

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 17 NOVEMBER 2009

AT 5.00PM

AT BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE

IN THE BOARDROOM, 66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Chris Mene, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett and Sue Wells.

Community Board Adviser

Jenny Hughey Telephone: 941-5108 Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

- PART B REPORTS FOR INFORMATION
- PART C DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

- CLAUSE PART B 1. APOLOGIES
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES 6 NOVEMBER 2009

PART B 3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

- 3.1 Trevor Kerr and Annette McGowan
- 3.2 Kevin Murdoch
- 3.3 Dave Howard and Garry Knight
- 3.4 Terry Oshannessey
- 3.5 Doc Ross and Liz Taylor
- 3.6 Mike Jones
- PART B 4. PETITIONS
- PART B 5. NOTICE OF MOTION
- PART B 6. CORRESPONDENCE
- PART B 7. BRIEFINGS

CLAUSE

PART A	8.	CLASSIFICATION OF CANTERBURY PARK RESERVES
PART C	9.	SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND APPLICATION – WALTHAM YOUTH TRUST
PART C	10.	SYDENHAM AREA PARKING PLAN – PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES
PART B	11.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISERS' UPDATE
PART B	12.	ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE
PART B	13.	MEMBERS QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 6 NOVEMBER 2009

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of Friday 6 November 2009 are attached.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's meeting of 6 November 2009 be **confirmed**.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

- 3.1 Trevor Kerr representing Waltham Youth Trust and Annette McGowan, facilitator of the Shiloh programme will speak on behalf of Waltham Youth Trust in relation to requested funding for the Shiloh programme. (refer clause 9).
- 3.2 Kevin Murdoch will speak to the Board regarding angle parking in front of 32 and 36 Stanley Street. (refer clause 10).
- 3.3 Dave Howard and Garry Knight will speak to the Board regarding proposed loss of parking on Colombo Street between Carlyle Street and Lawson Street. (refer clause 10).
- 3.4 Terry Oshannessey from Impulse Imports will speak to the Board regarding parking on Carlyle and Cass Streets. (refer clause 10).
- 3.5 Doc Ross and Liz Taylor will speak to the Board regarding the loss of 27 parks in their area under the Sydenham Parking Plan. (refer clause 10).
- 3.6 Mike Jones, will speak to the Board regarding Sydenham Parking Strategy and the effect with the Bus Priority Project.

4. PETITIONS

- 5. NOTICE OF MOTION
- 6. CORRESPONDENCE
- 7. BRIEFINGS

8. CLASSIFICATION OF CANTERBURY PARK RESERVES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Asset and Network Planning Manager
Author:	Derek Roozen, Parks and Waterways Planner, DDI 941-8798

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to the Council to classify under the Reserves Act 1977 (the Act) the unclassified reserve parcels contained within Canterbury Park. This action is required before the Draft Management Plan for Canterbury Park is publicly advertised, to ensure, that for the parts of Canterbury Park vested as reserve, the adopted management plan is a legally binding document under the Act. Classification will protect the reserves for the purposes for which they were vested.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Canterbury Park is a large park located in the south-west area of Christchurch, being sandwiched between the existing suburb of Hillmorton, the future Aidanfield and Wigram residential developments and the Sockburn commercial area. One boundary is defined by the Curletts Road arterial, while two boundaries are bound by the Heathcote River/Ōpawaho and its tributary, Dry Stream, respectively.
- Seven of the parcels of land making up Canterbury Park (Areas F, G, H, I, J, K and L in Figure 1 below) are vested in the Council as reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977. One parcel (Area F) is vested Recreation Reserve. The other six parcels, which border the river, are vested as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve.



Figure 1

- 4. A Draft Management Plan for Canterbury Park has been prepared by staff. Staff propose to report the Draft Plan to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board and the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board in late February 2010 for approval for the Draft Plan to be advertised for public comment in early March 2010. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to give this approval. In order for the draft management plan to have legal standing under the Reserves Act 1977 for the reserve areas subject to the Act, they must first be classified pursuant to Section 16(2A)(a) of the Act before the Draft Plan is advertised for public comment. Only the Council has power to resolve to classify reserves under this section of the Act, it being necessary for this to happen before the Draft Plan is advertised.
- 5. Staff recommend that the seven Canterbury Park reserve parcels be classified in accordance with Section 16(2A)(a) of the Reserves Act 1977, one to be classified as Recreation Reserve and six as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve. These are listed in **Table 1** below.

Reserve Parcel	Legal Description	Certificate of title	Status / When Acquired	Area (ha)
F	Lot 3 Deposited Plan 73928	42C/1204	Fee simple title, Recreation reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977, vested in the Christchurch City Council on 10 April 1997.34.981	
G	Lot 4 Deposited Plan 73928	42C/1205	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade)7.32Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977, vested in the Christchurch City Council on 10April 1997.	
Н	Lot 5 Deposited Plan 73928	42C/1206	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade) 2.23 Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977, vested in the Christchurch City Council on 10 April 1997.	
I	Lot 3 Deposited Plan 54323	32B/829	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade)0.179Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977, vested in the Christchurch City Council in 1990.1990.	
J	Lot 3 Deposited Plan 80544	46B/63	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade)0.008Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977,vested in the Christchurch City Council on 17November 1999.0.008	
К	Lot 2 Deposited Plan 73146	42B/440	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade)0.011Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977,vested in the Christchurch City Council on 29November 1996.	
L	Lot 2 Deposited Plan 60864	_	Fee simple title, Local Purpose (Esplanade)0.0057Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977, vested in the Christchurch City Council in 1992.1992.	

Table 1

 Public notice of these proposed classifications is not required, because pursuant to Section 16(5)(c) of the Act the classifications proposed are a condition subject to which the land was acquired for reserve purposes.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. There are no budget implications associated with the recommendations in this report. Processing and staff costs are internalised, and the costs for liaison with the Department of Conservation and registration of the classification with the District Land Registrar are covered in existing budgets.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes, the costs associated with implementing the recommendations of this report are covered within existing budgets (see Paragraph 7).

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 9. In order to produce an operative management plan for Canterbury Park that is a legally binding document under Section 41(3) of the Reserves Act 1977 over the areas of land which are vested as reserve, these parcels need to be classified for the purposes for which they are held before the draft management plan is publicly advertised. One of these parcels is vested in the Council as Recreation Reserve, the other six as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve. Table 1 above provides a detailed description of these reserve parcels.
- 10. Part of the parcel of land in Canterbury Park vested as Recreation Reserve is subject to the designation for the Christchurch Southern Motorway. This part, comprising an area of approximately 9,200 square metres, will be developed as motorway. Consequently, it is the remaining parts of this reserve parcel, comprising approximately 34 hectares that staff are recommending to be classified. The total area recommended to be classified is shown as O2, the area to be excluded indicated with hatching, on **Figure 2**.

Figure 2



11. Public notification of the intention to classify the afore-mentioned unclassified reserves is not required, as explained in Paragraph 6 above.

12. If the Council approves the recommendation, staff will arrange for the notice of the classifications to be registered on the titles.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

13. Yes, as described in the paragraphs above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

14. The proposed classifications are consistent with the Council being the most effective in ensuring the best provision of activities and services for Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways to meet community and environmental needs for open space, protect natural resources and scenic values, beautify the city and manage the land drainage network (Page 117 of the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-19).

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

15. In order to ensure the best management, use and enhancement of the multiple values contained in Canterbury Park, and contribute to meeting the Council's Parks, Open Spaces and Waterways activities and services, as described in Paragraph 14, the Council needs to prepare a Management Plan for Canterbury Park, which, for the parts of the Park vested reserve under the Reserves Act, requires the classification of these reserves for the purposes for which they were vested before publicly advertising the Draft Plan.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

- 16. The classification proposals are consistent with Council achieving environmental results for the City Plan zones that cover Canterbury Park, including maintenance of a system of large areas of public open space for recreation throughout the city that are well distributed and readily accessible to people in all parts of the urban area; enhancement of city amenities by the presence and further development of green open space and opportunities for tree planting; maintenance and enhancement of ecological heritage sites; maintenance and enhancement of the recreation and amenity values of waterways and associated land margins, and access to and along them.
- 17. The proposals are consistent with the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy's strategic directions to enrich lifestyles by developing an open space network and providing additional recreational opportunities in parks and natural areas, and to enhance environments by recognising the value of, and protecting, the coastline, estuaries, wetlands and waterways. At a more localised level, the proposals are also consistent with the goals of the South-West Christchurch Area Plan to provide a high-quality naturalised water environment, connected across the South-West; establish a variety of indigenous forest and wetland habitats, connected by ecological corridors; incorporate local landscape features into urban development and public open space to maintain landscape character; and develop a diverse, connected and attractive public open space network that encourages use and enjoyment.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

18. The adoption of the recommendations for the classification of the reserve land parcels to be included in the Draft Canterbury Park Management Plan will ensure that the adopted management plan, which directs the management, use and enhancement of Canterbury Park in a manner consistent with the Council's long term plans and strategies, including those referred to in Paragraphs 16 and 17, has legal standing under the Reserves Act.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

19. Public notification of the intention to classify is not required, as explained in Paragraph 6 above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board recommend to the Council:

(a) That to exercise the powers conferred on it by Section 16(2A)(a) of the Reserves Act 1977, the Christchurch City Council resolves that the reserve described in the schedule, excluding the part designated for state highway purposes, be classified recreation reserve pursuant to Section 17 of the Reserves Act 1977.

Schedule

Canterbury Land District – Christchurch City

34.9810 hectares, being Lot 3 DP 73928. All Certificate of Title 42C/1204.

(b) That to exercise the powers conferred on it by Section 16(2A)(a) of the Reserves Act 1977, the Christchurch City Council resolves that those reserves described in the schedule be classified local purpose (esplanade) reserve pursuant to Section 23 of the Reserves Act 1977.

Schedule

Canterbury Land District – Christchurch City

7.3285 hectares, being Lot 4 DP 73928. All Certificate of Title 42C/1205.

2.2360 hectares, being Lot 5 DP 73928. All Certificate of Title 42C/1206.

0.1796 hectares, being Lot 3 DP 54323. All Certificate of Title 32B/829.

0.0087 hectares, being Lot 3 DP 80544. All Certificate of Title 46B/63.

0.0110 hectares, being Lot 2 DP 73146. All Certificate of Title 42B/440.

0.0057 hectares, being Lot 2 DP 60864.

CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

BACKGROUND (THE ISSUES)

20. Canterbury Park is made up of fourteen parcels of land held in fee simple title by the Council. These are mostly contained within a 149 hectare area that is bounded by Wigram Road to the north, the Heathcote River/Ōpawaho to the south, Dry Stream to the west and Curletts Road to the east. Most of the area north of the river is contained within three parcels of land, (Areas A, D and E in **Figure 1** above) which makes up approximately two-thirds of the total Canterbury Park area. This area, along with Areas B and C, is zoned Open Space 3C in the City Plan, a specialist zone to enable the development of facilities serving the primary industries of Canterbury, namely to provide for public displays, livestock sales, entertainment and business activities related to these industries.

- 21. The majority of the other third of Canterbury Park north of the Heathcote River/Ōpawaho is contained in one parcel of land (Area F in Figure 1 and listed in Table 1 above), which is vested in the Council as Recreation Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act. This area is zoned Open Space 2 (District Recreation and Open Space). In addition, there are two linear parcels of land (Areas G and H in Figure 1 and Table 1) that are vested in the Council as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve, subject to the Act. These parallel, and border the northern side of, the river. The area they cover is zoned Conservation 3 in the City Plan, a zoning that is for the conservation of waterways and their margins. They are also part of Ecological Heritage Site 15.21, which is described as containing riparian willow woodland, with native trees, shrubs, ferns and sedges.
- 22. There are also five small separated parcels of land (Areas I, J, K, L and N in **Figure 1** and **Table 1**), totalling just over 0.3 hectares in area, on the southern side of, and adjoining, the river. Four of these parcels are vested in the Council as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve, and the fifth is Street Reserve.
- 23. Work has been underway for approximately a year to prepare a Draft Management Plan for the whole of Canterbury Park. A key driver for doing this is the condition in the sale and purchase agreement between the Council and the Canterbury Agricultural and Pastoral Association (A&P Association) in 2001 when the Council purchased Areas A and D (see **Figure 1**). This condition requires the preparation of a management plan to identify future opportunities for the use of the land, while at the same time protecting the A&P Association's existing use rights. Another driver is to address the impact the development of the Christchurch Southern Motorway will have on Canterbury Park. The motorway will reduce the total area of Canterbury Park by approximately 18.5 hectares.
- 24. As the portion of Canterbury Park that is reserve is adjacent to, and intrinsically linked as park space with, the land area acquired from the A&P Association, preparation of a management plan covering both areas was identified by Council staff as necessary to achieve the best and most appropriate management of the Park, which has significant recreational and environmental values.
- 25. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to approve Draft Management Plans to be advertised for public comment. Hearings panels convened to consider the public submissions received have the delegated authority to adopt a Draft Management Plan as the operative plan. Yet, due to the City-wide significance of Canterbury Park, staff are considering whether or not both the authority to approve the Draft Canterbury Park Management Plan for public consultation and the authority to adopt the final plan should be retained by the Council. This document will be a contract between the Council and the public under the Local Government Act 2002 and, for the parts of Canterbury Park that are reserve, subject to the Reserves Act and be legally enforceable under it. For the latter to be achieved, thereby ensuring the reserved areas are protected for the purposes for which they were vested, the draft management plan cannot be advertised for public comment until the reserves covered by this plan are classified as reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act.
- 26. Seven parcels of land in Canterbury Park have a fee simple title that vested on deposit of subdivisions (which took place at different times) as reserve in the Council. None of these land parcels have been classified under the Act. The vestings are:
 - (a) One parcel as Recreation Reserve;
 - (b) Six parcels as Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve.

Staff recommend that the land parcel vested as recreation reserve is classified as Recreation Reserve under Section 17 of the Act. Staff recommend that the six land parcels vested as local purpose reserve are classified Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve under Section 23 of the Act.

27. The parts of Canterbury Park that are not vested as reserve under the Reserves Act will remain as they are currently held for the time being. These parts are Areas A, B, C, D, E and N in **Figure 1** above.

- 11 -

THE OBJECTIVES

28. To classify, pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977, all of the presently unclassified parcels of reserve land in Canterbury Park, which will ensure that the management plan is a legal document under the Reserves Act for the reserve areas.

THE OPTIONS

- 29. Option 1: Classify as recommended all the land parcels set out in the schedules of the recommendations according to the original vestings of each. This will ensure the protection and best management of each reserve land parcel, in accordance with the primary values of each, thereby ensuring the Management Plan has legal status under the Reserves Act.
- 30. Option 2: Classify none. This option would mean that the final Management Plan would be a legal document under the Local Government Act 2002, but would have no legal standing under the Reserves Act 1977.

THE PREFERRED OPTION

31. Option 1: Classify as recommended all the land parcels set out in the schedules of the recommendations according to the original vestings of each. This will ensure the protection and best management of each reserve land parcel, in accordance with the primary values of each, thereby ensuring the management plan has legal status under the Reserves Act.

9. APPLICATION TO SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE DISCRETIONARY RESPONSE FUND – WALTHAM YOUTH TRUST

General Manager responsible:	(Acting) General Manager, Community Services DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Recreation & Sports Unit Manager
Author:	Delia Walker, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to present a request for funding from the Waltham Youth Trust, from the 2009/2010 Spreydon Heathcote Community Board Discretionary Response Fund.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. Funding of \$1,500 is being sought by the Waltham Youth Trust towards costs to deliver a programme called "Shiloh" for 10-12 year old girls from Waltham Primary School.
- 3. Shiloh is an after school programme for 10-12 year old girls attending Waltham School and is held on Thursday afternoons at the Opawa Baptist Church Friendship Centre.

BACKGROUND

- 4. The Waltham Youth Trust was established by members of the Opawa Baptist Church in 2000 to meet the needs of "at risk" youth in the high needs community of Waltham. For the past nine years the Trust has employed youth workers and had many volunteers assist running programmes for youth including after school programmes, holiday programmes, one on one mentoring and camps for youth.
- 5. In 2009 the Waltham Youth Trusts community worker married and moved overseas. The then trustees made a decision not to fill the position and the Trusts programmes lapsed. In mid June 2009 the Trust was returned to the overall umbrella of the Opawa Baptist Church, new trustees were appointed and the Trust is refocusing its objectives towards both youth and families.
- 6. On 17 June 2009, a meeting of the trustees was convened, at which four new trustees were appointed, the three previous trustees resigned, and a change of name from Waltham Youth Trust to Waltham Youth and Families Trust was agreed to reflect the new focus of the Trusts work. The Waltham Youth Trust is progressing towards redefining their purposes and goals in consultation with the community and setting a new mission and vision. The Waltham Youth Trust is planning to go through the formal process of changing its name to the Waltham Youth and Families Trust over the coming months.
- 7. Due to the Trusts programmes lapsing during January 2009 to June 2009 the Waltham Youth Trust did not apply for funding for programmes over this period.
- 8. The Shiloh programme originally was started in 2008 but lapsed. In July 2009 the trust resumed the Shiloh programme for girls 10-12 years old. This after-school programme has proved successful with 15-18 girls attending weekly.
- 9. Participants contribute \$2 towards programme costs where possible.
- 10. While there is an OSCAR (Out of School Care and Recreation) programme called WOOSH (Waltham Out Of School Holiday Programme) running from Waltham School the Shiloh programme caters for a different group of young girls who would not otherwise attend an OSCAR programme.
- 11. Shiloh's Goals and Objectives are:
 - a) To have fun in a safe caring environment;
 - b) To teach and reinforce life skills and values and encourage wise choices;
 - c) To build friendships and mentor on a one to one basis;
 - d) To establish contact with the girl's parents/ caregivers and start building relationships with them;
 - e) To be a place where girls know it is safe to talk about problems if they choose.

- 12. Shiloh is planned to run for the year during the school terms, approximately 40 weeks. It is delivered by a volunteer facilitator plus two or three volunteer assistants. Sessions last for 1.5 hours.
- 13. The Shiloh programme has the support of the Waltham Primary School Principal and Te Whare Roopu O Oterepo Waltham Community Cottage Co-ordinator and Community Development Facilitator.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 14. The current balance of the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board discretionary fund is \$39,035.
- 15. Due to the changes Waltham Youth Trust was not in a position to apply for funding for the 2009/2010 funding round.
- 16. The Waltham Youth Trust has received the following funding in the past and has been accountable for the funds received as follows:

Year	Amount	Purpose	Funding Scheme	
2008/2009	\$4,000	Waltham Youth Camps (approximately \$1,800 to be returned due to not holding all camps over lapsed period) Strengthening Communities F		
2008/2009	\$5,000	Salary for Community Youth Worker	Spreydon Heathcote Strengthening Communities Fund	
2007/2008	\$920	Get Real Life Skills Programme	Community Development Scheme	
2007/2008	\$1,200	Equipment for Recreation Programmes	Physical Sport and Recreation Fund	
2007/2008	\$4,000	Life Skills Camps	Spreydon Heathcote Community Board Project Funding	
2007/2008	\$4,000	X-plode Holiday Programme	Spreydon Heathcote Community Board Project Funding	
2007/2008	\$720	Family Day Out	Recreation and Sport Operational Youth Funding	

17. The following table outlines the budget for the Shiloh Programme until 30 June 2010:

Income	Total cost	Amount requested from Community Board	
User fees	\$700		
Other fundraising	\$1,500		
Total Income			\$2,200
Expenditure			
Life skills activities and programme	\$1,500	\$1,000	
Professional Development and Training	\$650	\$350	
Food and drink	\$630		
Administration	\$220	\$150	
Transport for local trips	\$70		
Volunteer recognition	\$630		
Total expenditure			\$3,700
Amount requested from Community Board		\$1,500	

9 Cont'd

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

18. Yes aligns with Democracy and Governance section. Pages 154-159.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

19. There are no legal issues to be considered.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

20. Not applicable.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

21. Yes aligns with Community Support and Recreation and Leisure sections in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

22. Yes supports advice provided to community organisations to help build capacity in the community.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

23. Application aligns with the Children's Strategy, Children's Policy and Strengthening Communities Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

- 24. Yes. As in 24 above. In particular the Strengthening Communities Strategy:
 - Helping build and sustain a sense of local community. Support capacity building of local community organisations.
 - Increase participation in community recreation and sport programmes and events. Provide advice and resources to internal and external stakeholders.
 - Improving basic life skills so that residents can participate fully in society. Provide support and advice to community groups with a proven focus on life skills programmes and early intervention initiatives.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

25. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon Heathcote Community Board allocate \$1,500 from the Spreydon/ Heathcote Community Board Discretionary Response Fund to the Waltham Youth Trust for the Shiloh Programme, to go towards life skills and activities costs, professional development and training, and administration costs, for programmes held until 30 June 2009.

CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

10. SYDENHAM AREA PARKING PLAN – PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941 8608
Officer responsible:	Transport & Greenspace Manager
Author:	Jon Ashford/Steve Dejong, Networks Operations, DDI 941 6428

PURPOSE OF REPORT

 The purpose of this report is to seek the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board's approval that changes are made to the parking restrictions in Battersea Street, Buchan Street, Cass Street, Elgin Street, Sandyford Street, Stanley Street and Wordsworth Street in general accordance with the Sydenham Parking Strategy. (Refer to Attachment 1).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2. In September 2007, a report was prepared by Beca Infrastructure Limited for the Scheme Assessment stage of the Colombo Bus Priority Project. Section 4 of the report investigated a parking strategy for the Sydenham Shopping Area to ensure that the same quantum of short term parking would be provided for business customers within a convenient walking distance of Colombo Street following the installation of Bus Priority lanes.
- 4. To address the effects of the loss of short term on-street parking on Colombo Street, Beca prepared a scheme plan known as the Sydenham Parking Strategy to increase the supply of short term on-street parking in the side streets through the Sydenham Shopping Area.
- 5. The 'Colombo Street/City South Bus Priority Route' report, which included the Sydenham Parking Strategy, was presented to the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board on the 15 April 2008. It was forwarded to the 15 May 2008 the Council meeting by way of a Chairperson's report.
- 6. At its 15 May 2008 meeting, the Council approved the following resolution:
 - (h) Approve the investigation of and integration of the proposed parking restrictions raised during the consultation phase, with the Sydenham Parking Strategy developed for the side streets adjoining this project.
- 7. Some minor changes have been made to the Sydenham Parking Strategy to increase parking supply and improve site access as a result of consultation feedback. The proposed parking changes in the Sydenham area will create 39 new P30 parking spaces and three additional unrestricted parking spaces, which are distributed as follows:
 - Battersea Street: Gain of 13 new P30 parks, loss of 13 existing unrestricted parks.
 - Buchan Street: Gain of 22 new unrestricted parks.
 - Cass Street: Gain of 10 new unrestricted parks.
 - Elgin Street: Gain of 16 new P30 parks, loss of seven existing unrestricted parks.
 - Sandyford Street: Gain of two new P30 parks, loss of one existing unrestricted park.
 - Stanley Street: Gain of three new P30 parks, loss of three existing unrestricted parks.
 - Wordsworth Street: Gain of five new P30 parks, loss of five existing unrestricted parks.

The proposed changes in each of these streets are outlined below.

Battersea Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 2)

- 8. P30 parking restriction installed over existing parallel parking outside numbers 53 and 55 on the north side of the street.
- 9. Extend existing No Stopping restriction beside number 443 Colombo Street on the north side of the street to provide an adequate left turn lane at the proposed new traffic signals.

- 10. Change existing 60° angle parking on the south side of Battersea Street to 90° angle parking and a P30 parking restriction be installed on this length of angle parking.
- 11. Existing parallel parking be changed to 90° angle parking on the south side of the street outside numbers 46 to 58.

Buchan Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 3)

- 12. All existing parallel parking on the west side of Buchan Street between Harold Street and Byron Street be changed to 90° angle parking, except for three parallel parks south of Byron Street and two parallel parks south of Wordsworth Street. No changes are proposed on the east side of the street.
- 13. Buchan Street centreline and the Harold Street and Lawson Street limit lines will be adjusted as shown on the plan to accommodate these changes.
- 14. Existing P10 parking restriction currently installed on four parallel parks north of Penbury Street be revoked and a P10 parking restriction installed on the first four 90° angle parks north of Penbury Street.

Cass Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 4)

- 15. Existing parallel parking on the north side at the eastern end be changed to 90° angle parking and a short length of No Stopping restriction be installed between the western end of the new 90° angle parking and the existing parallel parking to provide some protection against accidental damage to the angle parked vehicle.
- 16. Removal of one existing single parallel parking space on the south side of the street in front of number 40 to improve access for large trucks.

Elgin Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 5)

- 17. P30 parking restriction installed over the existing parallel parking outside number 33 on the north side of the street.
- 18. Existing parallel parking on the south side of the street at the east end between Colombo Street and the existing Loading Zone be changed to 90° angle parking and a P30 parking restriction be installed on this length of angle parking.
- 19. Existing parallel parking be changed to 90° angle parking on the south side of the street between the kerb build out located approximately mid way along the street and the existing loading zone.

Sandyford Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 6)

- 20. Existing No Stopping restriction on the north side of the street near the east end be reduced by approximately 15 metres.
- 21. P30 parking restriction installed over the existing parallel parking outside numbers 60 to 64 on the south side of the street.

Stanley Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 7)

22. P30 restriction installed over three existing parallel parks in front of numbers 32 and 36 on the south side of the street. No changes are proposed on the north side of the street.

Wordsworth Street – Proposed parking changes (refer Attachment 8)

- 23. P30 parking restriction installed over existing parallel parking in front of number 55 on the north side of the street. No changes are proposed on the south side of the street.
- 24. Consultation regarding the proposed parking changes was undertaken with all property owners and business managers/tenants in the seven affected streets. Approximately 440 consultation letters were also placed under the windscreen wipers of cars parked on the street in the area covered by the Sydenham Parking Strategy. For results of the consultation, refer to paragraphs 35 to 67.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

25. The estimated cost of this proposal is approximately \$8,780.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

26. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 27. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides the Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
- 28. The Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations dated April 2008. The list of delegations for the Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions.
- 29. The installation of any parking restriction signs and/ or markings must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

30. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

31. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Councils Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

32. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

33. The recommendations align with the Council Strategies including the Parking Strategy 2003, Road Safety Strategy 2004 and the Safer Christchurch Strategy 2005.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's Strategies?

34. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

35. Consultation letters were posted to all property owners and consultation letters addressed to 'The Business Manager' were delivered to all businesses/properties in each of the seven streets. Approximately 440 consultation letters including a plan showing the proposed changes were also placed under the windscreen wipers of cars parked on the street in the area covered by the Sydenham Parking Strategy. Responses from each street and the on-street car parkers are detailed below, with any staff comments in italics.

Battersea Street:

- 36. 49 consultation letters were issued and a total of eight responses were received, six in support of the proposal and two against.
- 37. Of the two responses against, one supported angle parking but wanted a longer time restriction and the other believed that proposed change from 60° to 90° angle parking will cause more accidents.

The Council prefers 90° x three metre wide angle parking as it allows easier manoeuvring into and out of the parking space from either direction.

- 38. One single angle park beside the entrance to number 48 has been removed following the consultation.
- 39. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as Attachment 9.

Buchan Street:

- 40. 114 consultation letters were issued and a total of 19 responses were received, 11 in support of the proposal and eight against.
- 41. The majority of comments made by those that responded against the proposal were that the street is too narrow for angle parking and angle parking would be dangerous because the street is busy with large trucks.
 - Buchan Street is 14 metres wide which is wide enough to allow five metre long 90° angle parking stalls on one side and parallel parking on the other. There is already angle parking on Buchan Street between Byron Street and Carlyle Street and reducing the carriageway width will help reduce the speed in the street.
- 42. Other comments received suggested that the Sydenham Square site or the park at the corner of Buchan and Wordsworth Streets should be used for off street parking.
- 43. Following the consultation, the following amendments were made to the proposed parking changes in Buchan Street:
 - (a) The first angle park north of Harold Street removed to improve visibility;
 - (b) The single angle park in front of number 37 removed to improve truck access;
 - (c) The single angle park north of Wordsworth Street removed to improve visibility;
 - (d) Harold Street and Lawson Street limit lines adjusted.
- 44. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as **Attachment 10**.

Cass Street:

- 45. 14 consultation letters were issued and a total of three responses were received, all three were in support of the proposal.
- 46. No amendments have been made to the proposed parking changes in Elgin Street following the consultation.
- 47. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as **Attachment 11.**

Elgin Street:

- 48. 34 consultation letters were issued and a total of four responses were received, two in support of the proposal and two against.
- 49. Of the two responses against, one supported angle parking but wanted a longer time restriction; the other believed that the street was already congested and the proposed changes will make things worse.
- 50. No amendments have been made to the proposed parking changes in Elgin Street following the consultation.
- 51. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as **Attachment 12**.

Sandyford Street:

- 52. 59 consultation letters were issued and a total of seven responses were received, six in support of the proposal and one against.
- 53. The response against the proposal wanted the proposed P30 restriction moved to the north side of the road.
- 54. No amendments have been made to the proposed parking changes in Sandyford Street following the consultation.
- 55. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as **Attachment 13.**

Stanley Street:

- 56. 40 consultation letters were issued and a total of 11 responses were received, six in support of the proposal and five against.
- 57. The responses against were received from properties on the south side at the eastern end of the street, on the basis that angle parking would restrict heavy vehicle access into these properties.

As a result of further investigation into the access to these properties, the angle parking and loading zone relocation originally proposed at the east end of Stanley Street will not proceed.

- 58. In line with the intent of the Sydenham Area Parking Plan to increase short term parking, it is now proposed that a P30 restriction be placed over the three parallel parking spaces in front of numbers 32 and 36 Stanley Street.
- 59. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as Attachment 14.

Wordsworth Street:

- 60. 91 consultation letters were issued and a total of five responses were received, four in support of the proposal and one against.
- 61. The response against the proposed changes believed that the proposed P30 restriction will make it harder for staff to find a park.
- 62. No amendments have been made to the proposed parking changes in Wordsworth Street following the consultation.
- 63. A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as **Attachment 15.**

On Street Car Parkers:

- 64. Approximately 440 consultation letters were placed under the windscreen wipers of cars parked on the street in area covered by the Sydenham Parking Strategy and a total of 50 responses were received. Of these, 18 supported the proposal, 28 were against and four made comments without indicating whether they were for or against.
- 65. The following is a summary of the responses against the proposal from the on-street parkers:
 - (a) 17 related to the loss of free all day parking.

The proposed parking plan will create 39 new P30 parking spaces and provide an additional three unrestricted parking spaces in the area.

(b) Four related to safety concerns walking to vehicles at night.

A Street lighting upgrade is planned for Carlyle Street between Buchan and Brisbane Streets during the 2009-2010 financial year.

(c) Two objected to parking meters.

There are no meters being installed by this proposal.

(d) Two related to concerns that Buchan Street is not wide enough for angle parking.

There is already angle parking in Buchan Street.

- (e) A reply letter to all consultation respondents is included as Attachment 16.
- 66. There is no Residents' Association in this area.
- 67. The officer in Charge Parking Enforcement agrees with this recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

Battersea Street

- (a) Revoke the following on Battersea Street:
- (b) That the parking of vehicles currently restricted to 60 degree angle parking on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at a point 24 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 28 metres be revoked.

- (c) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the north side of Battersea Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 17 metres be revoked.
- (d) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 24 metres be revoked.

Approve the following on Battersea Street:

- (e) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking and be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at a point 24 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 27 metres.
- (f) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at a point 59 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 57 metres.
- (g) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the north side of Battersea Street commencing at a point 42 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 30.5 metres.
- (h) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Battersea Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 35 metres.
- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 24 metres.

Buchan Street

Revoke the following on Buchan Street:

(a) That any existing parking restrictions at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Harold Street and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Byron Street be revoked.

Approve the following on Buchan Street:

- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Harold Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of six metres.
- (c) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Wordsworth Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of nine metres.
- (d) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Wordsworth Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of eight metres.
- (e) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Lawson Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of six metres.

- (f) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Lawson Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of six metres.
- (g) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Penbury Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of six metres.
- (h) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Penbury Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of six metres.
- (i) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at its intersection with Byron Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 8.5 metres.
- (j) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at a point 6 metres north from its intersection with Harold Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 79 metres.
- (k) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at a point six metres south from its intersection with Lawson Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 18 metres.
- (I) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at a point six metres north from its intersection with Lawson Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 62.5 metres.
- (m) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking and be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at a point 6 metres north from its intersection with Penbury Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (n) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the west side of Buchan Street commencing at a point 18 metres north from its intersection with Penbury Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 30 metres.

Cass Street

Approve the following on Cass Street:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the north side of Cass Street commencing at a point 31 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 69 metres.
- (b) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Cass Street commencing at a point 100 west of its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of four metres.

Elgin Street

Revoke the following on Elgin Street:

(a) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the south side of Elgin Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres be revoked. Approve the following on Elgin Street:

- (b) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking and be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south side of Elgin Street commencing at a point 18 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 39 metres.
- (c) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to 90 degree angle parking on the south side of Battersea Street commencing at a point 80 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 18 metres.
- (d) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the north side of Elgin Street commencing at a point 46 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 16.5 metres.
- (e) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Elgin Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 18 metres.

Sandyford Street

Revoke the following on Sandyford Street:

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles currently prohibited at any time on the north side of Sandyford Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 58 metres be revoked.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles currently restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south side of Sandyford Street commencing at a point 11 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 19 metres be revoked.

Approve the following on Sandyford Street:

- (c) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Sandyford Street commencing at its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 43 metres.
- (d) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south side of Sandyford Street commencing at a point 11 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 44 metres.

Stanley Street

Approve the following on Stanley Street:

(a) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the south side of Stanley Street commencing at a point 50 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 16 metres.

Wordsworth Street

Approve the following on Wordsworth Street:

(a) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the north side of Wordsworth Street commencing at a point 43 metres west from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 28 metres.

CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

11. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISERS' UPDATE

- 12. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE
- 13. MEMBERS QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS