other engagements.

3. RECOMMENDATION

3.1 That the monthly report of the Independent Chair and Interim Implementation Manager be received.

Bill Wasley - Independent Chair

Keith Tallentire – Interim Implementation Manager

TRIM Ref: 11/138717

18. 4. 2011

5. **VERBAL UPDATE ON CERA**
6. **VERBAL PRESENTATION ON CANTERBURY WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**
7. **A2B – UDS TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT INITIATIVE**

Attached.

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy

Report To: UDS Implementation Committee
 Meeting Date: 18 April 2011
 Subject: A to B travel information update
 Report Author: Robert Woods, Chair, Transportation sub-group

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update the Implementation Committee on progress with the A to B travel information website approved for development by the committee last year.

It is also to advise of a new opportunity that is being taken in relation to the website that will support earthquake recovery.

2. BACKGROUND

A to B website

As sanctioned by the UDSIC in 2010, the UDS partners have been developing a travel planning website called A to B. This was close to market testing stage when the earthquake happened.

Whilst this was intended as a journey planning web based resource for the community to use, due to road network damage and bus network severance, the journey planning functionality is not sensible to launch anytime soon. It could however be launched as the “go to” place for all things travel and transport, where the community can find links and information they need to keep up to date on travel and transport recovery and getting around.

The site could be the main vehicle for the key transport messages we want the public to note in making their travel choices, as discussed above.

It also presents the opportunity to be the shop window for a new car pooling tool mentioned in the Greater Christchurch Travel Demand Management Strategy. This is discussed below.

Car pooling software

Christchurch now experiences ‘severe congestion’ unprecedented pre-earthquake.

Car pooling is a sensible alternative travel choice to single occupant car trips where other options such as walking, cycling and using public transport do not meet their

preferences or needs. Car pooling can reduce the number of vehicles of the road, so reducing congestion, but it can also save people money, increase energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Car pooling software allows registered members to log trips they need to make on a website based notice board, which then enables them to contact other members who have registered similar trip needs. There is no cost to the members or “owner” of the website (other than set up costs) but pre and post launch marketing and incentives are essential to create a healthy membership that maximises the potential for drivers to find one or more passengers with matching trip needs.

This software is in operation in New Zealand on Greater Wellington Regional Council’s website, after many years of national debate around project leadership and resolution of legal issues. The opportunity is therefore ripe to pick up and modify this tool to help in Greater Christchurch, but it is critically important it is developed and rolled out in a way that guarantees it’s usefulness in the short term and it’s viability over the longer term.

Way forward – A to B and car pooling

The following steps are being taken in relation to A to B as part of the integrated transport response and recovery. These are being done within existing budgets.

1. The A to B website will be promoted and launched in Greater Christchurch as soon as possible, providing up to date travel and transport advice to the public aligned with the key transport messages and values of the GCUDS and earthquake recovery.
2. ECan will fund from within existing budgets, and with UDS partner staff support as required, the technological work necessary to prepare the car pooling software for future launch on the A to B website.
3. That in parallel with 2, partners will develop and implement (with UDSIMG oversight) a membership drive for the car polling tool, so that upon it’s launch, the membership has a good chance of matching a car pool match first time.
4. That in parallel with 2 and 3, partners will develop an ongoing marketing and promotion strategy for car pooling that is integrated with other transportation initiatives and travel marketing plans across the UDS area.
5. That the car pooling software on the A to B website be launched no later than 1 July 2011, in accordance with the developed and agreed marketing and promotion strategy as noted in 4 above.

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

That the UDSIC:

- (a) *receives the report for information.*

18. 4. 2011

8. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Attached.

MONDAY 18 APRIL 2011

COUNCIL

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely items 9 and 10.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	REASON FOR PASSING THIS RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO EACH MATTER	GROUND(S) UNDER SECTION 48(1) FOR THE PASSING OF THIS RESOLUTION
9. UDSIMG PAPER) GOOD REASON TO) WITHHOLD EXISTS) UNDER SECTION 7	SECTION 48(1)(a)
10. UPDATE ON CHANGE 1		

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item 9	Prevent Damage to the Public Interest	(Section 7(2)(c)(ii))
Item 10	Prevent Damage to the Public Interest	(Section 7(2)(c)(ii))

Chairman's

Recommendation: That the foregoing motion be adopted.

Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

- (a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
- (b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”

CATERING

A light luncheon will be available at 1pm
Car parking available at the Russley Golf Club

