



Christchurch City Council

RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

AGENDA

TUESDAY 5 AUGUST 2008

AT 5.00PM

AT SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE

**IN THE BOARDROOM,
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH**

Community Board: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Judy Kirk, Mike Mora and Bob Shearing.

Acting Community Board Adviser
Graham Sutherland
Telephone: 941-6728
Email: graham.sutherland@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX

	PAGE NO	CLAUSE	
PART B	3	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	3	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORTS – 15 AND 22 JULY 2008
PART B	3	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
PART B	3	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	3	5.	NOTICE OF MOTIONS
PART B	3	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	3	7.	BRIEFINGS

5. 8. 2008

2

PART A	8	8.	COUNCIL PLAN CHANGE 8 TO CITY PLAN – REZONE 191 WIGRAM ROAD (MUSGROVES SITE) FROM BUSINESS 5 TO BUSINESS 4
PART A	13	9.	GREEN LANE PROPOSED PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROAD
PART C	16	10.	YOUTH IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONFERENCE 2008
PART C	19	11.	RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 15 JULY 2008
PART C	20	12.	RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 22 JULY 2008
PART B	23	13.	COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER’S REPORT
PART B	23	14.	ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE
PART B	23	15.	QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS
PART C	23	16.	RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 15 AND 22 JULY 2008

The reports of the Board's ordinary meeting of 15 July 2008 and the Board's extraordinary meeting of 22 July 2008 are **attached**.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the reports of the Board's meetings of 15 and 22 July 2008 be confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4. PETITIONS

5. NOTICE OF MOTION

6. CORRESPONDENCE

7. BRIEFINGS

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
15 JULY 2008**

**A meeting of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board
was held on Tuesday 15 July 2008 at 4.30pm**

PRESENT: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Mike Mora, and Bob Shearing

APOLOGIES: Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Judy Kirk

The Board reports that:

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

2. PETITIONS

Nil.

3. NOTICE OF MOTION

Nil.

4. CORRESPONDENCE

The Board **received** the following correspondence:

- (a) A letter from Mrs Val Kenyon of the Templeton Residents Association. It was agreed that the letter be referred to the Rooding and Transport Committee.

5. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

6. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Elected members were given the opportunity to update Board members on activities and issues within the Community. During the discussion the following was noted:

- The Board was advised of a request from a Chinese newspaper to photograph the Board members at the next Board meeting on 22 July 2008. The Board members **agreed** that the reporter be invited to the meeting.

7. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

PART C - REPORT ON DELEGATED DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE BOARD

8. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING REPORT – 1 JULY 2008

The Board **resolved** that the report of its meeting held on Tuesday 1 July 2008 be confirmed as a true and accurate record of that meeting.

9. RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

The Board resolved that the resolution to exclude the public as set out on page 3 of the agenda be adopted.

The meeting concluded at 5.05pm

CONSIDERED THIS 5TH DAY OF AUGUST 2008

**PETER LALOLI
CHAIRPERSON**

5. 8. 2008

Clause 2 – Attachment 2

6

11. 9. 2008

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
22 JULY 2008**

EXTRAORDINARY MEETING

**A meeting of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board
was held on Tuesday 22 July 2008 at 4.00pm**

PRESENT: Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth
Dunn, Mike Mora, and Bob Shearing.

APOLOGIES: An apology was received and accepted from Judy Kirk.

Apologies for lateness were received and accepted from Helen
Broughton and Bob Shearing.

The Board reports that:

PART B – REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

1. RICCARTON/WIGRAM STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING 2008/09

This item was forwarded to the 14 August 2008 Council meeting by way of a Chairperson's report.

The meeting concluded at 5.15pm.

CONSIDERED THIS 5TH DAY OF AUGUST 2008

**PETER LALOLI
CHAIRPERSON**

8. COUNCIL PLAN CHANGE 8 TO CITY PLAN – REZONE 191 WIGRAM ROAD (MUSGROVES SITE) FROM BUSINESS 5 TO BUSINESS 4

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Strategy and Planning, Mike Theelen, DDI 941-8177
Officer responsible:	City Plan Team Leader
Author:	Anita Hansbury, Assistant Planner, City Plan

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. This report is seeking a Council resolution on whether or not to proceed with public notification of proposed Plan Change 8 – Rezoning of 191 Wigram Road (Musgroves site) from Business 5 to Business 4.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The proposed plan change seeks to change the zoning of the Musgroves site at 191 Wigram Road (Lot 6 DP 73928, comprising 11.1553 ha) from Business 5 (B5) to Business 4 (B4).
3. In 1995 Musgrove Bros Limited lodged a submission to the then Proposed City Plan seeking the rezoning of the subject site from Rural to Business 5. This land was also encompassed by the Good Shepherd Convent Trust and Others submission requesting the rezoning of a substantial area of land in the locality to a mix of Living zones. In 1999 the Council's decision rezoned the Musgroves site from Rural to Living 1A Deferred. Subsequently Musgrove Bros Ltd lodged a reference with the Environment Court against the Council's decision. The referrer sought Business 5, or alternatively, Business 4 zoning.
4. The Council officers proceeded to negotiate a settlement for a B4 Zone on the basis that it would be a more suitable zone in terms of the objectives and policies of the Plan and its location adjacent to the newly rezoned Living land. Before an agreement was reached it was determined that the Council was unable to rezone the Musgroves site to B4 as it was beyond the scope of the original Musgroves Bros submission. Instead, the B5 zoning with conditions was agreed to by both parties and the Council agreed to consider the B4 zoning within 18 months of the City Plan being made operative.
5. The City Plan was made partially operative on 21 November 2005. The Council has re-assessed the zoning status of the Musgroves site in terms of Section 32 of the Resource Management Act, in accordance with its agreement.
6. The **attached** report (**circulated separately** to Board Members) concludes that the proposed change of zoning to B4 is a more efficient and effective means of achieving the City Plan's objectives and policies than the current B5 provisions.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The financial implications are that Council will be committed to the cost of notification, receiving submissions, reporting on, hearing and deciding the plan change. All these costs are within the City Plan budget.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP Budgets?

8. The costs of notification, receiving submissions, reporting on, hearing and deciding the plan change are within the City Plan budget.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

9. Section 32 of the Resource Management Act requires the Council to undertake an analysis of the costs and benefits of any potential plan change so that the Council can be satisfied that the proposed plan change is a more efficient and effective method of achieving the Plan's objectives and policies than the current provisions.

8 Cont'd

10. There is a legal process set out in the RMA which must be followed. It includes public notification of the plan change followed by submissions, reporting, hearings, decisions and possible appeals. It is a process which is very familiar to the Council and should create no particular risks or liabilities if followed correctly.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Supports the LTCCP City Plan measure of 10 variations or plan changes being prepared and notified annually.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

12. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The **attached** Section 32 report (**circulated separately** to Board Members) demonstrates that the proposed plan change more effectively and efficiently meets the objectives and policies of the City Plan than the current provisions.
14. The proposed plan change does not conflict with the recently adopted Urban Development Strategy.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

15. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

16. The City Council has consulted all near neighbours, the local residents groups, the relevant community board, Environment Canterbury and the local tangata whenua about the proposed rezoning at the beginning of the review process in late 2006. Aidanfield Holdings Ltd, the developer of the neighbouring residential properties, and the owner of the site expressed their support for the proposed plan change. Environment Canterbury expressed their concern over the issue of the use of hazardous substances on the site and the potential for contamination of the underlying aquifer. Their concerns have been addressed in the proposed provisions regarding the storage and use of hazardous substances on the site as well as the proposed groundwater protection provisions. It is noted that public notification of the proposed Plan Change will enable the community to have their say through submissions and a public hearing.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board recommends to the Regulatory & Planning Committee to:

- (a) Adopt the **attached** Proposed Plan Change 8: Rezoning of 191 Wigram Road for notification (**circulated separately** to Board Members).
- (b) Adopt the **attached** assessment under Section 32 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**circulated separately** to Board Members).
- (c) Agree to publicly notify Proposed Plan Change 8 pursuant to clause 16a of the 1st schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991.

8 Cont'd

BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION

The Plan Change

17. The purpose of Plan Change 8 is to rezone the Musgroves site at 191 Wigram Road, from Business 5 (B5) to Business 4 (B4). The subject site comprises 11.1553 ha and is occupied in part by a recycling business for demolition building materials which was established in 1972 through a resource consent process.
18. The current Business 5 zone provides for larger scale industrial uses with associated adverse effects such as high traffic generation, noise and hazardous substances. The uses associated with the zone may conflict with the neighbouring residential activities and give rise to reverse sensitivity.
19. The proposed Business 4 zone is intended to accommodate light industrial activities, warehousing, service industries, some commercial activities like offices and limited retail activities. The zone standards exclude or control activities with a potential to have detrimental impact on adjoining living zones through stricter limits on the scale of buildings, noise and other nuisance effects. The higher standards of amenity within Business 4 zones are making them more compatible with adjoining sensitive zones such as living zones.
20. Rezoning of the site to B4 will allow the existing business activity to operate as a permitted activity without the need for resource consent applications. Development of the remainder of the site according to B4 standards would provide for activities better suited to the site specific constraints and more compatible with the surrounding sensitive zones. In reflection of the characteristics of the land and the associated constraints the plan change introduces alternative, site specific standards and a development plan outlining some of the requirements and restrictions affecting future re-development of the site.
21. The main justification for the change is the fact that the current B5 zoning was never considered an acceptable zone for this site and was only the result of a lack of scope within a court reference. Comments received from various departments of the Council and commissioned reports provide grounds for the site specific provisions. These are discussed below in the Description of Issues.
22. A copy of the proposed plan change is **attached (circulated separately** to Board Members).

Description of the Site

23. The Musgroves site adjoins a Living 1A zone to the south west and south east, Open Space 2 zone to the north east and Special Purpose (Wigram) zone (Areas A and B) to the north east. The areas marked 'A' are being considered for re-development for industrial/commercial and residential activities as part of the South-Western Area Plan for Christchurch urban growth with residential development being proposed across Wigram Road from the site.
24. The south-eastern part of the site is subject to the Transit New Zealand designation for the Southern Motorway extension which will separate the site from the Living zones in the Aidanfield development. The proposed Aidanfield Drive extension will separate the site from Broken Run subdivision on the south-west boundary. Eventually the site will be surrounded by residential development across the roads on three sides and an open space zone on the fourth.
25. Council records identify the Musgroves site, a former quarry back-filled with uncontrolled material, as land subject to potential geotechnical hazard risks associated with ground instability, subsidence, landfill gas, soil contamination and potential for ground water contamination. This may impose constraints on the development of the site and specific investigation of remediation options will need to be undertaken at the time of development.

8 Cont'd

26. Careful management of the development of the site and of stormwater disposal will be required in order to avoid any surface and in-situ contaminants entering the groundwater and/or the Heathcote River system. The storage and handling of hazardous substances on the site is also restricted due to the potential for groundwater contamination.
27. The site is currently not connected to the sewer system. The Musgroves site is part of the Halswell contribution scheme for sewer which is designed to a capacity suitable for residential zoning. Wastewater discharge from the site is therefore limited to a volume consistent with residential development rather than "wet" industrial activities often associated with B5 zoning.
28. The existing B5 provisions contain site specific rules relating to setbacks, landscaping, wastewater and groundwater protection aimed at avoiding, mitigating or remedying adverse effects of possible B5 industrial development on the Musgroves site.

Description of Issues

29. The **attached** Plan Change 8 (**circulated separately** to Board Members) provides details of the proposed changes to the City Plan. The Section 32 assessment accompanying the plan change provides a description of the site and its environs and the full background and reasons for the proposed changes. The proposed rezoning is sought to provide for viable development options for the site and for the on-going operation of the existing business. The rules are amended in reflection of the site specific conditions and limitations thus ensuring better environmental outcomes.
30. The greater setback from roads and landscaping requirements specific to the Musgroves site are proposed to be carried over to the B4 zone rules to mitigate adverse effects of larger scale buildings and maintain a higher standard of visual amenity. An increased setback of 70m is introduced from the boundary with the proposed Southern Motorway as the final width of the constructed motorway will exceed the currently designated area. There is provision to reduce the setback to the standard 6m from the road boundary once the land required for the Southern Motorway has been purchased and the new legal boundary established.
31. The Musgroves site currently adjoins a residential subdivision along its south-western boundary. Future plans will see Aidanfield Drive extended along that boundary therefore separating the site from the Living zone with a road. The plan change increases the setback requirement along that boundary to 10m to align it with the B4 setback requirement for the road boundaries opposite a Living zone. The proposed exception of 4.5m reduced setback for residential units is also consistent with the current B4 rule.
32. The standard B4 rule requires that 10% of a site is set aside for landscaping. This Plan Change introduces an additional requirement for a 4.5m average width and a 1.5m minimum width of the landscape strip along the frontage of Wigram Road, future Aidanfield Drive extension and the Southern Motorway. This will provide an adequate buffer between the site and the surrounding sensitive zones and allow for a higher standard of amenity.
33. The existing groundwater protection and wastewater rules for Musgroves are proposed to be carried over to the B4 provisions. Trade waste disposal rate remains constrained by the available sewer capacity. It is recognised that the works to develop the site have the potential to adversely affect the quality of groundwater and the Heathcote River system through the potential to mobilise in-situ contaminants to the underlying groundwater aquifer. Investigation of remediation measures and a site management plan will be required at the time of carrying out the works. There are sufficient rules in the City Plan and regulations under the Building Act to ensure that any potential adverse effects of site development on the groundwater are avoided or mitigated.

8 Cont'd

34. The ground contamination on this former quarry site precludes the discharge of roof and impervious surfaces runoff water to the ground. Stormwater disposal on the Musgroves site shall be based on a first flush treatment and detention basin system designed in accordance with the development plan shown in Part 3, Appendix 10. This will maintain the groundwater quality, avoid discharge of any contaminated stormwater directly into the Heathcote River system and prevent downstream flooding of the river at peak times.
35. A transportation assessment carried out on behalf of the Council (refer **Attachment 3 circulated separately** to Board Members) has revealed that the proposal has the potential to produce some adverse effects on the surrounding road environment which will require mitigation. The projected high number of vehicle movements generated by the B4 activities on the Musgroves site could create congestion, cause delays at the Wigram Road intersection with the internal site road and compromise the safety of the receiving road environment. To mitigate such potential adverse effects vehicle access to Wigram Road and the future Aidanfield Drive extension is limited to one access point to each. Additionally, the Wigram Road intersection design incorporates right and left turning lanes and a physical seagull island on Wigram Road so that right turning traffic from the site is not opposed by north-bound traffic on Wigram Road. A high traffic generator rule is introduced as a means of staging the development until the Southern Motorway extension is open to traffic and able to reduce the demand and traffic volume on Wigram Road. These measures will also ensure that the anticipated future arterial function of Wigram Road and its efficiency and safety are not compromised.

CONCLUSIONS

36. The lighter industrial, business or commercial activities permitted in the B4 zone are likely to be less affected by the site specific constraints and will maximise development opportunities provided by the site. Any adverse effects of the development will be avoided, remedied or mitigated through the proposed site specific provisions relating to setbacks, landscaping, roading, hazardous substances, wastewater and stormwater disposal. The proposed rezoning will provide opportunities for a development which is more suitable for the site conditions while being more compatible with the surrounding sensitive environment.
37. Section 32 of the Resource Management Act requires the Council to be satisfied that any proposed plan change is a more efficient and effective means of achieving the Plan's objectives and policies than the current provisions. The **attached** Section 32 report (**circulated separately** to Board Members) concludes that this is the case for the proposed amendments to the City Plan. Public notification of the plan change will also fulfil Council's earlier commitment to review the zoning of the Musgroves site. Should the Council resolve to publicly notify the proposed plan change then those changes will be available for the community to make submissions on. The submitters will then be able to present their submission at a public hearing following which the hearing panel will be obliged to make a recommendation to the Council on whether or not the plan change should be accepted, amended or rejected.

9. GREEN LANE PROPOSED PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROAD

General Manager responsible:	General Manager City Environment, Jane Parfitt, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Transport and Greenspace Unit Manager
Author:	Malcolm Taylor, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to Council to prohibit motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kg from using Green Lane, Sockburn from 10 pm on Thursdays, Friday, Saturdays, Sundays to 5.00am the following day and Statutory Holidays 10.00pm the previous night until 5 am on the Statutory day (refer to **Attachment 1**). This report was considered by the Riccarton/Wigram Transport & Roading Committee on 22 July 2008.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The Green Lane Corporate Body has informed the Council of damage being done by youths to Council's and businesses' properties in Green Lane. The damage which is occurring on a weekly basis, includes:
 - Graffiti to buildings, fences and electrical control boxes.
 - Diesel being poured on the road surface and boy-racers doing burn outs, resulting in road surface damage.
 - Rubbish being deposited on roadway from trailer loads of takeaways.
 - Glass bottles being broken on roadway.
 - Vehicles being abandoned in the centre of the roadway and set alight.
 - Deliberate fires being lit in grass areas, bordering railway lines.
 - Rocks being thrown at buildings and breaking business windows.
3. Green Lane is an industrial cul-de sac that attracts this sort of behaviour at night when businesses in the lane are not staffed.
4. The Police have also been informed of these issues by the Green Lane Corporate Body.
5. The Police have powers to deal with offences relating to the lack of traction of vehicles and the speed of vehicles. However, this behaviour will usually have stopped by the time the police arrive on the scene. It can start up again minutes after they leave. By prohibiting both the spectators and the participants from taking their cars on the road, the problems go away. The most effective way to achieve this is to prohibit those cars from using the road unless they are carrying the owners/occupiers or bonafide visitors of properties adjacent to Green Lane.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6. An estimated cost for this work is \$500.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

7. The installation of road signs and markings are within the LTCCP Transport and Greenspace operational budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

8. Complies with the provisions of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1989.
9. Clause 15 (1) of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides that "The Council may by resolution specify any road or part of a road and the days and times during which motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kilograms are prohibited from being used on the road or part of that road or roads".

9 Cont'd

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. As noted in paragraph 8 and 9.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with the Transport and Greenspace activities by contributing to the Council's Community outcomes Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?

12. This contributes to improve the level of service for safety and access.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. The recommendations align with the Council's Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As noted in paragraph 13.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Consultation with the Police has been carried out and they support this recommendation. The request has come from the Green Lane Corporate Body who represents the businesses of this Lane.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board recommend to Council to resolve:

- (a) That motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kg are prohibited from using Green Lane at the following times:
- 10 pm Thursday to 5 am Friday
 - 10 pm Friday to 5 am Saturday
 - 10 pm Saturday to 5 am Sunday
 - 10 pm Sunday to 5 am Monday
 - Statutory Holidays - 10 pm Previous Day to 5 am on the Statutory Day.

TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendations be adopted.

NO ENTRY
TO VEHICLES UNDER
3500 kg
10pm THURS – 5am FRI
10pm FRI – 5am SAT,
10pm SAT – 5am SUN
10pm SUN – 5am MON
STATUTORY HOLIDAYS
10pm PREVIOUS DAY – 5am
EXCEPT FOR OWNERS AND OCCUPIERS
AND THEIR DOMESTIC VISITORS OF
PROPERTIES TO WHICH THIS ROAD
PROVIDES THE MOST DIRECT ACCESS

Photo of Sign

⊗ = Approx Position Of Sign

SCALE (m)
10 5 0 10 20 30

GREEN LANE
Proposed Prohibition Times on Road
For Board Approval

CHRISTCHURCH
CITY COUNCIL · YOUR PEOPLE · YOUR CITY

Original Plan Size: A4
ISSUE.1 16/05/08 Proj No.
TG100906

RAILWAY
GREEN LANE
GREEN LANE
GREEN LANE
MAIN SOUTH ROAD
SYMES ROAD

NORTH

© Copyright Christchurch City Council © Aerial Photography Copyright TerraLink International Ltd

10. YOUTH IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONFERENCE 2008

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, DDI 941-8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Graham Sutherland, Acting Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Board to decide whether or not to approve funding for a Board member or members to attend the Youth in Local Government Conference in Christchurch from 6 to 8 October 2008.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Christchurch City Council is hosting the Youth in Local Government Conference this year.
3. The conference is a biennial event usually attended by mayors, councillors and community board members as well as staff and young people involved in local government. It provides an opportunity for those people working in local government who are interested in developing stronger links with young people to meet together, build networks and discuss issues related to involving young people with their local communities. The theme of the conference this year is Transforming the Future and topics include Growing Active Citizens, Youth Employment and Youth Participation. The conference programme is **attached**.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4. The cost for one member to attend would be \$495, which would be met from the Board's operational budget for 2008/09. This would cover conference registration only, as the conference is held in Christchurch.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2006-16 LTCCP budgets?

5. Yes.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?**

6. There are no legal considerations.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS**Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2006-16 LTCCP?**

7. Yes. Democracy and Governance Support.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES**Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?**

8. Yes, Page 59 of the LTCCP, Strategic Direction, Strong Communities.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

9. Not applicable.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board decide whether to approve funding for a Board member to attend the Youth in Local Government Conference in Christchurch from 6 to 8 October 2008.

5. 8. 2008

16

programme

Monday 6 October - Youth Day

WHO IS YOUTH DAY FOR: youth councillors and other young people who are part of local boards, forums, committees or other advisory groups involved in some way with local government.

11am - 5pm

Youth Day (12 - 24 year olds)

- *Welcome, Mihimihi*
- *Lunch*
- *Introducing the National Youth Council Network*
- *Open Forum for issues facing young people*
- *Skills Session: Presenting to Officials*
- *Meet the Minister of Youth Affairs and Local Government*

Concurrent sessions for Youth Support Staff

- *Supporting your Youth Council – Idea Exchange*
- *Networking Tour of Christchurch Youth Outlets*
- *What works in running Youth Councils*

Tuesday 7 October

8.30am *Powhiri and Mayors Address*

10.30am Morning Tea

11.00am Ministers Address

11.30am *Keynote: Kehinde Bah: Cultivating Community Leadership*

12.30pm Lunch

1.30pm *Concurrent Seminar One*

Employment		Growing Active Citizens	
Workforce Needs of Canterbury Region		<u>Sustaining the Youth Movement</u> - <i>Kehinde Bah</i>	<u>Growing Active Citizens - Helena Catt, Victoria Owen, Shailer Hart</u>

2.45pm Afternoon Tea

3.15pm *Concurrent Seminar Two*

Employment		Growing Active Citizens	
Workforce Needs of Canterbury Region	<u>Dancing with the Stars</u>	<u>Sustaining the Youth Movement</u> - <i>Kehinde Bah</i>	<u>Active Young People in Rotorua</u> - <i>Robert Blaikie</i>

4.30pm Youth Feedback

5.00pm *Wrap Up*

7.00pm Conference Dinner and Awards

- 12am

5. 8. 2008

17

Wednesday 8 October			
7.30am	Breakfast with Keynote Address		
9.15am	Review of Day One		
9.30am	Keynote: Melissa Crockett: Resourceful, Fearless and Cunning <i>- A Contemporary Maori Business Model</i>		
10.30am	Morning tea		
11.00am	Concurrent Seminar Three		
Employment		Growing Active Citizens	
Sustainability through Social Responsibility - <i>Melissa Crockett</i>	Technology in Today's Business World - <i>Hamish House and Andrew Plimmer</i>		Youth Citizens Jury
12.15pm	Lunch		
1.15pm	Concurrent Seminar Four		
Employment		Growing Active Citizens	
Sustainability through Social Responsibility - <i>Melissa Crockett</i>	Technology in Today's Business World - <i>Hamish House and Andrew Plimmer</i>	Connecting Councils	NZ Youth Represent <i>Panel</i>
2.30pm	Panel: How to get young people engaged in Local Government Cr Nick Leggett, Cr Hemi Matenga, Cr Tim Manu , Cr Hayley Wain , Cr Anne Molineus, Cr Solitaire Robertson , Cr Yani Johanson, Mayor Philippa Barriball and Mayor Jono Naylor . Chair Dale Williams		
4.00pm	Close / Poroporoaki		
YOUTH DAY			
<i>*Invitation to Youth Councils, Youth Forums, Boards & Committees*</i>			
<p><i>I would like to warmly invite each and every young person with initiative or involvement in youth work nationwide to attend a conference we hope will be beneficial for us all. Part of this conference is a full day specifically for us, a National Youth Council Day. We will be introducing the new National Youth Council Network, who you will all get to meet. The Otautahi Youth Council is really looking forward to hosting this event, and will make sure you'll have a great time in our lovely city of Christchurch!</i></p> <p><i>I hope to see you all in October,</i></p> <p><i>Gloria Grace Sharplin</i> <i>Chairperson</i> <i>Otautahi Youth Council</i></p> <p>The first day of the conference is aimed specifically for youth councillors and other young people who are part of local boards, forums, committees or other advisory groups involved in some way with local government.</p> <p>The day is a chance for young people around the country to get together, network, make new friends, swap ideas and discuss issues specific to young people involved in local government in Aotearoa.</p> <p>The Youth Day will also provide a chance for those Local Government staff around the country who are directly involved in youth participation to get together and network. The day will provide the opportunity to learn from each other, present ideas and will ensure plenty of time to discuss issues.</p> <p>Time and Venue - Christchurch City Council Chambers, 11am until 5pm.</p>			

11. **RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 15 JULY 2008**

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services, Peter Mitchell, DDI 941 8462
Officer responsible:	Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on Tuesday 15 July 2008.

The meeting was attended by Peter Laloli (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Mike Mora, and Bob Shearing.

An apology was received and accepted from Judy Kirk.

1. DEPUTATION BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

2. PETITIONS

Nil.

3. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

4. CORRESPONDENCE

The Committee received a letter from the New Zealand Police providing the Committee with an update on the activities they have carried out in the Yaldhurst Road/Upper Riccarton Domain area to lessen the impact of youth behaviour in the area.

5. RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CONCRETE PATHWAY BETWEEN THE LIBRARY AND THE SCHOOL'S NEW WHARE

The Committee considered a report to seek the Board's approval for funding from the Board's 2008/09 Discretionary Response Fund for the Riccarton High School to construct a pathway from the Upper Riccarton Community Library to Riccarton High School's new whare.

Beth Dunn declared a conflict of interest in this clause and took no part in the discussion or voting thereon.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 7.1 of this report.

6. ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Nil.

7. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**7.1 RICCARTON HIGH SCHOOL CONCRETE PATHWAY BETWEEN THE LIBRARY AND THE SCHOOL'S NEW WHARE**

That the Board defer this matter until the Community Services Committee has received further information on the community use of the Riccarton High School Whare.

The meeting finished at 5.25pm.

12. RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND ROADING COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 22 JULY 2008 MEETING

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Lisa Goodman, Democracy Services Manager
Author:	Graham Sutherland, Acting Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Roading Committee meeting held on Tuesday 22 July 2008.

The meeting was attended by Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton (until 5.55pm), Jimmy Chen, Beth Dunn, Peter Laloli, and Bob Shearing (until 6.25pm).

An apology was received and accepted from Judy Kirk. An apology for lateness was received by Jimmy Chen, who arrived at 5.30pm and was absent for clauses 1, 2 and part of clause 3. Apologies for early departure were received from Helen Broughton, who departed at 5:55pm and was absent for clause 8, and Bob Shearing, who departed at 6:25pm and was absent for the last part of clause 8.

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

2.1 Letter from Angela Mills regarding concerns about the Church Corner road design.

The committee **received** the correspondence and forwarded it to the appropriate staff for a response.

2.2 Letter from Hornby Police regarding youth behaviour on Yaldhurst Road.

The committee **received** the correspondence and requested that staff include this information the Board's Current Transport Issues list if appropriate.

3. BRIEFINGS

3.1. BRYNLEY STREET

Jennie Hamilton and Andrew Hensley, Consultation Leaders, Transport and Greenspace, provided an update on the above project and informed the Committee that a project team has been established to complete this work. The budget is \$86,000 and staff are aiming to complete the project by the end of the 2008/09 year or early in the 2009/10 year.

The Committee commented that it had earlier requested a public meeting on this matter and that there may be some public expectation for that to happen. Staff noted this and commented that the first step would be to create a concept plan, which would then be presented to the public through a range of consultation mechanisms.

4. GREEN LANE PROPOSED PROHIBITED TIMES ON ROAD

The Committee considered a "Part A - Matters Requiring a Council Decision" report to prohibit motor vehicles weighing less than 3,500 kg from using Green Lane, Sockburn from 10.00pm on Thursdays, Friday, Saturdays, Sundays until 5.00am the following day and Statutory Holidays, 10.00pm the previous day until 5.00am on the Statutory day.

12 Cont'd

Peter Laloli and Bob Shearing each declared a conflict of interest on this matter and took no part in the discussion or decision therein.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9 (Part A - Matters Requiring a Council Decision) of the Board's 5 August 2008 meeting agenda.

5. SABYS ROAD/CANDYS ROAD – PROPOSED “STOP” CONTROL

The Committee considered a report to install a “Stop” control on Candys Road at the Sabys Road intersection.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.1 of this report.

6. AMYES ROAD AND BRANSTON STREET – PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTIONS

The Committee considered a report to install “No Stopping” lines at the intersection of Amyes Road and Branston Street, Hornby.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.2 of this report.

7. PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING – PROXIMITY OF FORMER HALSWELL QUARRY

The Committee considered a report to obtain the Board's approval for one new road name.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 9.3 of this report.

8. UPDATE ON CURRENT TRANSPORT ISSUES

The Committee and staff worked through the Board's list of Current Transport Issues and noted the following matters in particular:

- Staff advised the Committee that in order to assist with the increased volume of pedestrians around the Mosque on Deans Avenue during Ramadan, temporary “Pedestrians Crossing” warning signs would be installed at appropriate locations at both ends of Dean's Avenue.
- The Committee received a list of issues from the Riccarton Park Residents Association, many of which the committee appeared to have no prior knowledge of. The Committee recommended that staff investigate the list, compare it to the Board's existing Transport Issues List and create RFS items to follow up where necessary.
- The Committee requested information regarding possible sponsorship for high visibility vests or bibs for cyclists, similar to the “One Less Car” bibs seen recently on cyclists in the city, and that this be referred to the Community Board for further discussion.

9. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 SABYS ROAD/CANDYS ROAD – PROPOSED “STOP” CONTROL

That the Board approve that a “Stop” control be placed against Candys Road at its intersection with Sabys Road.

12 Cont'd

9.2 AMYES ROAD AND BRANSTON STREET – PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTIONS

That the Board approve:

- (a) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-west side of Amyes Road commencing at its intersection with Branston Street and extending in a north-westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north-west side of Branston Street commencing at its intersection with Amyes Road and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.
- (c) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-west side of Amyes Road commencing at its intersection with Branston Street and extending in a south-easterly direction for a distance of 30 metres.
- (d) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south-east side of Branston Street commencing at its intersection with Amyes Road and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

9.3 PROPOSED ROAD AND RIGHT OF WAY NAMING – PROXIMITY OF FORMER HALSWELL QUARRY

That the Board approve the proposed road name of "Rock Hill Drive".

The meeting concluded at 6:45pm.

13. **COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S REPORT**
14. **ELECTED MEMBERS INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
15. **QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
16. **RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

Attached.

RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely Item 17.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

	GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	REASON FOR PASSING THIS RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO EACH MATTER	GROUND(S) UNDER SECTION 48(1) FOR THE PASSING OF THIS RESOLUTION
PART C 17	SOUTH-WEST CHRISTCHURCH AREA PLAN – DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION) GOOD REASON TO) WITHHOLD EXISTS) UNDER SECTION 7	SECTION 48(1)(a)

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item 17 Conduct of negotiations (Section 7(2)(i))

Chairperson’s

Recommendation: That the foregoing motion be adopted.

Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

- (a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
- (b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”