

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

**Held in the Conference Room No 1, Mancan House,
253 Cambridge Terrace, Christchurch
on Monday 22 February 2010 at 3pm**

PRESENT: Bill Wasley (Chairperson),
Mayors Kelvin Coe, Ron Keating (to 4pm) and
Bob Parker, Regional Chairman Alec Neill,
Councillors David Ayers, Dan Gordon (from 3.20pm),
Malcolm Lyall, Lindsay Philips, Eugenie Sage, Sue Wells
and Chrissie Williams.

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from
Councillors David Ayers and Sir Kerry Burke.

	ACTION
<p>1. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES</p> <p>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting 14 December 2009, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.</p>	
<p>2. UDS BI-MONTHLY IMPLEMENTATION REPORT</p> <p>The report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager provided a bi-monthly update on UDS implementation matters.</p> <p>In speaking to the report, James Caygill pointed to:</p> <p>2.3 Cabinet direction on spatial Planning - The significance of the “Cabinet direction on Spatial Planning”. It affirmed the work of the UDS, Auckland now being compelled to undertake a similar process.</p> <p>2.5 Risk Profile – Tangata Whenua input – It was suggested that Tront was the appropriate organisation to discuss the sort input.</p> <p>It was resolved:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the monthly report of the Independent Chair and Implementation Manager be received.2. That the next meeting of the UDSIC be on Monday 19 April 2010, commencing at 1.30pm at a venue to be advised.	Warren Brixton

	ACTION
<p>3. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATE 2010 WORK PROGRAMME</p> <p>James Caygill commented that work on PC1 had taken precedence over that of the Work Programme.</p> <p>It was resolved that the report be received.</p>	
<p>4. UDS ACTION PLAN UPDATE - GOVERNANCE SECTION</p> <p>James Caygill asked members to provide him with feedback, in regard to the Chapter on Resilience and Adaptation, prior to its return to the Committee in two months time.</p> <p>In response to the invitation it was suggested that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is nothing on “Changes” in how these are managed. Whilst the trends were known, there was need to do work around “what might happen if” and how we would react.• By limiting the programme to 2041, might actually constrain the vision.• No control could be exercised over various economic factors.• Some changes in the “bullet point” order might be appropriate. <p>Councillor Dan Gordon joined the meeting at this stage in the proceedings.</p> <p>It was resolved that the report, including the Effective Governance and Leadership Section, be received.</p>	James Caygill
<p>5. REVIEW OF STRATEGIC PARTNERS FORUM ARRANGEMENT</p> <p>The Chairman suggested that in his experience a number of representatives around 18 in number worked the best. The various Government agencies could be included in other ways.</p> <p>James Caygill advised that there has been a positive “get on with it” response to date.</p> <p>It was resolved that the Proposed reform of the Strategic Partners Forum be undertaken.</p>	James Caygill
<p>6. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUSION THE PUBLIC</p> <p>The resolution to exclude the public as contained within the agenda was adopted.</p>	
<p>The meeting concluded at 4.15pm.</p>	