

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

FRIDAY 14 AUGUST 2009

AT 8.00AM

AT BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Chris Mene, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett and Sue Wells.

Community Board Adviser

Jenny Hughey

Telephone: 941-5108

Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	PAGE NO	CLAUSE	
PART B	2	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2	2	STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2009/10 ALLOCATIONS

1. APOLOGIES

2. SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2009/10 ALLOCATIONS

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services DDI 941 8607
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Community Support
Author:	Ian Burn and Lynda Jeffs, Community Development Advisers DDI 941 6535 or 941 5102

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to allocate the \$263,648 Strengthening Communities Fund for 2009/10.
2. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board decision-making meeting is scheduled for the 14 August 2009.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

3. This report provides information to Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Members on the applications received for the Strengthening Communities Fund and includes updated information following Board discussions at the Spreydon/Heathcote Board Funding Workshop on 8 July 2009.
4. In 2009/10 the total pool available for allocation, as proposed in the LTCCP is \$280,000. Applications totalling \$280,000 were received.
5. **Attached as Appendix A** is a decision matrix, which outlines the projects that funding is being sought for. Following staff collaboration meetings, staff have ranked all projects as either Priority 1, 2, 3 or 4 and have made recommendations as to funding.

6. Projects were prioritised as follows:

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| Priority 1 | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities.
Highly recommended for funding. |
| Priority 2 | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities.
Recommended for funding. |
| Priority 3 | Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications.
Not recommended for funding. |
| Priority 4 | Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities; or
Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor); or
Other funding sources more appropriate.
Not recommended for funding. |

7. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Funding Workshop on 8 July 2009 gave Community Board Members the opportunity to go through the applications received in order to clarify any issues or questions about applications.

2 Cont'd

8. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has put forward no new projects as Key Local Projects (KLP's) in 2009/10. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board already has three existing KLP's. These are funded from the Metropolitan funding pool.
9. Additionally, the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has a total of \$113,800 pre committed funding from 2008/2009 when it resolved to fund four projects for a three year period.
10. In light of the current work programme of the Council Grants Working party, who are reviewing existing funding criteria and looking at how to reduce rates funded grants by an additional \$750,000 (for the 2010 year), staff consider it prudent not to recommend projects for multi year funding at this stage. This decision has been made for both Metropolitan and Local Strengthening Communities Funding.

BACKGROUND

Strengthening Communities Strategy

11. The Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Strategy on 12 July 2007. The Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme comprises four funding schemes:
 - (a) Strengthening Communities Fund
 - (b) Small Projects Fund
 - (c) Discretionary Response Fund
 - (d) Community Organisations Loan Scheme
12. The following funding outcomes have been used to evaluate and assess applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund:
 - Support, develop & promote the capacity & sustainability of community recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups
 - Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
 - Increase community engagement in local decision making
 - Enhance community & neighbourhood safety
 - Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills
 - Reduce or overcome barriers to participation
 - Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need.
13. The following funding priorities have been taken into consideration when assessing applications:
 - Older Adults
 - Children and Youth
 - People with Disabilities
 - Ethnic and Culturally Diverse Groups
 - Disadvantaged and / or Socially Excluded
 - Capacity of Community Organisations
 - Civic Engagement.

2 Cont'd

14. The following criteria must be met by all applicants:

- A community based not-for-profit community, recreation, sporting, arts, social service, environment or heritage organisation.
- All groups applying for more than \$2,000 must be incorporated under the Incorporated Societies Act 1908 or the Charitable Trusts Act 1957.
- Be based in the Christchurch City Council area with funded programmes or services being provided primarily for Christchurch City Council residents.
- Must have provided accountability reports for all previous Council funding and have no unresolved or outstanding accountability issues including outstanding debt to Council.
- Must have had the funding application approved at a properly convened committee meeting and in writing.
- Must provide evidence of the need for the project.
- Have appropriate financial management, accounting, monitoring and reporting practices.
- Have sound governance and appropriate operational capability and capacity to deliver to the level as agreed.
- Be able to commit to collaboration and partnering, where appropriate.
- Groups receiving Council funding at a metropolitan level may only apply for local funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the metropolitan level.
- Community Boards may decide in conjunction with Council Units to deliver activities to their local communities.

The Decision Matrix

15. Information on the projects is presented in a Decision Matrix, attached as **Appendix A**. To ensure consistency, the same Decision Matrix format and presentation has been provided to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
16. Applications are project-based; information is provided that relates specifically to the project for which funding is being sought, not the wider organisation.
17. All applications appearing on the Decision Matrix have been assigned a Priority Rating. The Priority Ratings are:

- Priority 1** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities.
Highly recommended for funding.
- Priority 2** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities.
Recommended for funding.
- Priority 3** Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications.
Not recommended for funding.
- Priority 4** Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities; or
Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor); or
Other funding sources more appropriate.
Not recommended for funding.

2 Cont'd

18. Staff have used the following criteria to determine whether an application is a Priority One:
- Impact the project has on the city
 - Reach of the project
 - Depth of the project
 - Value for Money
 - Best Practice
 - Innovation
 - Strong alignment to Council Outcomes and Priorities
 - Noteworthy leverage or partnership/match funding from other organisations or government departments.
19. A draft matrix was presented to the Board at a workshop on 8 July 2009, where no decisions were made. This enabled the Board and staff to discuss the projects, clarify any issues and seek further information if necessary.
20. In light of the current work programme of the Council Grants Working party, who are reviewing existing funding criteria and looking at how to reduce rates funded grants by an additional \$750,000 (for the 2010 year), staff consider it prudent not to recommend projects for multi year funding at this stage. This decision has been made for both metropolitan and local Strengthening Communities Funding.

Key Local Projects

21. Each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLP's) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
22. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has put forward no projects as Key Local Projects in 2009/10. These projects are not included on the attached matrix as they now appear on the Metropolitan matrix.
23. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board already has three existing KLP's. These are funded from the Metropolitan funding pool. These are:

Name of Group	Amount Funded	Community Board
Cross Over Trust	\$47,000	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board
Rowley Resource Centre	\$30,000	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board
Spreydon Youth Community Trust	\$27,000	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board

24. An organisation is recommended as a Key Local Project if it:
- Has a proven track record with Council in providing a high quality level of service;
 - Provides a significant contribution towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.
25. The agreed process to determine if a local funding application should be processed as a KLP was detailed in the report adopted by Council on 4 October, 2007.

2 Cont'd

26. The process for considering KLP's is as follows:
- (a) Community Boards nominate and prioritise KLP's and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
 - (b) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLP's.
 - (c) Successful KLP's are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
 - (d). Unsuccessful KLP's are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
27. Community Boards have been advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding from the Board for that project.
28. Groups that receive funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level.

Ineligible Applications

29. In total, no ineligible applications were received.
30. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has a total of \$113,800 pre committed funding from 2008/2009 when it resolved to fund four projects for a three year period.

Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Committed
Family and Community Division of Anglican Care	Community Development Worker / Mothers at Home	\$36,000
Family and Community Division of Anglican Care	Sydenham Community Worker	\$30,000
Spreydon Community Garden Trust	Spreydon Community Gardens	\$25,000
Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo Trust	Waltham Cottage	\$22,800

Timeline and Process

31. Community Boards' have delegated authority from the Council to make final decisions on the Strengthening Communities Funding for their respective wards. The Board's decisions will be actioned immediately following the decision meeting. All groups will then be informed of the decisions and funding agreements will be negotiated where relevant. All funding approved is for the period of September to August each year, therefore grants will be paid out in early September 2009.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

32. Not applicable.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

33. Yes.

2 Cont'd

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

34. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

35. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

36. Yes.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

37. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board give consideration to the projects detailed in the attached decision matrix and approve allocations for Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board Strengthening Communities Funding for 2009/10.

CHAIRPERSONS' RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
1	Addington.net	<p>Community Computing Centre</p> <p>Addington.net is a community computing centre, the project is to bring free and low cost computing to the people of Addington and surrounding suburbs.</p> <p>Contribution is being sought towards payment of the lease of the property and towards the salaries of the workers.</p>	\$18,000	\$81,000	\$17,000	COGS \$5,000 NZCT \$1,200 User Charges \$5,000 CPIT \$1,3800 Canterbury Community Trust \$3,000	2008/09- \$13,000 (lease) 2007/08 - \$13,000 (Lease) 2007/08 - \$4,000 (towards salaries) 2007/08 - \$1,500 (towards electricity costs)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$17,000 to Addington.net, \$13,000 for the lease of their premises and \$4,000 towards staff salaries.	1

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Equity and Access Policy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Staff recommend this project as a Priority 1 due to the depth of the project, its value for money, leverage of other funding and partnership with other organisations, its innovation and its strong alignment to Council outcomes and priorities.

Addington.net is a community computing centre based in the Addington Mall. They were established in 2001 to give free/low cost access to computers to the people of Addington. Addington as an area is recognised as having a high level of deprivation (refer NZ Census, the NZ Index of Deprivation and in 2007 Healthy Cities) and with the down turn in the economy the staff are seeing more people needing assistance with their CVs and job applications. Addington.net provide free computer use for the community, free one on one help with issues using computer programmes, low cost internet, photocopying and printing, free help with job applications and the preparation of CVs, free lunchtime seniors group, free use of computers/internet/computer games for children each day after school and free access and support to people with disabilities.

Through the services Addington.net provides:

- Basic life skills are being enhanced with the availability of computers for individuals requirements.
- It is a safe and supportive place for the children to attend after school.
- It allows those without access to a computer/printer to complete job applications, apply online at a reasonable rate.
- There is good access for those with disabilities.
- Seniors are able to attend a free class which enhances their life skills and reduces their social isolation. They are also able to obtain help at their own pace with no pressure.
- Specialised access for people on marginalised incomes that would experience barriers to technology.

This year the down turn in the economy is bringing more people to the Centre. Many of the casual users are being very careful with their money and even though usage is up, takings are down. Addington.net perceives that cheaper personal computers and laptops are having an effect on their patronage. They work in conjunction with Christchurch Polytechnic Institute of Technology to provide free computer training classes and they are looking at various ways of using the Centre to combine with other groups to begin a project to change the technological face of Addington. Addington.net are also in the process of changing their staffing arrangements so that a supervisor carries out the day to day tasks and the manager is able to concentrate on the funding, finances and management of the Centre including investigating opportunities for expansion. The wage bill will stay the same by reducing the management hours and increasing the supervisor hours.

South Library also have free computers for community use but they stress they provide access to computers not computer education and they are not resourced to provide more than basic computer assistance. The South Library Learning Centre provide computer courses but they do not function as a drop in centre. Also for the people of Addington access to South Library is not as easy by public transport as the Addington shops.

They are seeking funding towards the lease of their premises as the location is accessible and has good foot traffic and is currently appropriate for the needs of the project. They are also looking for a contribution towards salaries. Staff believe that this project has a wide reach into the wider Spreydon/Heathcote community, has been supported by the Community Board for many years, provides value for money and is working with CPIT, is innovative and has a strong alignment with the CCC community outcomes by providing access and enable participation to all people especially the most vulnerable. It also contributes to the broad range of learning opportunities available in Christchurch resulting in a more skilled population.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

Month	Internet use	Other	Children	Classes	Total
Jul-08	345	200	288	304	1,137
Aug-08	311	169	271	510	1,261
Sep-08	227	198	183	458	1,066
Oct-08	249	271	215	277	1,012
Nov-09	270	151	195	388	1,004
Dec-08	247	161	148	210	766
Jan-09	261	202	152	98	713
Feb-09	247	164	198	374	983
Mar-09	313	184	259	501	1,257
April-09	225	196	221	218	860
May-09	278	208	351	418	1,255
Jun-09	334	224	365	444	1,367

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
2	Church Army NZ Canterbury Kids Coach	<p>Rowley Community Work with Families</p> <p>This project provides a free service of story telling, games, puppets, drama, music, cooking lessons, ukulele lessons, craft and refreshments for children after school.</p> <p>The service is provided on a bus which parks in Leicester Crescent and Herdman Street after school on a Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the salary of the coordinator.</p>	\$16,165	\$45,143	\$16,165	Funds on hand \$8,621 Fundraising \$16,407 St John's College Trust Board - \$3,750 Christchurch Anglican Diocese - \$900 (pending)	2008/09 - \$6,000 (programme materials and salary) 2007/08 - \$1,000 (programme materials and salary) 2006/07 - \$1,600 (programme materials and salary)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$16,165 to Church Army NZ - Canterbury Kids Coach towards salary costs necessary for the implementation of their children's programme.	1

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Youth Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Staff recommend this project as a Priority 1 due to its depth, value for money, leverage of additional funding and support from other organisations, best practice, innovation and strong alignment with Council priorities.

CA NZ - Canterbury Kids Coach works in the low income decile 8-10 areas of Rowley and Hillmorton to provide a values based programme on a bus and at local community and church sites teaching life skills, including care of property, fire and personal safety, nutrition, dance, drama and craft. This is done through the mediums of story telling, games, puppets, drama, music, cooking, ukulele lessons and craft. Younger children are worked with after school Monday - Wednesdays, families meet on Fridays after school, and youth meet fortnightly on Sundays.

There are 117 children from 71 families on the roll made up of people from eight different nationalities including new migrants. The bus is also flagged down by teenagers who come in to talk and share their concerns. This project also supports families at the Rowley Resource Centre on Fridays after school and there is a Youth meet fortnightly on Sunday nights. There is a community event held at the end of the term for all families in the area and families on the roll are visited during the week.

This organisations works in with the local Anglican Church and refers people to other organisations as appropriate.

This programme benefits the community by bringing about safer families, fewer disturbed children, less crime, responsible families and a caring community.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
3	Manuka Cottage, Addington Community House	<p>Manuka Cottage (rent)</p> <p>Manuka Cottage is a property at 45 Dickens Street and is the physical base for the Addington Community Development Project. The Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has supported the project by paying the rent for the cottage for the last 16 years.</p> <p>Contribution is sought for six month rental of the property from March to the end of August 2010.</p>	\$4,680	\$9,360	\$4,680	Funds on Hand \$4,680	<p>2008/09 CCC rental \$9,320</p> <p>Previous years - Rent for Manuka Cottage - Line item \$9,320 (annual)</p>	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$4,680 to Addington Community House Inc for six months rental of Manuka Cottage.	1

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Staff recommend this project as a Priority 1 due to the depth of the project, its value for money, its partnerships with other organisations, and its strong alignment with Council outcomes and priorities.

Manuka Cottage houses the community project which creates a network of information, resources, sharing and daily support for a wide range of Addington residents especially those marginalised through lack of money or health issues.

Manuka Cottage, the property at 45 Dickens Street, provides a venue for a number of groups and activities and has operated as the administrative base for a number of projects. It has been privately rented since 1993 and the owners have supported the work of the cottage by maintaining a lower than market rental which has not increased since 2006. The landlords have indicated their intention to continue their support. Manuka Cottage is a well-used local facility which is 'home away from home' for many regular visitors. It is a safe, welcoming and generally comfortable venue for the approximately 200 mainly local residents who visit the facility weekly. Manuka cottage have had their rent funded by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board for 16 years. They have been funded for one year in February 2007 and six months later they were again funded for one year when the funding round changed to Strengthening Communities funding this left them with six months rent already funded hence they are only asking for six months rental funding in this round of Strengthening Communities funding.

Manuka cottage is a well equipped area for play and socialisation for parents and children attending Playgroup and the Mothers at Home Programme. The Cottage also houses the Family and Community Worker and the Partnership Health Worker and provides a place to disseminate information on local services and resources. It offers meeting space for community groups and community consultation and or information sessions.

The categories of people attending the Cottage include families with young children, older adults, people with multiple health challenges such as chronic physical illness, intellectual impairment, chronic mental illness, alcohol and drug dependency. A number of middle aged, single unemployed men also attend and a significant proportion of this group are Maori.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
4	Project Esther Trust - Single Mums Support	<p>Single Mum's Support</p> <p>The Single Mums' support service offers help, resources, encouragement and advice to single mums.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards salaries and operating costs</p>	\$25,000	\$59,460	\$20,000	Single Mums Support acknowledges the support of the Canterbury Community Trust, CCC – Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board, COGS, Community Post, Make it Happen Fund, NZ Lotteries Grants Board, The Hillmorton Community Fund.	2008/09 - \$15,000 (Towards salaries) 2008/09 - \$1,500 (resources and activities), 2008/09 - \$1,500 (music and movement instruments) 2008/09 - \$2,000 (operational costs) 2007/08 - \$10,000 (Towards salaries) 2006/07 - \$10,000 (Towards salaries) 2006/07 - \$2,000 (single mums support) 2006/07 - \$1,000 (music and movement)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$20,000 to the Project Esther Trust towards the salaries and operational costs of the Single Mums Support Service.	1

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Staff recommend this project as a Priority 1 due to its depth, value for money, matched funding from other organisations and partnership with other organisations, its innovation, best practice and strong alignment with Council outcomes and priorities.

According to Statistics New Zealand estimates, there will be 196,000 one parent households with dependent children by 2021. It is estimated that by 2021 36 percent of households will be one parent households with dependent children. The Single Mum's Support (SMS) was established eight years ago under the umbrella of the Project Esther Charitable Trust in 2001. It began as a way to meet the growing need in the South Christchurch area to offer support, belonging, friendship and resources to single mums and their children.

Over the years the service has grown and now employs two staff including a Family Support worker 25 hours per week and an Administrator 15 hours per week. They have a team of 15 plus volunteers who help during events and weekly with our children's programmes.

SMS operate from a house in Spreydon where they have an office, meeting lounge, outdoor area, vegetable garden, kitchen and pre loved clothing area. SMS have 150 families on their mailing list and they work with approximately 30 women and up to 15 children weekly through their support groups, home visit service, phone contact and children's programmes.

SMS provide opportunities for single mums to connect with others in the community, find a place of belonging and friendship, to minimise isolation and loneliness, to develop new skills and find advice and advocacy. They offer three weekly support groups, home visits, newsletter, social events, parenting education, craft, resources, children's programmes, advocacy, vegetable garden, pre-loved clothing and household items. They offer a unique boy's programme called H.I.M.M.S. (Hobby & Interest Male Mentor Support) for boys who have little or no contact with their fathers. Their main objective is to promote 'Healthy Relationships, Positive Parenting and Personal Growth'.

SMS collaborate with other relevant services such as Plunket, WINZ and other local community groups.

SMS rely solely on funding and supporting this project will allow SMS to increase their administrative hours from 15 to 20 to enable further development of the service and also provide a little extra time to source and apply for a wide variety of funding revenues. The service want to be sustainable in the long term and to be able to plan for the future so they can continue to support, resource, empower and advise single mums.

SMS record all statistical information on a daily and/or weekly basis including group attendance, referrals, home visits and client feedback. In 2008 SMS had 30 new inward referrals, 20 sources for the referrals, 80 home visits made by the Family Support Worker, 22 food baskets given out to help families and they provided 108 programmes, events and activities.

The SMS operates under the umbrella of Project Esther Trust (The Trust). SMS has a fair degree of autonomy and is responsible for its own fundraising. There are no significant delivery or financial risks to this project if it is funded.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
5	Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo/Waltham Community Cottage	<p>Work and Wellbeing Facilitator Position</p> <p>The Work and Wellbeing Facilitator at Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo Waltham Community Cottage is an ongoing position that incorporates crisis and day to day one-on-one support for visitors to the cottage, the development and management of the health, fitness and work related programmes, and also the facilitator assists with cottage business.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the salary of the facilitator.</p>	\$12,000	\$32,000	\$12,000	Lotteries 2009 \$13,000 ANZ Staff Foundation 2009 \$5,000 COGS 2009 \$5,000	2008/09 - \$25,000 (Community Development Facilitator's salary and contribution towards Playgroup supervisor) 2008/09 - (Hoop Laugh Hooping Exercise programme) 2008/09 - \$783 (Cash Manager) 2007/08 - \$16,700 (salary) 2007/08 - \$500 (Older Adults recreation) 2007/08 - \$150 Neighbourhood Week	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$12,000 to Te Whare Roopu o Oterepo Waltham Community as a contribution towards the Work and Wellbeing Facilitator Salary.	1

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Staff recommend this project as a Priority 1 due to its depth, leverage and partnership match funding from other organisations, best practice, innovation and strong alignment to Council outcomes and priorities.

Waltham Community Cottage was established in 1993. The Mission Statement is for the Cottage to provide a Vibrant Place of Hope and Connection, Standing Tall, Reaching All. The Cottage provides a range of courses with a focus on health and wellbeing, community lunches, a seniors programme as well as individual support in transitioning to paid employment through job advice and guidance, and CV preparation. Community members can access computer resources and training as well as guided access to the internet. The Cottage offers 12 regular courses and activities ranging from Chi Gong to Low impact cardio Tongan style, from Playgroup to Hoop Laugh Hooping; from Depression Support Group to Happy Eating. The Cottage staff respond creatively to client needs as they arise. For example they have provided five new courses this year, and the 2009 theme for the Cottage is Resource Rich in 2009. The Cottage staff are very aware of the potential impact of the economic situation on their families and how a flow on of 'bad news' can lead to a downward spiral in emotional energies leaving families less resilient to cope with changing futures. The aim therefore is to turn the negativity around, focus on providing knowledge and new skills, encourage healthy exercise experiences and promote an attitude of resourcefulness to increase individual and family strengths.

In a recent evaluation the Cottage community was described as a 'small, but needy area characterised by a high percentage of 'at risk' residents.' The Waltham community has a higher number of single parent families than any other suburb in Christchurch and one of the highest proportions of transient families. In the last few years, Cottage staff have noticed an increasing number of people coming to the Cottage with mental health issues such as depression. They have also noted an increasing ethnic diversity, reflected in the current and growing local school population of Maori, Polynesian and increasing numbers of Middle Eastern refugees. The Waltham Community Cottage's kaupapa is supporting community members to achieve as full and rich a life as possible by providing a welcoming, friendly place, and a range of resources including guidance and advice.

Some of the comments made in the evaluation suggested the Cottage clients are better off for participating in the Cottage life because they are 'heard and listened to', 'grow in confidence therefore, wanting to and willing to participate more fully in the community'; learn positive social skills thus breaking isolation cycles' and 'have gained more awareness about environmental issues'.

Waltham Cottage staff collaborate with the staff of the Public Health HEHA project, the Opawa Baptist Church, Hagley Community College, Whanua Tautoko Trust, Lifetime Learning, Depression Support, Comcare. The Cottage also have a very supportive relationship with the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board who have supported their work for many years. In addition, the Board addition has provided funding to allow one of the Cottage staff to complete her training and thereby provide a unique programme of Work and Wellbeing Coaching for the clients of the Cottage.

The Cottage appears to be very prudently financially managed and there appears to be no risk to the delivery of the project.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
6	Family and Community - A Division of Anglican Care Gloucester Street	<p>Manuka Cottage Addington - Community Development Worker</p> <p>Manuka Cottage is a community project which creates a network of information, resources, sharing and daily support for a wide range of Addington residents especially those marginalised through lack of money or health issues.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the salary of the Community Development Worker based at Manuka Cottage.</p>	\$28,074	\$39,074	\$17,500	Anglican Care COGS	(See full funding breakdown in box below) 2008/09 - \$163,012 (total) 2007/08 - \$107,520 (total)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$17,500 to the Family and Community Division of Anglican Care as a contribution towards the salary of the Community Development Worker.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

The Family and Community Division of Anglican Care, in partnership with the CCC undertake a range of community development projects in diverse areas of Christchurch including Addington.

Community Development Workers engage with a community to ascertain community aspirations, needs and goals. Local people are involved in the decision making and the worker networks ensure there is no duplication or overlap.

The Addington Project followed research undertaken by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board in 1992 and the Board have had an ongoing relationship with Manuka Cottage for the last 16 years.

Manuka Cottage is a vibrant and innovative community venture, successfully engaging with a wide range of Addington residents especially those marginalised through lack of money or issues of health. The role of the Cottage is to break down social isolation and build community participation and peoples' sense of belonging. Over time people attending Manuka Cottage have benefited from the community development approach and have gained life skills, confidence, craft skills, cookery and food hygiene skills, telephone receptionist skills, gardening skills and communication skills.

Manuka Cottage's community development model of practice means that people themselves decide what needs they want to meet, they are involved in decision making at every stage of the work and process. Individuals have benefited and the following activities have grown out of this process:

- Play group
- Mobility exercises
- A place to grow vegetables
- Elders Outings
- Men's Outings
- Women's craft and cooking group
- Addington After School and Holiday Programme
- Community Lunch
- Community Outings and Events

The Community Development Worker undertakes in implementing the development model of practice and is involved in several partnerships including Plunket and MSD. Manuka Cottage is administered through the Family and Community Division of Anglican Care which is a large well governed and well financially managed organisation in Canterbury.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

Funding Breakdown

Metro funding:

2008/2009 - \$25,000 (Manager and Administrators salary)
 2007/2008 - \$20,000
 2006/2007 - \$25,000

Riccarton/Wigram Community Board:

2008/09 - \$22,127 (Hei Hei/Broomfield Community Development Advisor and programme costs)
 2008/09 - \$28,440 (Salaries and overhead costs)
 2007/08 - \$30,000 (Social Initiatives for salary)
 2007/08 - \$18,700 (Admin, programme costs, additional salary)
 2007/08 - \$1,500 (Additional project costs)

2007/08 - \$6,500 (Community Support Unit Research Budget - Men's Research)

Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board:

2008/09 - \$25,000 (CDW salary and rent)
 2008/09 - \$12,134 (Manuka Cottage - Coordinator Salary)
 2008/09 - \$6,072 (Coordinator Salary top up)
 2008/09 - \$2,500 (Management Costs)
 2008/09 - \$299 (Sewing machine)
 2007/08 - \$9,320 (Rent for Manuka cottage - Line item)
 2007/08 - \$8,500 (CDW salary top up)

Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

2008/09 \$13,000 (for 3 years)
 2007/08 \$13,000
 2006/07 \$15,000

Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board:

2008/09 - \$28,440 (Salaries and overhead costs)
 2007/08 - \$40,000 (Salaries and staff costs)
 2007/08 - \$5,445 (programme costs)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
7	Family and Community - A Division of Anglican Care Gloucester Street	Rowley Oscar After School Programme This programme provides after schools services to a multicultural population from a low decile school with a high proportion of Maori and Pacific families. Contribution is sought towards the salary of the coordinator.	\$24,155	\$56,155	\$13,500	Community Trust \$5,000 Ministry of Social Development - \$24,000 User Fees / Registrations \$3,000	(See full funding breakdown on page 13) 2008/09 - \$163,012 (total) 2007/08 - \$107,520 (total)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant \$13,500 to the Family and Community Division of Anglican Care towards salary costs of the Rowley OSCAR after school programme.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Out of School Programmes Policy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

The Rowley OSCAR Programme covers a multicultural low decile school area with a high proportion of Maori and Pacific families. Currently there are 12 children on the roll. The programme has a strong focus on encouraging learning and working in with the local community. Previous research at Rowley Resource Centre provides ample evidence of the high level of need for children and families in this area (see for example Rowley Resource Centre Strategic Plan 2005-7). While there is another OSCAR programmes at Spreydon Primary School, this is some distance away. Similarly while the OSCAR programme run by SHARP does bus some students from Rowley to its base in Spreydon, this only meets the needs of a minority of Rowley students, and removing students from their local environment in this manner is less than ideal. Discussions are also underway with a group organising an OSCAR for children at nearby Manning Intermediate.

Rowley is an area of considerable need, but one which also struggles due to the lack of the relevant skills in its population, to develop and maintain the necessary organisational structures necessary to manage programmes such as OSCARs. Consequently prior to 2008 management of this programme had been undertaken by groups outside of the Rowley area, specifically, Manuka Cottage, and CCC staff at Beckenham. In 2008 steps were taken to transfer this responsibility away from CCC staff. This was achieved by additional funding being allocated to Manuka Cottage's parent body, the Family and Community Division of Anglican care to take on responsibility for both the governance and management of this project.

Additionally during 2008 and 2009 there have been a number of developments within Rowley. Firstly, some capable Rowley community leaders have come together and formed a local management committee.

This group is working closely with Family and Community, and it is likely that they will be in a position to take over governance of the OSCAR at some point in 2009. As a community development focussed organisation Family and Community is keen to see this occur and is prepared to transfer governance responsibility to this group at the appropriate time. If the Community Board grants funds to Family and Community for 09/10 and they then wish to transfer these funds to a Rowley based organisation this matter will need to come to the Community Board for approval. At the time of the application, as the Rowley management group is not yet at a point where it is ready to also take on responsibility for governance of the OSCAR, Family and Community is the most appropriate organisation to grant funding to forward this project. Secondly, attention is now being directed to the issue of the fee structure and how to make the programme more financially sustainable while still being affordable for families attending Rowley Avenue Primary School.

This project is at a critical juncture in its development. A small increase has been recommended to the funding of this group to assist it through this period. Providing less money than suggested at this point is likely to endanger both the ability of the group to deliver services in this high need area, and the capacity development of this Rowley based group. Previous experience in similar situations suggests that the transfer of responsibility for local projects to local groups can lead to the development of a core of people who are able to develop further locally driven initiatives, and to increased community pride and identity.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
8	Manuka Cottage, Addington Community House	<p>Addington After School Programme - Coordinator's Salary</p> <p>This project provides quality, well supervised care and educationally and recreationally appropriate activities for local children aged 5 - 13 years.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the salary costs of the co-ordinator of the programme.</p>	\$6,834	\$73,576	\$6,000	MSD \$15,750 User Fees / Registration \$32,000 Funds on Hand \$18,992	2008/09 - \$5,366 (After School Programme) 2007/08 - \$8,000 (Supervisor salary) 2006/07 - \$9,500 (Supervisor salary)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$6,000 to Manuka Cottage, Addington Community House towards a Coordinators salary for their Addington After School Programme.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Out of School Programmes Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

The Addington After School Project is an enterprise of Manuka Cottage, Addington Community House. It has been running successfully since 1996 and there continues to be a clear need for the services offered by the programme as evidenced by a waiting list for the After School Programme and the Holiday programme which is filled well in advance. It provides quality After School care and recreation for up to 33 children in the local area. The children's needs are regularly reviewed resulting in the ongoing acquisition of new equipment and the development of new activities.

The activities include the five core areas:

- Cooking
- Craft
- Sports/games
- Intellectual and musical activities

Evaluations are carried out on a regular basis with both the children and their caregivers and provide the impetus for the programme to remain relevant to the participants. The project meets the needs of both the caregivers and children of working families in the local community. It increases participation in recreation and community based programmes which enhance the life skills of the participants. It also provides support to families at risk through unemployment, mental health issues and family dislocation.

The staff of the project work in partnership with government agencies such as Child Youth Family Services and WINZ, local schools and whanau to maintain children's safety and well-being. This project requires assistance with the salary costs associated with the Co-ordinators role to enable the continuation of the well run and much utilised service providing safe, accessible and low cost out of school care and recreation to the children of the Addington area.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
9	Project Esther Trust	<p>Music and Movement and Operational costs</p> <p>This project is a Pre School Music and Movement programme for parents/caregivers and children to serve, resource and empower women and their families it also provides support for single mums.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the coordinators salary, and operational costs of the project.</p>	\$7,000	\$9,000	\$2,855	<p>Project Esther is part of the Spreydon Baptist Church and they are supported by this organisation.</p> <p>Project Esther will also be applying to Canterbury Community Trust (CCT) for funds for this project up to \$7,000.</p> <p>If successful with the CCT application some of these funds will be used for expenses not associated with this project.</p>	<p>2008/09 - \$1,500 (Musical instruments)</p> <p>2008/09 - \$2,000 (Operational costs and volunteer expenses)</p> <p>2008/09 - \$1,500 (Resources for single mums)</p> <p>2008/09 - \$15,000 (Single Mums support group)</p> <p>2007/08 - \$10,000 (Single Mums support group)</p> <p>2006/07 - \$2,000 (Single Mums support group)</p> <p>2006/07 - \$1,000 (Music and Movement)</p> <p>2005/06 - \$3,860 (Annual Operating costs)</p>	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$2,855 to the Project Esther Trust towards salary costs associated with running the Music and Movement programme.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Project Esther is a charitable Trust incorporated in 1995. It provides a range of services aimed at resourcing and empowering women, in particular those at risk. Project Esther was set up 15 years ago in response to a perceived need for women to have the opportunity to connect with each other. It started as a playgroup with music in Addington but moved to Spreydon as the venue is more supportive of the activities as they have grown.

Their Music and Movement programme has been going for 14 years and consists of two sessions a week for Mothers and their children with a focus on both spending a fun quality time learning new skills, and providing a space where mothers can meet, and make new friends in the community. A snack and drink is provided for the children at each session and a hot drink for adults.

Project Esther provides for 140 children and their families each week and over 300 people directly benefit from their services. While there are other pre-school services in the area, Project Esther is distinctive in being particularly focussed on providing a service for those who lack financial resources.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority																
10	Recreation and Sports Unit - Spreydon/Heathcote	<p>Spreydon/Heathcote Community Events 2009-2010</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>November Fiesta</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barrington Big Fun</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Waltham Fair</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heathcote River Day</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,500</td> </tr> </table> <p>Funding is sought towards promotional, activity, equipment, health and safety, traffic management and production costs.</p>	November Fiesta	\$6,500	Barrington Big Fun	\$6,500	Waltham Fair	\$5,500	Heathcote River Day	\$3,500	\$22,000	\$22,000	\$22,000		2008/09 - \$14,200 (Hoon Hay Fiesta, Heathcote River Day, Victoria Park In Motion) 2007/08 - \$20,000 (Barrington Big Fun, Heathcote River Day, November Fiesta, Waltham Fair) 2006/07 - \$10,000 (November Fiesta, Heathcote River Day) 2005/06 - \$22,500 (November Fiesta, Heathcote River Day, Barrington Big Fun, Waltham Fair)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$22,000 to the Recreation and Sports Unit towards community events for the Spreydon/Heathcote ward area to be allocated as follows: <table border="0"> <tr> <td>1. November Fiesta</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Barrington Big Fun</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Waltham Fair</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Heathcote River Day</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$3,500</td> </tr> </table>	1. November Fiesta	\$6,500	2. Barrington Big Fun	\$6,500	3. Waltham Fair	\$5,500	4. Heathcote River Day	\$3,500	2
November Fiesta	\$6,500																								
Barrington Big Fun	\$6,500																								
Waltham Fair	\$5,500																								
Heathcote River Day	\$3,500																								
1. November Fiesta	\$6,500																								
2. Barrington Big Fun	\$6,500																								
3. Waltham Fair	\$5,500																								
4. Heathcote River Day	\$3,500																								

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

This is a fund to support the delivery of a series of events for Spreydon/Heathcote residents to increase awareness and participation in recreation activities, encourage families to recreate together, increase a sense of belonging and contributing to building stronger community networks.

1. Hoon Hay Fiesta has been running annually for the past seven years and is well supported by the local community. The Hoon Hay Fiesta compliments a significant amount of community work going on in this area and brings together local groups and organisations. Evaluation of the 2008 event indicates the community still find this a valuable event. All participants evaluated indicated they would like to attend the next event.
2. Heathcote River Day has been running for the last seven years and utilises the local resource of the Heathcote river encouraging families and young people to recreate in the outdoors. This event is always well received and supported by the local community and community groups.
3. Barrington Big Fun has been held in the community for a number of years. Following evaluation of the 2008 event it was decided to hold the event biennially. This event attracts several thousand attendees. Evaluation of the community groups involved in the last event held on 24 February 2008 indicated all groups would like to be involved in the next event and that some of the benefits of participating included new connections with other community groups and with people who had links in the community, enabled the opportunity for groups to fundraise, gained new members for their groups/organisation and gave groups the opportunity to raise their profile.
4. Waltham Fair has been held in the community for a number of years organised in partnership with Waltham Cottage and held at Waltham School. This event is a biennial event.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
11	SHARP Trust (Spreydon Holiday and After school Recreation Programme)	After School Programmes - Kindergarten - Cobham Street - Junior Rec - Senior Rec - SHARP studios - After Care	\$26,250	\$375,811	\$7,000	MSD - \$54,000 - Decision date July 2009 Pub charities - \$7,500 - pending SBCCM Trust - \$4,000 - pending	2008/09 - \$5,000 (Staff costs for after school programmes) 2007/08 - \$5,000 (Staff costs for after school programmes)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$7,000 to the SHARP Trust for staff and production costs involved in running their after school programmes.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Out of School Programmes Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

SHARP Trust is a well established provider of after school services which draw children from Somerfield, Hoon Hay, Barrington and Spreydon. It has 75 children regularly at its programmes and a waiting list. It is opening a new dance based After School programme in Term Two of 2009 to meet this demand. They have a strong focus on staff training and a 1:6 adult to child ratio. Growing out of the relationships that staff develop with the families of children on their programmes, is a strong pastoral care work. On occasion this involves staff (with family permission) in more intensive behavioural work with children in line with that being undertaken in schools and with other health/social service professionals. The organisation also links with other services in the area working in such areas as anger management, divorce care, and dealing with grief or loss.

Of the funding requested \$22,500 is for staff costs and \$4,000 is for costs associated with a dance production which is the culmination of their dance focussed after school programme. Average costs for the other after school programmes this groups runs are \$2,800, and consequently the amount requested for this programme is considered to be excessive.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
12	SHARP Trust (Spreydon Holiday and After school Recreation Programme)	<p>SHARP Holiday Programmes and Kids Camps</p> <p>To provide four age group programmes for six weeks of the year (2 weeks Sept /Oct, 1 week Jan, 1 week April, 2 weeks July) and four, one week kids camps.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards staff costs to deliver the holiday programmes and camps.</p>	\$18,000	\$166,522	\$10,000	MSD - \$65,667 - Decision date July 2009 Pub charities - \$14,000 - pending SBCCM Trust - \$4,000 - pending	2008/09 - \$10,000 (Staff costs for Holiday Programmes) 2008/09 - \$5,000 (Staff costs for after school programmes) 2007/08 - \$19,250 (staff costs - includes holiday and after school programmes) 2006/07 - \$22,750 (staff costs - includes holiday and after school programmes)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$10,000 to the SHARP Trust towards staff costs for the SHARP holiday programmes and kids camps. held from 1 September 2009 – 31 August 2010.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Out of School Programmes Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

The goals of this project are:

- To provide affordable care for all families, regardless of their income and to ensure parents/caregivers are able to study and/or work. SHARP will keep its fee structure in line with current WINZ subsidy rate to ensure all programmes are affordable for all families. They actively seek sponsorship for families who are unable to afford the programme fees and are not eligible for WINZ subsidies.
- To ensure that children are cared for during out-of-school hours and are given the opportunity to engage in a variety of recreational and social activities. SHARP will work closely with a network of schools and social workers to ensure all children in need of out of school care are provided for. SHARP is managed by two full time trained teachers who work to implement systems and structures that ensure high quality programmes while utilising available staff.
- To provide high quality out-of-school care with a strong emphasis on building mentoring relationships between the children and teenage role models. Parents/Caregivers are provided with an evaluation form at the end of each holiday programme and twice a year for term time programmes. This outcome will be measured by the amount of positive feedback from parents/caregivers regarding their child's teenage leader and the quality of the SHARP programmes. The children's feedback will also indicate how well this outcome is being met.

SHARP is a well known charitable trust providing high quality out of school care to children between 5-14 years. Four age based holiday programmes are run for six weeks of the year (2 weeks Sep/Oct, 1 week Jan, 1 week April, 2 weeks July). These programmes include:

- Epic year 7-9
- Gumbles year 5-6
- Fraggles year 3-4
- Littlies year 1-2

SHARP also runs four, one week long Kids camps per year for years 7-9. The purpose of the camps is to provide a holiday experience for children who may not otherwise get an out of town experience. Many of the children are referred by their principal or a community worker as needing a holiday.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
13	Engagement Unit Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board	<p>Community Board, Community Awards and Events</p> <p>Funding is requested for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Service Awards, Community Garden Pride Awards and Neighbourhood Week.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards certificates, catering, venue hire, photography, advertising, production of materials and other associated costs.</p>	\$10,500	\$10,500	\$10,500		The three award schemes have been supported by the Board for many years.	<p>That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$10,500 to the Community Support Unit for the following awards and events:</p> <p>Community Service Awards - \$2,500 Community Garden Pride Awards - \$3,000 Neighbourhood Week - \$5,000</p>	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

All the listed projects have been actively supported by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board over many years.

The Community Service Awards are in recognition of voluntary community service that has benefited residents or organisations in the Spreydon/Heathcote Community. The awards are advertised city wide and the Board receives all complying nominations and makes decisions on who should be invited to receive an award. Expenditure will be for certificates, catering, venue hire, photography, advertising, production of materials and other associated costs. Applications will be called for in February/March with the ceremony held in May/June 2010. \$2,500 is sought for these awards. In 2008 a total of 16 Community Service Awards were awarded.

The Community Garden Pride Awards are given in recognition of local residents who have significantly beautified their garden frontages. Expenditure will be for certificates, catering, venue hire, photography, advertising, production of materials and other associated costs. Judging is undertaken by the Christchurch Beautifying Association in January with a ceremony held in March/April 2010. \$3,000 is sought for these awards. In 2008 the Board gave out a total of 95 Garden Pride Awards.

Neighbourhood Week is an event that encourages a sense of belonging and strengthens neighbourhood cohesion, develops neighbourhood pride and community links. All the listed projects have been actively supported by this Board over many years. \$5,000 is sought for this event. In 2008 there were 50 Neighbourhood Week events held within the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board area.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
14	Whakaraupo Carving Centre Trust	<p>Carving Centre project - Support worker.</p> <p>The support worker will</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ensure the smooth running of the centre, providing daily administrative support to the carver Whanau support to the students. <p>Contribution is sought towards the salary of a support worker.</p>	\$2,000	\$16,900	\$2,000	<p>WINZ subsidy - \$6,900 (pending support worker starting)</p> <p>Lyttelton/Mt Herbert SCF \$6,000 (pending) Hagley/Ferrymead SCF \$2,000 (pending)</p> <p>Note: This application has been split between two city wards and one Banks Peninsular ward: Spreydon/Heathcote contribution: \$2,000 (20% of \$10,000).</p>	Nil	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$2,000 to the Whakaraupo Carving Centre Trust towards the costs of a salary for their support worker.	2

Alignment with board objectives and council strategies:

Strengthening Communities Strategy
Board Objectives

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Council Strategies/Policies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Youth Strategy
- Arts Policy and Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Whakaraupo Carving Centre Trust is soon to establish a School for Maori carving in Lyttelton to teach the skills of carving to predominantly a younger generation (both Maori and non-Maori), and to ensure the retention of skills and knowledge about this carving into the future.

The Whakaraupo Carving Centre Trust was incorporated as a Charitable Trust in February 2009. The Trust wishes to establish a carving school in Lyttelton to train men in the traditional art of Whakairo, Maori Carving, supporting them in acquiring, developing and honing new skills. Lyttelton will provide close proximity to the cruise ship industry as a potential market for goods produced at the school while also creating an additional tourist attraction for the town. Consequently, the project will support the development of the people who are trained and support the economic and cultural growth for the wider community that it serves.

There is no similar initiative in the Lyttelton/Mt Herbert area, Christchurch or the South Island, and as there is no place in the South Island to maintain and secure the traditional carving patterns of Te Wai Pounamu - the South Island, this initiative would provide a place for this to happen. Ngai Tahu has identified a serious cultural risk with regard to the nurturing of this skill as there is no school in the South Island teaching this art. A particular intention would be the gathering and teaching of the traditional Ngai Tahu patterns to ensure their retention for those who come in the future. Lyttelton has been chosen as the site for the school because of the significant role the harbour basin has played in both Maori and European history.

This initiative is open to men of any age and ethnicity who are passionate about the wish to carve. It is anticipated that it will appeal to younger men, and there is evidence that it may appeal to those who have had some exposure to carving perhaps in prison.

A business plan (Strategic Development Plan) using a similar model to the weaving school in Christchurch with a budget covering the first three years of operation, has been produced with funding from Ngai Tahu.

The Trust was at the second stage of applying for an Enterprising Communities Grant at the end of May when funding for these grants was withdrawn. According to the Enterprising Communities Adviser assigned to this group, this project was looking promising and fitted the criteria. It was reported that they were well organised and that a start date of 1 September was quite possible. He is continuing to work with the group looking at other options within and outside MSD. The Enterprising Communities Grant was for a maximum of \$50,000 each year for three years and applicants needed to show independence from this grant at the end of that time. The Trust had identified that in order to work towards sustainability their funding from EC was best spent towards operational expenses, documentation - for example that required for becoming NZQA approved - and not used in bulk for salaries. The adviser also reported that Enterprising Communities views favourably collaboration, and collaboratively funded projects. It is due to the carving project's various funding streams and ordered methods that withdrawal of Enterprising Communities Funding is not expected to jeopardize this project in any way. Some timeframes in approaching some funding avenues may alter and this may have a flow on effect regarding the particular emphasis of the project and those involved. Given the withdrawal of Enterprising Community Funding the Trust is now applying to the following alternative sources to fund the wider project of which the support worker role outlined here is a part:

- Community Trust - \$50,000 (\$34,000 - tools, \$16,000 consultancy paperwork, contract) - pending June
- Ngai Tahu - \$60,000 (carver salary, support of set up and development phase for Carving School) - pending June
- Ngai Tahu - \$5,000 (Business Plan)

The support worker's role is to ensure the smooth running of the School while also supporting the carver by attending to the daily office administration with duties such as answering the phone, greeting etc, cleaning; and whanau support of students which has been identified in similar projects as very important (WINZ, Rehua). This will entail duties such as ensuring attendance, mentoring and practical help.

The Trust reports that it envisages this project will be drawing 20 percent of its participants from Spreydon/Heathcote, 20 percent from Hagley Ferrymead Wards and 60 percent from the Lyttelton/Mt Herbert. The estimation of the percentage of participants coming from City wards is based on the proximity of these wards to Lyttelton. This application has been split accordingly between the three wards. The request for Spreydon/Heathcote is for \$2,000.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
15	WOOSH (Waltham Out of School Hours Inc)	<p>WOOSH Holiday Programmes and After School Programmes</p> <p>This agency runs holiday and after school programmes in the decile 10 area of Waltham.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards Wage and Operational costs.</p>	\$7,700	\$202,306	\$5,000	User Fees / Registration Fees \$28,400 Work and Income \$43,180 Ministry of Social Development \$111,757 Southern Trust \$1,233 Southern Trust (pending) \$5,000 Community Trust (pending) \$5,000	2008/09 - \$6,000 (Sponsorship) 2008/09 - \$6,000 (Training Expenses and Wages) 2007/08 - \$6,000 (Sponsorship) 2007/08 - \$1,000 (Resources, volunteer Expenses) 2007/08 - \$1,200 (Sports Equipment) 2007/08 - \$800 (Conference and Training expenses) 2007/08 - \$2,000 (Training and Wages) 2007/08 - \$1,500 (General Operating expenses) 2006/07 - \$1,500 (Admin and activity expenses) 2006/07 - \$3,755 (Training, admin and wages) 2006/07 - \$1,000 (Art and Craft expenses) 2006/07 - \$8,000 (Sports equipment)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board make a grant of \$5,000 to Waltham Out of School Hours Inc. towards wages and operational costs.	2

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- A culturally inclusive Spreydon/Heathcote community.

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Children's Strategy
- Out of School Programmes Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Waltham Out of School Hours Inc. is a well established provider of OSCAR after school and holiday programme services with a well deserved reputation for good management, and quality service provision. It has a strong relationship with Waltham primary school and seeks to involve parents in the learning of their children. It was recently chosen by the Ministry of Social as one of the very few OSCAR providers eligible to access funding for its extension services programme for intermediate school students.

Waltham is a decile 10 area and the tenth poorest census area in the South Island. It is seeking to provide services within a safe stable environment with the aim of nurturing, encouraging and extending children so that they can consolidate their learning and expand their horizons.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
16	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board	<p>Spreydon/Heathcote Community Directory of Information</p> <p>In 2006/07 1000 copies of a directory of community information were produced and distributed to key organisations in Spreydon/Heathcote.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the cost of printing the directory.</p>	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$0			That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board decline the request for a grant of \$1,800 to enable the printing of a community directory.	4

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- Effectively engage with Spreydon/Heathcote communities in order to successfully represent and advocate for their views, interests, needs and aspirations

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

This project is considered a Priority 4 as it has a minimal contribution to funding outcomes and priorities.

In 2006/07 1000 copies of a directory of community information were produced and distributed to key organisations in Spreydon/Heathcote. This initiative dates back to 1990 and a number of different directories have been provided and received with much acclaim. Staff would like to update and redistribute this directory. A process is already in place to collect updated information and include information from new organisations. It is anticipated that this process will be completed by October 2009 and that publishing and distribution would be completed by the end of the calendar year.

It is anticipated that this will benefit the community groups who receive these directories in that they will have a ready source of accurate information to assist them in finding the range of organisations that operate in the Spreydon/Heathcote area. The majority of residents of the ward, who interact with these organisations, will also benefit from the improved service that they will be receiving from these community groups as a result of having this information available to them.

The publication is used regularly by both local staff for reference and Council wide staff with projects in the ward. This publication is highly regarded and other internal staff comment that such a publication would be of great value in other wards.

The costs requested for this publication are for the printing. Costs associated with staff time, postage and other distribution costs will be met from existing budgets.

While there is considerable merit in this request for funding, staff consider that other applications meet the funding criteria more fully. Consequently it is recommended that this application be referred to the Board's 2009/10 discretionary fund for further consideration.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
17	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board	<p>Interpretation Panels</p> <p>Getting to know the history of your neighbourhood along the upper Heathcote/Opawaho River through an interpretation panels.</p> <p>The funding requested would cover research, design, construction and installation costs.</p>	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0			That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board decline this request for funding for research and implementation of an interpretation panels.	4

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Greater Community Awareness of the heritage, ecological values, and recreational opportunities of the Heathcote River/Opawaho.

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

This project is considered a priority 4 as it has a minimal contribution to funding outcomes and priorities.

The project will benefit the entire community in particular the older people and people who walk in our city including tourists. This is a good project to promote civic engagement. School students will benefit from the project as they will have easy access to a local history interpretation panels. The panels will foster a local sense of community for youth and others in this manner. The entire community will feel an increased sense of attachment to their local community through the placement of the panels.

While of value, this proposal is considered to be of lower priority than other applications.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
18	Hohepa Canterbury	<p>Recreational/Art Activities</p> <p>This project works on-campus preparing, strengthening, nurturing and empowering people with intellectual disability for participating in their wider community. This is done through craft, art, music, movement, drama and massage.</p> <p>Contribution is sought for wages.</p>	\$45,140	\$505,233	\$0	Ministry of Social Development \$345,313 Southern Trust \$16,575 Perry Foundation \$10,000 QSM Charitable Trust \$4,000 Canterbury Masonic Trust \$783 Canterbury Community Trust \$45,147 (Pending)	2005/06 - Arts Fund \$1,250 (Sculpture)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Committee decline this application for \$45,140 from the Hohepa Canterbury.	4

Alignment with Board Objectives and Council Strategies:

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the Community Outcomes

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Equity and Access Policy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

This project is considered a Priority 4 as other funding sources are deemed more appropriate.

The project Hohepa is seeking support for is the work they do with people on-site to develop their life skills, confidence and self esteem. The project includes Craft (an on-site programme for those who lack the physical and emotional stamina to spend their days away from the residential community hub); art, music, movement and drama (this contributes to self-awareness, building confidence, allowing self-expression, including for those who are non-verbal, improving co-ordination and assisting with balance problems); and massage therapy (which assists with reducing anxiety, which is often heightened in people with intellectual disabilities, and relaxes muscles to allow freer movement). All these services are provided on-site by people that are familiar, trusted and respected by residents.

The Government contracts that Hohepa get are for the off-site services for residents. Hohepa Canterbury provides a well established and comprehensive service for people with intellectual disability in the community. They seek to integrate people into their local community by ensuring they are active. Based on the principles and teachings of Rudolf Steiner the Hohepa Trust develops and maintains homes, schools, organic farms and workshops for the care, support and education of intellectually disabled young people and adults. During an average year Hohepa Canterbury provides support services to 60 residents and 40 day attendees through its ten houses/cottages, workshop, farm and school. The work that Hohepa does with it's residents through this project has proven to be beneficial as it supports them to develop life skills and to participate more actively in their wider community. The services they provide for the 69 residents have improved people's quality of life and their ability to be more independent through the developing of life skills.

Investing in this project would be beneficial because it would assist with building the basic life skills of people with intellectual disability so they can be more actively engaged in their own communities.

Hohepa collaborates with a number of disability, community and educational organisations.

While this organisation contributes significantly to Council outcomes for its residents the services for which it is seeking funding are only open to its residents. Where Council has funded similar services for people with disabilities in the past (eg. craft programmes for people with brain injuries) these services have been open to people irrespective of whether they are a resident with the service provider or not.

The services for which funding is requested are both on-site and off-site. The off-site services are funded through a contract with the Ministry of Social Development, and their contribution to the funding for this is as noted. There are however on-site aspects of this service which are not funded by MSD. It is for these which funding is being applied in this instance. While MSD does not fund these services and argument could be made that they should, and therefore that funding these services is not the responsibility of the Council.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND DECISION MATRIX 2009/10

Priority Rating

- One Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes **significantly** to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
 Two Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
 Three Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
 Four Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

Index No.	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recom'd	Other Sources of Funding	Christchurch City Council Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
19	Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board	<p>Mental Health Research</p> <p>Evaluation of the level of mental distress in the Spreydon/Heathcote Ward and provide information to assist community workers to provide the best care to the people of Spreydon Heathcote.</p> <p>Contribution is sought towards the costs of undertaking the research.</p>	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	Not applicable	Not Applicable	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board decline this application for a research project on the level of mental distress in Spreydon/Heathcote ward.	4

Board Objectives

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote

Council Policies/ Strategies

- Strengthening Communities Strategy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

This project is considered to be a priority 4 as it is deemed that other sources of funding are more appropriate.

It has come to staff attention that many of the people working in the Spreydon/Heathcote community are frequently dealing with people in a state of mental distress and disability.

This project is for research to answer the following questions:

- What is the extent of the issue in the Spreydon Heathcote Ward?
- How does the mental health of people living and working in the Spreydon/Heathcote ward compare with other wards, Christchurch and nationally?
- How can these differences be explained?
- What are the characteristics of the individual that are experiencing mental distress and how is this distress being presented?
- What assistance is available for the individuals and the community workers experiencing their own or others mental distress?
- How is this assistance accessed and are there any issues with accessing assistance?
- What are the best options for community workers to take to assist their clients who are experiencing mental distress?

This research project will involve contracting a professional researcher to undertake a document and data review, interviews with a representative sample of people working in the community and mental health professionals and a literature review of options available to assist those experiencing mental distress. The researcher will also be expected to provide a comprehensive report to be used to develop strategies to assist people working in the Spreydon/Heathcote community to provide a safe and effective service to people experiencing mental distress.

It is considered that such research is primarily the responsibility of the Ministry of Health.

Comments and notes: (for elected member use)