



Christchurch City Council

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 20 MAY 2008

AT 4.30PM

AT BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Chris Mene, Karolin Potter, Tim Scandrett and Sue Wells

Community Board Adviser

Jenny Hughey

Telephone: 941-5108

Email: jenny.hughey@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX

	PAGE NO	CLAUSE	
PART C	3	1.	APOLOGIES
PART B	3	2.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT 2.1 James Beck, Attitude
PART B	3	3.	PETITIONS
PART B	3	4.	NOTICE OF MOTIONS
PART B	3.	5.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	3.	6.	BRIEFINGS
PART C	4	7.	KEY LOCAL ORGANISATIONS FOR SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE, STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND

We're on the Web!

www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/

20. 05. 2008

2

PART C	10	8.	HAWDON STREET – PROPOSED 10 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION
PART C	13	9.	ELGIN STREET – PROPOSED P30 MOBILITY PARK AND A LOADING ZONE (GOODS VEHICLES ONLY)
PART B	17	10.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISERS REPORT
PART B	17	11.	BOARD MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE
PART B	17	12.	MEMBERS QUESTIONS
PART C	17	13.	RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

1. APOLOGIES

2. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

2.1 James Beck, Attitude

3. PETITIONS



4. NOTICE OF MOTION

5. CORRESPONDENCE

6. BRIEFINGS

7. KEY LOCAL ORGANISATIONS FOR SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE, STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUND

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services DDI 941-8986
Officer responsible:	Community Support Unit Manager
Author:	Ingrid de Meyer & Denise Galloway, Community Development Advisers, and Lincoln Papali'i, Community Development Manager, Community Support Unit

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek a recommendation from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to the Metropolitan Funding Sub-Committee in regards to a Key Local Organisation (KLOs) to be considered for funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), with the recommended organisations being considered for funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund. The agreed process to determine if a "local" funding application should be processed as a KLO was detailed as bullet point 16 in the report adopted by Council on 4 October, 2007, and is as follows:

- (a) Staff will assess the application as a possible KLO based on:
 - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals
 - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy
 - Alignment to local Community Board objectives
 AND
 - Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
 - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy
- (b) Community Board decides whether the application is for a KLO and prioritise applications if more than one. Community Board may decide against putting application forward as a KLO.
- (c) Metropolitan Funding Subcommittee will make decisions based on affordability and priorities as above. Good communication between the Metro committee and Boards will be essential to ensure a good understanding of recommendations and decisions.

3. In the assessment process undertaken by Advisers, the following guidelines were used to assist staff in determining candidates for KLO funding consideration.
 - Proven track record with Council in providing a high quality level of service
 - Provides a significant contribution towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration

Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy is yet to be determined as it will be subject to additional review processes being undertaken and for this funding round, does not form part of the assessment process.

4. The process for considering KLOs is as follows:
 - (i) Community Boards nominate and prioritise their KLOs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Sub-Committee
 - (ii) The Metropolitan Funding Sub-Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLOs

7 Cont'd

- (iii) Successful KLOs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund
- (iv) Unsuccessful KLOs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund

5. The Board is advised that where candidates for KLO funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Subcommittee, then there can be no further call on the Board for that project. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the 'Funding Constraints' criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the October 4, 2007 report which states that *'Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive Local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level.'*

6. The organisations for consideration as a KLO is detailed in the matrix **(attached)**.

Staff recommend the following organisations for the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board to consider as a Key Local Organisation (KLO) nomination:

- Cross Over Trust
- Rowley Resource Centre
- Spreydon Youth Community Trust

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The funding request from the organisations in the attached matrix totals \$161,640 with a staff recommendation totalling \$ 72,000.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

8. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

9. There are no legal considerations.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

12. Yes.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Aligns with Strengthening Communities Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. Yes.

7 Cont'd

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. None required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board agree to recommend the following Key Local Organisation's and funding amounts to the Metropolitan Funding Sub-Committee for consideration and funding from the 2008/09 Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund:

Organisation	\$ Amount	Funding Duration (1, 2, or 3 year)
Cross Over Trust	\$27,000	3 years
Rowley Resource Centre	\$18,000	3 years
Spreydon Youth Community Trust	\$27,000	3 years

CHAIRS RECOMMENDATION

For discussion.

SPREYDON / HEATHCOTE KEY LOCAL ORGANISATIONS DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

- 1 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 2 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 3 Meets all eligibility and criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities

Index Number	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recomed	Delivery and Financial Risks	Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
1	Cross Over Trust	This application is seeking funding for the two key Rowley Youth Worker positions. Funding is also requested towards the running of associated programmes in Rowley but also in Spreydon, and towards professional development.	\$47,500	\$181,600	\$27,000	Cross Over Trust is an established provider and has a proven track record providing quality programmes. The work and programmes are delivered effectively with strong accountability systems in place. Insufficient funding may require them to down scale our initiatives in the Rowley and/or Spreydon communities. If the group don't receive the level of funding recommended this will jeopardise the services being able to be delivered.	2006/07 - \$25,000 Rowley Youth Workers (Social Initiatives) 2006/07 - \$8,000 For other projects run by COT 2007/08 - \$2,000 - staff and volunteer professional development 2007/08 - \$6,000 - Funding for running 4 groups 2007/08 - \$25,000 - Rowley Youth Workers (Social Initiatives)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board recommend that Cross Over Trust be considered as a KLO, and funded at \$27,000 per annum, for a period of three years, towards Rowley Youth workers salary and programme costs.	1

Project Goals:

- To provide programmes to 'at risk,' children, youth and their families that develop their life skills and involvement in community.
- Support other groups offering programmes to youth that can cater for 'medium risk' and some 'at risk' youth.
- To provide 'one on one' case work support with identified 'in risk' clients and their families based on the 'Circle of Courage' model. That youth need the basic principles of Belonging, Mastery, Independence and Generosity in order for their lives to be in balance, and that it is often the absence of one or more of these that promote anti-social behaviour.
- Rowley Community Youth Proposal to run youth programmes in the Rowley Community. (All youth are referred for care and protection, behavioural and youth justice issues). Run life skills programmes, support prevention programmes, strengthening families' initiatives, develop leadership, provide support and advocacy including case management.

Funding Outcomes:

- Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need
- Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
- Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills

Funding Priorities:

- Children and youth
- Ethnic and culturally diverse groups
- Disadvantaged and/or socially excluded

Alignment with board objectives and council strategies:

- Improve social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote.
- Increased participation of residents in local and city-wide recreation events and programmes.
- Social Well Being Community Policy
- Alignment to Youth Strategy Strengthening Communities Strategy
- Empower Communities and Community Groups.
- The development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities.
- Sport and Recreation Policy

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Cross Over Trust was established in 1989 and is the only youth service in South Christchurch which works with "at risk youth". They provide wrap around youth work support to referred "At risk" children, youth and their families. The Rowley project started in June 2005, and is in response to the closure of the Hoon Hay Youth Centre in February 2004. The Hoon Hay Needs Analysis (September 2002), provided evidence of high need of children and youth in the area. Cross Over Trust have developed and have been delivering a programme of community development based youth work in the Rowley community for the past three years. Cross Over Trust contribute significantly to well being of young people and their families. Council also gains significantly leverage with this project. This project is aligned with the following Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals: Helping build and sustain a sense of local community. Enhancing the safety of communities and neighbourhoods. Improving basic life skills so that all residents can participate fully in society, and increasing participation in community recreation and sport programmes and events.

Cross Over Trust:

- have strong connections with local schools, and other agencies (e.g. Pacific Trust Canterbury, Te Ora Hou, Youth Aid, CYF).
- run intervention programmes
- support prevention programmes
- ensure that children/youth "at risk" have access to programmes/sport clubs/youth groups and mentors
- provide a strong family orientated approach to intervention connected to Strengthening Families Initiative
- work with a strong collaborative approach to developing communities

Their approach includes running programmes and supporting clients to be involved in groups run by other providers (e.g. Touch Suburbs Rugby, Spreydon Youth Community, Kids Club, He Waka Tapu - Mau Raku programme). An independent evaluation of Cross Over Trust completed in November 2006, demonstrates positive outcomes for young people and their families. More recently they have just completed 2008-2011 Business Plan. This highlights future developments such as taking the Rowley model of development and delivering this in the Spreydon area.

Comments and notes (for elected member use):

Printed on: 9/05/2008 1:50:15 PM

Page 2

SPREYDON / HEATHCOTE KEY LOCAL ORGANISATIONS DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

- 1 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 2 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 3 Meets all eligibility and criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities

Index Number	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recomd	Delivery and Financial Risks	Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
2	Rowley Resource Centre Inc	Funding is sought for a contribution towards the staffing budget (salary), and community courses/programmes. Rowley House for the period July 1 - 30 October 09	\$30,100	\$79,750	\$18,000	Operational issues centre around funding. Annual income is made up of a diverse number of funding sources. (There are limited opportunities to generate income). Rowley House lease funding (this currently sits in the facilities budget). Professional financial & accountability processes in place, and community business plan. If the group don't receive the amount of funding recommended this will jeopardise the services being able to be delivered.	Funding - contribution towards community development workers salary 2006/07 - \$4,000 (community development scheme) 2006/07 - \$15,000 (social initiatives - contribution salary & programme costs) 2007/08 - \$3,500 (community development scheme) 2007/08 - \$17,500 (social initiatives - contribution salary & programme costs)	That the Spreydon Heathcote Board recommend that the Rowley Resource Centre be considered as a KLO, and allocate \$18,000 per annum, for a period of three years, to the Rowley Resource Centre towards salary and programmes costs for the centres operation.	1

Project Goals:

- To maintain and continue to enhance the Centre's Community Development programmes based at Rowley house and beyond.

Funding Outcomes:

- Enhance community and neighbourhood safety
- Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
- Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills

Funding Priorities:

- Older adults
- Children and youth
- Ethnic and culturally diverse groups
- Disadvantaged and/or socially excluded

Alignment with board objectives and council strategies:

- Improve social well-being
- The development and maintenance of safe and strong local communities
- Older Person's Policy
- Empower Communities and Community groups
- Social Wellbeing Policy

This project is aligned with Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals:

- Helping build and sustain a sense of local community
- Improving basic life skills so all residents can participate fully in society
- Increasing participation in community recreation and sport programmes and events

Staff comments including evidence of need:

The Rowley Resource Centre was first established in 1990, and incorporated in 1993. Following an evaluation of the centres operations in 2003, the centre was relocated to a house in Rowley Avenue with support from the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board. The relocation also involved a new governing board. The House was officially opened in 2004.

The focus of the centre's activities centre around the recommendations in the Hoon Hay Needs Analysis (Karen Wason 2002), and developments since that time.

This project achieves its alignment to the Strengthening Communities Strategy through:

- delivering / co-coordinating community driven programmes
- the facility is a key local facility and is available and accessible for other groups / agencies to access (currently 7 agencies)
- Education - in house courses and classes (12 local programmes). This includes a very successful adult numeracy and literacy programme
- Community partnering and capacity building with other local organisations (e.g. Christchurch Tongan Association)

Rowley House are currently supporting/umbrelling - Rowley Edible garden, and the Rowley Pacific Community Worker (this is a collaborative health project), which also involves strengthening and increasing capacity within another local agency.

Comments and notes (for elected member use):

SPREYDON / HEATHCOTE KEY LOCAL ORGANISATIONS DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

- 1 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 2 Meets all eligibility and criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities
 3 Meets all eligibility and criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities

Index Number	Organisation Name	Project Description	Amount Requested	Total project Cost	Amount Recomd	Delivery and Financial Risks	Funding History	Recommendation	Priority
3	Spreydon Youth Community Trust	Funding to support youth workers deliver 24/7 SYC programme which provides preventative holistic support for young people to help reach their full potential. (Cashmere and Hillmorton High Schools)	\$84,040	\$104,040	\$27,000	Spreydon Youth Community Trust has been in operation for over ten year. Sustaining youth workers - paying, support, training, supervision, accountability, training, peer support, CYWC code of ethics Physical safety - RAMS training and implementation, insurance. Sustaining volunteers - rewarding, support, training volunteer youth leaders - police checks, character references, training, team accountability, insurance, Funding shortfalls Financial: This is a locally based and developed youth organisation, which sources a large local based volunteer base, donations and has a strong community spirit. If funding is not provided to the level recommended this will jeopardise the level of service.	2008 - \$500 (surfboard hire for Summer Camp) 2007 - \$750 (touch Module Fun Squad) 2007 - \$15,000 (24/7 Youth Workers Salary) 2006 - \$3,000 (Towards Youth Salary costs - CD Scheme) 2006 - \$10,000 (Towards Youth Workers salary)	That the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board recommend that Spreydon Youth Community be considered as a KLO, and allocate \$27,000 per annum, for a period of three years, towards the 24/7 SYC programmes at Hillmorton and Cashmere High Schools.	1

Project Goals:

- To complement and enhance the role of teachers, provide a holistic support network for young people and to enhance the spirit of the school life for young people
- To help build positive relationships with young people and to encourage and strengthen young people in leadership roles.
- To integrate young people into a youth community outside of school.

Funding Outcomes:

- Foster collaborative responses to areas of identified need
- Increase participation in and awareness of community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events
- Provide community based programmes which enhance basic life skills

Funding Priorities:

- Children and youth
- Ethnic and culturally diverse groups
- Disadvantaged and/or socially excluded

Alignment with board objectives and council strategies:

- Empower communities and community groups to deliver services that contribute towards achievement of the community outcomes
- Increase participation of Spreydon/Heathcote residents in local and city wide recreation events/programmes
- Improve Social well-being in Spreydon/Heathcote
- The development and maintenance of strong local communities
- Youth Policy and Strategy
- Social well-being Policy
- Physical Recreation and Sport Strategy

This project is aligned with the following Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals:

- Helping build and sustain a sense of local community
- Increasing participation in community recreation and sport programmes and events
- Enhancing the safety of communities and neighbourhood.

Staff comments including evidence of need:

Spreydon Youth Community (SYC) is a large multi-faceted youth organisation, providing a wide range of services for young people, and is centred on a large volunteer leadership team (110). SYC work to address risk factors, and reducing at risk behaviours. They provide a holistic wrap around 24/7 programme which uses both youth work and mentoring practices to ensure high school students are better connected to their community through building and role modelling positive relationships, and by providing a holistic support network for young people. This work is also based on a consistent strength based approach. They encourage high levels of participation and leadership development within young people.

Spreydon Youth Community Trust has a proven track record with the Council and has been operating for over 10 years. The programme provides a significant leverage and contributes towards the Councils funding Outcomes and Priorities (for example - Reduce and overcome barriers to participation). Youth workers connect with a wide portion of youth in their local community through the local school and run youth initiated events such as the 'Cashmorton Trophy' local rugby derby flourish. The 24/7 SYC programmes at Cashmere and Hillmorton High School demonstrate best practice that has shown to be successful through feedback from school principals and independent evaluation. The programme has been a model for other initiatives in the city and the Trust has collaborated with other organisations to ensure that the needs of youth in other areas are addressed using the same programme format. The benefits of the 24/7 programme at Hillmorton and Cashmere go beyond the local Board area. Ministry of Social Development statistics state that 45% of NZ youth are highly vulnerable. The programme has 5 youth workers at Cashmere High School (706 students) and Hillmorton High School (755 students). There is an increase in the number of youth workers reflecting the desire of stakeholders.

The SYC 24/7 programmes are delivered by five youth workers in each school. The funding request is for the salaries of the youth workers, who work 20 hours per week. Ten of these hours are paid and ten voluntary. This is a holistic programme which incorporates peer support to reach the young people. It includes recreation, camps, tramps, touch module, regular mentoring of head students, student council and getting young people to actively contribute to their community. The programme is committed to help build positive relationships with young people

Comments and notes (for elected member use):

8. HAWDON STREET – PROPOSED 10 MINUTE PARKING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	Jane Parfitt, General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Ross Herrett, Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Patricia Su, Senior Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Community Board to change a short length of 60 minute parking restriction to 10 minute parking on the east side of Hawdon Street, between Byron Street and Kingsley Street. (**Refer to Attachment**).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The proprietor of Mad Ma's Cafe situated at 78 Hawdon Street has expressed concern that the existing 60 minute parking restriction is not providing short term parking for customers as it was intended.
3. The surrounding land use in the area is predominantly industrial creating a demand for on street parking. The kerbside spaces are currently unrestricted except for the existing P60 which covers two parking spaces outside Mad Ma's Café (Café) and the neighbouring business (King Tony Professional Tools). The unrestricted kerbside spaces are occupied all day by employees from the surrounding businesses as well as those from as far away as the South City shopping centre. The Café is very popular and services a wide catchment. As a result, there is a considerable demand for short term parking.
4. The existing P60 was previously installed by agreement with the previous owner of the Café and the owner of King Tony Professional Tools. The owner of King Tony Professional Tools has requested the existing P60 be removed from outside his business as it has caused some difficulties for him. In addition, the existing P60 restriction is not providing a high enough turnover of parking for the Café due to the restrictions time length being longer than desirable.
5. Typically, this situation would have been addressed with a 10 minute parking restriction, however, in this case, a 60 minute parking restriction was agreed upon by the proprietors of the two businesses to service both their needs.
6. The most appropriate solution to the current situation is to remove the existing P60 parking restriction from outside King Tony Professional Tools and change the existing P60 outside "Mad Ma's Café" to a P10 restriction.
7. Mad Ma's Café and King Tony Professional Tools are considered the only ones directly affected by the proposal and their support has been forthcoming.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. An estimated cost of this work is \$500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation and removal of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Street and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

8 Cont'd

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport Activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes – Community and Safety.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. This contributes to improve the level of service for parking.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. Consultation has been carried out with the owners of Mad Ma's Café and King Tony Professional Tools. Both businesses support the removal of the existing P60 parking restriction outside King Tony Professional Tools and the conversion of the existing P60 outside Mad Ma's Café to a P10 parking restriction.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board approve:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles restricted to a maximum period of 60 minutes on the east side of Hawdon Street commencing at a point 42 metres from its intersection with Byron Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 19 metres be revoked.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the east side of Hawdon Street commencing at a point 53 metres from its intersection with Byron Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 8 metres.

CHAIRS RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

BYRON STREET

HAWDON STREET

BUCHAN STREET

20 86 24 26 22 5

94 89 83 81

90 88 85 84

82

EXISTING P60 TO BE REMOVED

KING TONY PROFESSIONAL TOOLS

MAD MA'S CAFE

PROPOSED P10

Original Plan Size: A4
ISSUE: 1 20.01.08
RAH

HAWDON STREET
Proposed P10 Parking Restriction
For Board Approval

CHRISTCHURCH
 CITY COUNCIL • YOUR PEOPLE • YOUR CITY

© Copyright Christchurch City Council. All Rights Reserved. Aerial Photography Copyright TerraLink International Ltd

MapData file location - K:\PROJECTS\LISTA\001 TO 100\46 CSR NON CONTRACTED WARD\HAWDON ST - P10 - 46 - 90755744\46 HAWDON STREET.DWG

9. ELGIN STREET – PROPOSED P30 MOBILITY PARK AND A LOADING ZONE (GOODS VEHICLES ONLY)

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, DDI 941-8656
Officer responsible:	Ross Herrett, Acting Transport and Greenspace Manager
Author:	Steve Dejong / Patricia Su, Network Operations and Transport Systems

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to install a mobility park on the north side of Elgin Street, outside the Work and Income Support building, and a Loading Zone (Goods Vehicles Only) on the south side of Elgin Street, outside Sydenham Central (formerly Spotlight Plaza). **(Refer to Attachment).**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Council has recently received a request from the manager of Work and Income Support in Sydenham to install a mobility parking space within the immediate area of their building. At the same time, a request was also received from Property Ventures Limited for a Loading Zone for large trucks at the goods entrance on the northern side of Sydenham Central.
3. An investigation revealed that presently, there are no mobility parking spaces within the immediate vicinity of the Work and Income Support building, and there is also an historical shortage of disabled parking within the Sydenham Shopping area. The nearest disabled parking spaces are, off street at the rear of Sydenham Central but these are provided for Sydenham Central's customers only.
4. It was considered that Colombo Street did not provide a safe environment for the operation of a disabled parking and it would also necessitate the removal of 30 minute restricted parking space which is at a premium. An ideal location was identified on Elgin Street for a disabled park. By locating the proposed mobility park in the identified location, 40 metres around the corner in Elgin Street, it is still within the immediate area as requested, and would only require the loss of one unrestricted all day parking space. It was also considered prudent to keep the proposed mobility space separate from the existing three P30 spaces so as not to entangle mobility users in the parking movements of other uses of these spaces. It was also decided to make the mobility space a P30 At Any Time so the proposed space is not taken up all day by a commuter with a mobility card.
5. In regards to the request for a loading zone, the investigation revealed that the north side of the Spotlight Mall has no loading zone for trucks delivering to the goods entrances along the Elgin Street frontage. Trucks are forced to double park while unloading takes place. Further investigation revealed that it is impractical to expect heavy vehicles to unload in the mall car park and transfer all inward goods through the front of the larger shops as this would inconvenience and endanger customers to the mall.
6. The installation of the proposed loading zone would necessitate the removal of 3 unrestricted parking spaces, while the mobility parking space would require the removal of 1 unrestricted parking space. These 4 spaces are normally utilised all day by commuters working in the mall or other businesses in the area. It should be noted that the Council's Parking Strategy for business areas, prioritises "loading zones" and parking for people with disabilities as number 3 and 4 respectively on the list, while commuter parking is rated as number 7 (last).
7. As the installation of the proposed mobility park does not necessitate the removal of any gazetted parking spaces and that it is proposed to locate it along the Elgin Street frontage of the Work and Income Support building, it was not considered necessary to consult with the businesses in the area. Consultation of the other businesses in the street was however undertaken with regard to the proposed loading zone, with 90 percent support with the exception of the Work and Income Support, who were concerned with the loss of commuter parking. There is presently no residents group covering this area however, the Sydenham Business and Community Association Chairperson was consulted but stated, that since the group was presently in abeyance, it was advisable to contact the business in the street instead, which was done.

9 Cont'd

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

8. The estimated cost of this work is \$1,000.00.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

9. The installation of parking signs and road markings is within the LTCCP budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

10. The Land Transport Rules provide for the installation of parking restrictions.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

11. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

12. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes- Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

13. This contributes to improve the level of service and safety for parking.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

14. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As noted in paragraph 12.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. The installation of the proposed mobility parking space is along the Elgin Street frontage of the Work and Income Support building, its installation will have little or no impact on neighbouring business, it was therefore considered not necessary to consult with the other business in the area. The proposed mobility parking space has been discussed with the Council's disabilities advocate who supports its installation in this location.

17. There is presently no residents group covering this area. The Sydenham Business and Community Association Chairperson was consulted but stated, that since the group was presently in abeyance it was advisable to contact the business in the street instead, which was done for the loading zone.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board approve:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be reserved for disabled persons displaying the appropriate permit in their vehicle and restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the north side of Elgin Street, commencing at a point 40 metres west of its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 6 metres.
- (b) That a "Loading Zone (Goods Vehicles Only) for a maximum of 5 minutes" be created on the south side of Elgin Street, commencing at a point 64 metres west of its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 16 metres.

9 Cont'd

CHAIRS RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

Original Plan Size:A4
 ISSUE. 1 02.08
 RAH

ELGIN STREET
 Proposed Mobility P30 At Any Time and P5 Loading Zone GVO
For Board Approval

W:\Projects\001 To 200\026 To 050\46 CSR NON CONTRACTED WARD'S\ELGIN ST MOBILITY PARK-46-90719203\ELGIN STREET.DWG

10. **COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISERS REPORT**
11. **BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
12. **MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**
13. **RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

Attached.

SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely item 14.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

	GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	REASON FOR PASSING THIS RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO EACH MATTER	GROUND(S) UNDER SECTION 48(1) FOR THE PASSING OF THIS RESOLUTION
PART B	14. CONSIDERATION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE AND YOUTH AWARDS) GOOD REASON TO WITHHOLD EXISTS UNDER SECTION 7	SECTION 48(1)(a)

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item 14 Protection of privacy of natural persons (Section 7(2)(a))

Chairman's

Recommendation: That the foregoing motion be adopted.

Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

"(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

- (a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
- (b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority."