



## **Christchurch City Council**

# **SPREYDON/HEATHCOTE COMMUNITY BOARD AGENDA**

**2 AUGUST 2005**

**AT 5.00 PM**

**IN THE BOARDROOM,  
SOUTH LIBRARY, SERVICE CENTRE AND LEARNING CENTRE,  
66 COLOMBO STREET, CHRISTCHURCH**

**Community Board:** Phil Clearwater (Chairperson), Oscar Alpers, Barry Corbett, Paul de Spa, Chris Mene, Sue Wells and Megan Woods.

**Community Board Principal Adviser**  
Lisa Goodman  
DDI: 941-5108  
Email: [lisa.goodman@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:lisa.goodman@ccc.govt.nz)

**Community Board Secretary**  
Elaine Greaves  
DDI: 941-5105  
Email: [elaine.greaves@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:elaine.greaves@ccc.govt.nz)

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

### **INDEX**

- PART C 1. APOLOGIES**
- PART C 2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT TO COUNCIL: 19 July 2005**
- PART B 3. DEPUTATION BY APPOINTMENT**
  - 3.1 Peter Tuffley, Beckenham Neighbourhood Association, re Malcolm Avenue and Colombo Street Intersection Safety Improvement**
- PART B 4. RESOLUTION TRACKING FOR COMMUNITY BOARDS**
- PART C 5. COLOMBO STREET AND MALCOLM AVENUE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS**
- PART B 6. TRANSPORT AND CITY STREETS UNIT – UPDATE ON CURRENT ISSUES**
- PART C 7. ACORN CLOSE RESERVE – GUM TREE**
- PART C 8. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND APPLICATION**
- PART C 9. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND APPLICATION**
- PART B 10. UPDATE OF BOARD FUNDS**
- PART B 11. UPDATE FROM COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER**
- PART B 12. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**
- PART C 13. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS**

**We're on the Web!**

[www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/](http://www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/Agendas/)

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT TO COUNCIL: 19 JULY 2005**

The report of the meeting of 19 July 2005 has been circulated under separate cover.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the report to Council of the meeting of 19 July 2005 be confirmed as a true and accurate record of that meeting.

**3. DEPUTATION BY APPOINTMENT**

3.1 Peter Tuffley will be in attendance to confirm the Beckenham Neighbourhood Association's support for intersection safety improvement work planned for the corner of Malcolm Avenue/Colombo Street.

**4. RESOLUTION TRACKING FOR COMMUNITY BOARDS**

Peter Mitchell and Anusha Guler will be in attendance to discuss the Board's resolution of 5 July 2005 on this issue.

**5. COLOMBO STREET AND MALCOLM AVENUE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Don Munro, Transport and City Streets Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Kirsty Ferguson, Consultation Leader, DDI 941-8662

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Board to proceed to final design, tender and construction of safety improvements at the intersection of Malcolm Avenue and Colombo Street.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. A safety issue has been identified with school children crossing the road at the intersection of Malcolm Avenue and Colombo Street to attend Thorrington School. The School requested the Council to consider changes to this intersection to improve the safety of pedestrians and, in particular, school children.
3. The aim of the project is therefore to improve safety for pedestrians crossing Malcolm Avenue at the intersection with Colombo Street, whilst maintaining access to the driveway at 28 Colombo Street, which is angled to the street.
4. The key stakeholders consulted with respect to the options for safety improvements are the residents at 28 Colombo Street; the New Life Church at 30A Colombo Street; the Principal at Thorrington School; and the Chairperson, Beckenham Neighbourhood Association. The preferred option presented in this report is generally supported by all of these key stakeholders. Full details of the consultation undertaken are attached to this report.
5. The preferred option includes kerb build-outs with landscape planting and Holland pavers within the carriageway. This option is shown in the attached scheme plan. The purpose of the improvements to this intersection is to:
  - (a) Improve pedestrian safety when crossing Malcolm Avenue at the intersection with Colombo Street, which will be achieved with a reduction in road width from 13.5m to 9m, to ensure vehicles travel more slowly around this intersection.

**5 Cont'd**

- (b) Reduce crashes with slower vehicle speeds and reduced crossing widths from kerb to kerb.
- (c) Maintain access at 28 Colombo Street.
- (d) Maintain parking, but with "no stopping" being formally created from Colombo Street, extending generally east on Malcolm Avenue for a distance of 12 metres.
- (e) Upgrade street lighting, which includes a new outreach arm and new luminaire.
- (f) Maintain footpaths at three metres wide to allow a continuous path of travel along both Colombo Street and Malcolm Avenue.
- (g) Include tactile paving to enhance pedestrian safety for all users, including the visually impaired.

**FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- 6. The safety improvement works for the intersection of Malcolm Avenue and Colombo Street is programmed in the Transport and City Streets Unit's capital programme, for implementation in the 2005/2006 financial year. The cost estimate for this project is \$109,190 and there is budget allocation in the 2005/2006 capital programme for this work to occur.
- 7. There are no legal implications for this project. Community Board resolutions are required to approve the no stopping restrictions.

**BACKGROUND ON COLOMBO STREET AND MALCOLM AVENUE INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS**

- 8. Colombo Street is a busy minor arterial road with both commercial and residential activity in the vicinity of this intersection. There is a two metre wide flush median along this section of Colombo Street with a parking restriction on the western and eastern sides of this street north of Malcolm Avenue. Parking is permitted on the eastern side of Colombo Street to the south of Malcolm Avenue. There are high volumes of cyclists and pedestrians in this area associated with commuters and local schools.
- 9. There have been two crashes recorded at this intersection during the five year period between 1999 and 2004. This project has arisen from a concern relating to safety raised by Thorrrington School.
- 10. The primary objective of this project is to enhance public safety and transport through improving the layout of the intersection. Secondary objectives initially included the installation of pedestrian facilities within a raised median to enhance pedestrian safety and visibility.
- 11. Consultation plans were prepared in August 2004, which outlined the intended strategy to consult with affected and interested parties. In summary, these methods included informal discussions and letters to gauge interest and ideas from the owners and occupiers of adjacent properties, formal consultation using a scheme plan with the owners and occupiers of adjacent properties, and consultation with Thorrrington School. The consultation was achieved through personal visits to the owners and/or occupiers, and included an explanation of the proposed improvements and the reasons for undertaking the work.
- 12. The proposed improvements are designed to physically prevent drivers cutting the corner at the intersection. A raised median option was designed to provide pedestrian facilities in the form of a security barrier and cut-out to ease and enable safe pedestrian facilities, which is of benefit to Thorrrington School children crossing Malcolm Avenue.
- 13. The main impact of the physical works was considered to be the potential for limiting or preventing access into and from adjacent residential properties, and in particular, 28 Colombo Street.

**5 Cont'd**

14. Consultation was undertaken with the residents at 28 Colombo Street, the New Life Church at 30A Colombo Street, Thorrington School, and the Beckenham Neighbourhood Association. A summary of the discussions held with each of these stakeholders resulting in the evolution of the proposal to the preferred option is attached (see Attachment 2). The key stakeholders are all supportive of the preferred option.

**OPTIONS**

15. The options considered to meet the project objectives are as follows:
- (a) The first option includes a reduction in road width with the installation of kerb build-outs with landscape planting, and Holland pavers within the carriageway, maintenance of access to 28 Colombo Street, upgrade of street lighting, and a two-lane exit from Malcolm Avenue.
  - (b) A second option involves the same features as the first option, except for a one-lane exit from Malcolm Avenue.
  - (c) The third option is maintaining the status quo, i.e. with no alterations to the existing configuration of the intersection of Malcolm Avenue with Colombo Street.
16. These options are fully evaluated in paragraphs 21-28 of this report.

**PREFERRED OPTION**

17. The preferred option involves an improvement of the pedestrian crossing point at the intersection of Malcolm Avenue with Colombo Street. This will be achieved by a reduction in road width from 13.5m to 9m, whilst maintaining a two-lane exit from Malcolm Avenue into Colombo Street. It is anticipated that vehicles will be travelling at slower speeds around the intersection with the installation of kerb build-outs and landscape planting. Holland pavers will be placed within the carriageway, and tactile paving will enhance pedestrian safety for all users on the footpaths (as shown at Attachment 1).
18. Property access to 28 Colombo Street and parking are maintained with the preferred option, but "no stopping" lines will be formally created from Colombo Street, extending generally east along both sides of Malcolm Avenue for a distance of 12m (as shown in Attachment 1).
19. Street lighting will be upgraded at the intersection, including a new outreach arm and new luminaire. Footpaths will be maintained at a 3m width to allow a continuous path of travel for pedestrians along both Colombo Street and Malcolm Avenue.
20. It is anticipated that construction will commence in the school holidays commencing on 23 September 2005, and it is likely that construction will take 2-3 weeks to complete.

**ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS**

**Option 1 - The Preferred Option**

21. The terms of reference for this project are met by the preferred option, as follows:
- Improvement of pedestrian safety crossing Malcolm Avenue is achieved with a reduction in road width from 13.5 metres to 9 metres. It is anticipated that vehicles will be travelling more slowly around this intersection with a Type C threshold and kerb build-outs.
  - Maintenance of access to 28 Colombo Street is achieved and has been discussed on site with the landowners. The kerb is cut down to allow access to 28 Colombo Street.
  - Crash reduction is achieved with slower vehicle speeds and reduced crossing widths.
  - Parking is maintained with this proposal, although "No Stopping" lines will be formalised for 12m on both sides of Malcolm Avenue from Colombo Street.
  - Under grounding is not included with this proposal.

**5 Cont'd**

- A street lighting upgrade is required, including a new outreach arm and new luminaire.
  - Footpaths will be maintained at a 3m width to allow a continuous path of travel along both Colombo Street and Malcolm Avenue.
  - Tactile paving is required to enhance pedestrian safety at all times and, in particular, the visually impaired.
  - There are no bus access or shelter issues associated with this project.
22. There are no land ownership issues associated with this project, as the works are all contained on road reserve between the kerbs.
23. The following consent and legal issues have been considered:
- Trees - There are protected trees at 30A Colombo Street, which are to be preserved and maintained in accordance with good arboriculture practice. These trees are not subject to resource consent requirements.
  - Buildings - There are no heritage or historic buildings, places or objects in the area of the proposed works.
  - Road Width - There is no change to the existing roadway width, so there is no requirement for resource consent.
  - Bylaw Changes – An amendment or addition will be required to the Christchurch City Traffic and Parking Bylaw 1991, for parking restrictions.

**Option 2 – One Lane Exit from Malcolm Avenue onto Colombo Street**

24. Option 2 is essentially the predecessor to the preferred option outlined above, with the main key difference being a one-lane exit (i.e. 7 metre wide threshold) from Malcolm Avenue.
25. A one-lane exit from Malcolm Avenue was discounted as an option following the concerns raised by the Beckenham Neighbourhood Association regarding queuing, particularly at peak periods. A site visit and SIDRA (computer model) analysis were undertaken to confirm the effect of queuing from a one-lane exit, and the potential risks, as raised by the Association, were considered. It is considered that a one-lane exit would achieve a less than satisfactory result in terms of pedestrian safety. One-lane exit will result in extended vehicle queues and subsequently unpredictable risk taking from drivers.

**Option 3 - Maintain The Status Quo**

26. The option to maintain the status quo essentially means to do no capital work at this intersection. This will retain the existing road environment as it is currently.
27. This option would not satisfy the primary objective of improving the pedestrian safety crossing at Malcolm Avenue. Pedestrians in this area include Thornington School children who regularly cross Malcolm Avenue at this location.
28. Therefore, it is considered that it would not be appropriate to maintain the status quo because of the opportunity to improve the safety of this intersection whilst maintaining access at 28 Colombo Street, and vehicle access to Colombo Street. The project is also supported by the key stakeholders at this location.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Board approve:

- (a) The Malcolm Avenue and Colombo Street intersection safety improvements, as illustrated in Attachment 1, to proceed to final design, tender and construction.

**(The following issue will require amendment and/or addition to the Christchurch City Traffic & Parking Bylaw 1991.)**

**5 Cont'd**

- (b) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at all times on the north side of Malcolm Avenue commencing at the intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres.
- (c) That the parking of vehicles be prohibited at all times on the south side of Malcolm Avenue commencing at the intersection with Colombo Street and extending in a easterly direction for a distance of 12 metres.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendations be supported.

**6. TRANSPORT AND CITY STREETS UNIT – UPDATE ON CURRENT ISSUES**

Richard Bailey will be in attendance to discuss current issues.

**7. ACORN CLOSE RESERVE – GUM TREE**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager City Environment
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Bruce Penny, Acting Greenspace Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Walter Fielding-Cotterell, City Arborist, DDI 941-8630

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

- 1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's decision on a further application from Jill and Neil Clark of 6 Acorn Close for the removal of a protected notable gum tree situated on Council reserve land adjacent to their property. The Board has delegated authority to decide on the removal or retention of trees on reserves, although the implementation of any decision will still require a separate application to be made for resource consent.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- 2. At its meeting on 5 May 2004 the Board heard an application from Mr and Mrs N and J Clark regarding their request for the Council to remove the large Tasmanian Bluegum in Acorn Close Reserve adjacent to their property. Their reasons for wanting the tree to be removed were that a dead branch had fallen from the tree and penetrated the roof of their four year old dwelling. They were concerned for their personal safety, together with the risk of damage to their property and the safety of others using the Reserve. The Board, having considered a report from the Council's City Arborist in which the safety aspects, remedial tree work and merits of the gum as a major landscape feature of the reserve and locality were discussed, resolved to decline the Clarks' application subject to the recommended remedial safety tree health work being implemented. Pruning to remove all dead branches and reduction of end weight on major limbs was subsequently carried out.
- 3. In March 2005 following a period of gales, a large, live branch broke off near the top of the gum, one end of the branch falling across the Clarks' boundary fence and into their garden 5.0 metres from their house. This led to the current request from the Clarks for the gum to be removed. As an interim safety precaution, adjacent branches experiencing more wind forces due to the loss of the broken branch have been either removed or reduced in length.
- 4. As there has been considerable local interest in preserving the gum in the past, Acorn Close residents have been advised of the situation by letter and asked for their opinion as to whether they would prefer the gum tree to be removed or retained, albeit in a much reduced form. From the response to the survey, 50% were agreeable to the gum being removed and 50% against (some being strongly opposed). This report sets out the reasons and considerations for recommending that the tree be retained, although at a much reduced size.

7 Cont'd

**LEGAL AND FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

5. As there are health and safety considerations and property damage risks associated with large trees such as this gum, the Council's solicitor, David Rolls, was asked to provide a legal opinion on the situation. The key points are as follows:
6. In the case of the (gum) tree in Acorn Close Reserve the Council has a duty to take all reasonable steps to ensure that the tree does not continue to damage the property at 6 Acorn Close (the Clark's property) and to prevent actual or potential danger to their life or health. The Council is aware that in the past the tree has posed a danger to 6 Acorn Close by reason of falling branches. In ascertaining what those reasonable steps may be, the Council must obtain and rely on expert advice. If that expert advice is that the danger presented by the tree to 6 Acorn Close (and other neighbouring property) can be mitigated by undertaking remedial measures such as pruning, control of insect infestation and regular safety inspections then by undertaking those measures, the Council will have discharged its duty in terms of the Common Law.
7. Should the Council fail to take such reasonable steps and damage to neighbouring property thereby arises, then the Council may be liable in either nuisance or negligence for any damage thereby resulting.
8. In the event of the Council being found to be liable for any damage that the gum may cause to private property, the Council's public liability insurance will cover the damage, but is subject to an excess amount of \$2,000.
9. The gum is protected as a notable tree under Part 10 and Appendix 4 of the proposed City Plan. The City Plan states that under rules 2.2.3. (a) and (b) the "removal of any tree and pruning at a height greater than one third of the of the total height and also including any branches greater than 50 millimetres diameter below this level, requires resource consent".
10. With regard to the above City Plan rules, in the event of the Board deciding that the gum tree should be removed, or that a major crown reduction be carried out, a separate application would still need to be made by the Council for resource consent. Applications for resource consents involving felling or works on notable protected trees are defined as discretionary activities in the City Plan and will initially involve a decision under the delegated authority of the Council's Resource Management Officer Subcommittee. The Council may decide that the application be publicly notified.
11. The Clarks could apply themselves for resource consent to fell the tree, but the Council as owner of the tree would still be able to object to the tree being removed (if the Board saw fit) as part of the resource consent process.
12. The Clarks could apply directly to the District Court under Section 129c of the Property Law Act for an order for the tree to be removed; should the Court so order, the decision would override the Council's tree protection rules and the gum would have to be removed.
13. The cost of removing the gum has been estimated to be in the region of \$3,500 and the cost of the remedial pruning work about \$1,800.

**BACKGROUND ON ACORN CLOSE GUM TREE AND CLARK APPLICATION**

14. The gum tree in question is a very large Tasmanian blue gum (*Eucalyptus globulus*) that is listed as a notable protected tree under Part 10 of the proposed City Plan. The gum has been protected since the transitional Christchurch City Plan became operative in 1986.
15. In 1996 the land (then government owned) was subdivided. At the time of subdivision, in the knowledge that creating a residential section under or in close proximity of the gum was going to result in ongoing resident complaints about the tree and requests for it to be removed, the tree was instead included in Council reserve land taken as subdivision reserve contribution. In designing the reserve area, every effort was made to create a reasonably safe distance from the gum to the private property boundary, in that the property boundary was positioned

**7 Cont'd**

10.8 metres from the gum and no branches actually overhung the property. However, since the Clarks took possession of the section in 1999/2000 they have made several complaints about the gum relating to shading, tree litter and safety. In response to these complaints the gum was pruned to alleviate these problems. In January 2004 a dead branch fell from the tree during a gale and penetrated the roof and soffit of their house. This led to the Clarks' initial request to the Board for the tree to be removed in May 2004.

16. At its meeting held on 18 May 2004, the Board subsequently resolved to decline the request for the gum to be removed, subject to a second opinion on the condition of the tree being obtained from an independent arborist and the following works (as recommended by the Greenspace Unit) implemented:
  - (a) Removal of all dead branches.
  - (b) Reduction of length and end-weight on major limbs.
  - (c) Tree to be treated with an insecticide to control insect infestation.
  - (d) pruning and health and safety inspections to be scheduled on an annual basis.
17. An independent report on the condition of the gum was obtained from Hendrik Berkhout, the arborist proprietor of Budds Tree and Garden Services who concluded that: "With careful pruning and regular maintenance, there is no reason barring an "act of god" as to why the tree should be removed".
18. The pruning work was subsequently carried out on the tree and a great deal of branch material removed in the process, although care was also taken not to spoil the overall form and attractive character of the gum.
19. Although scheduled for insect control treatment (at the same time as two adjacent oak trees on



7 Cont'd

**Tree Condition/Considerations**

24. The tree in question is one of the largest gum trees in Christchurch and has been protected as a notable protected tree since the transitional Christchurch City Plan became operative in 1986. Even though the height and breadth of the tree has been reduced by pruning over the years, with its massive trunk, the gum is still an imposing feature of the park and the landscape of the locality. The tree is estimated as being over 130 years old and along with the protected oaks on the site that was subdivided and developed, represents some of the earliest plantings in the Opawa area.
25. Despite its age and the reduction in vigour (due in part to ongoing insect infestation) the gum is still in a reasonably healthy and sound condition. A Picus tomograph ultra sound test taken on the trunk of the gum, to determine the internal soundness of the wood, found that the trunk of the tree is sound and free of decay.
26. The pruning operation that took place in 2004 following the Board's decision, removed dead branches and reduced end-weight and branch volume on major limbs with the aim of reducing the wind forces on weight loadings on individual branches and the whole tree, thereby significantly reducing the risk of branch breakage. At the same time, the intention was to retain as much of the natural attractive shape and form of the tree as possible, given the need to make the tree safer. The branch that fell on the Clarks' property was healthy and sound and was one of several higher branches that gave the upper crown a natural looking apex. In the interests of interim safety, several of these higher branches were subsequently removed/shortened.

**Recommended Remedial works**

27. This report includes an option that the gum be retained subject to the tree being further reduced in size overall.
28. The attached photographs of the tree show its current size and the size that this report recommends it should be reduced to in the interests of maximum safety without actually felling it. This is also considered to be the minimum size the gum could be reduced to that would still retain enough of the tree's original form and stature to make the retention of the gum worthwhile.
29. The downside of such crown reduction pruning is that although in most cases the branch will survive and generate new shoot growth, the cut ends of the large branches may eventually be affected by decay to some degree. New branches should not be allowed to grow too large in these circumstances as they may be weakly attached. New shoot growth will also mean that although the tree may be smaller overall, the crown will have a denser appearance than exists at present. The regrowth characteristics and effects of this can be managed by timely and careful pruning however.

**Worthiness for Continued Protection**

30. At present, despite the pruning that has taken place, the gum is still an impressive tree and easily retains sufficient of its original characteristics to remain worthy as protection as a notable tree. Any decision to retain the tree at a smaller size overall, will mean that its stature will be considerably reduced, one of the main values for which the tree was originally protected. However, from an evaluation of the tree based on an estimation of the reduced size indicated in the photograph, the gum would still accrue sufficient points (minimum of 30) for it to remain protected as a notable tree.

**CONCLUSION**

31. Despite the amount of work carried out on the gum tree to date it remains an impressive specimen of high landscape and general amenity value. The removal of the gum would leave something of a void in the landscape of the site and immediate locality that will take many years to fill with new plantings. However, the Council has a clear obligation to take all reasonable steps to avoid further damage to 6 Acorn Close and neighbouring properties and mitigate any

**7 Cont'd**

personal danger to the Clarks and the public in general. The proposed remedial work will significantly reduce the overall size of the tree, although this would not be to the extent that its inherent stature and visual values would be so diminished that it would be better to remove it altogether. The extent of the remedial work proposed will fulfil Council's obligations to take all reasonable steps to prevent a recurrence of the branches falling on adjacent land. However, it is not possible to ensure that a natural object, such as a tree, is so completely free of imperfections that some part of it would never fail, particularly in severe climatic conditions. The only way to eliminate all safety risks to persons or property by the gum (or any other tree) is to remove it completely. In this case, with the works proposed, it is considered that the tree will be made sufficiently safe that total removal is not necessary.

**OPTIONS**

32. The following options are:

- (a) Decline to remove the gum subject to its overall size being reduced as specified in this report.
- (b) Decline to remove the gum and retain at its existing size (maintain the status quo).
- (c) Approve the complete removal of the gum and carry out replacement planting.

**PREFERRED OPTION**

33. Decline to remove the gum subject to its size being reduced overall and the other recommended remedial works being implemented.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Board decline to remove the gum subject to the remedial works proposed in this report being implemented and crown of the tree being reduced in size overall.

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

For discussion.

**8. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND – APPLICATION FOR FUNDING**

<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services Group
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Lesley Symington, Community and Recreation Unit Manager
<b>Author:</b>	Jane Parrett, Community Development Adviser, DDI 941-5101

**PURPOSE OF REPORT**

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval of an application for funding from its 2005/06 Youth Development Fund.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2. Funding is being sought by a 13 year old girl, living in the St Martins area towards costs associated with travelling to Auckland for the National Trampoline competition being held in August 2005 in addition to training costs.
3. The applicant has been successful in a number of sports in addition to trampoline, including artistic gymnastics and diving at a national level.

## 8 Cont'd

## FINANCIAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

4. There are no legal issues to be considered. The following outlines competitions and budgetary requirements for 2005:

Activity/Competition	Date	Cost
Training per term	ongoing	\$280 x 4 = \$1,120
Holiday training	ongoing	\$100 x 4 = \$400
New trampoline mat		\$235
Auckland Competition	July 2005	\$500
Auckland Nationals	August 2005	\$500
Holland - Worlds	September 2005	\$6,000
<b>Total Cost</b>		<b>\$8,755</b>

5. At this stage the family have been supporting the applicant by themselves and this is the first application they have made to an outside organisation. Currently permission is also being obtained from the Olympia Gymnastics Sport Club to do some fundraising.

## BACKGROUND ON YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUND APPLICATION

6. The applicant recently attended the Australian National Trampoline competition held in Brisbane in June, where she won a bronze medal. In the South Island Age Group competition held in May, she was placed 1<sup>st</sup> in the synchronised competition and 2<sup>nd</sup> in the individual competition. At last year's New Zealand nationals held in Invercargill, she also won a gold medal with her trampoline partner in the synchronised competition.
7. The applicant's aim for this year is to be selected for the team that will travel to Holland for the Trampoline World Competition being held in September 2005. Her coach is very supportive of her aim and she is now training with the Elite Squad at Olympia Gymnastics Sport Club. While she wishes to achieve highly she also wants to pass on her skills and dedication in a coaching capacity as she gets older.
8. Referees supporting the application have indicated that the applicant is a very talented trampolinist and a good team player. She organises events for the team as well as contributing significantly in team planning for trips away.
9. The applicant is a member of the Olympia Gymnastics sports Club which is affiliated to Trampoline Canterbury Inc. which, in turn, is affiliated to Trampoline New Zealand Inc.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board agree to allocate \$500 from its 2005/2006 Youth Development Fund to assist with costs associated with the applicant travelling to Auckland to participate in the National Trampoline Competition being held in August 2005.

## CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the staff recommendation be supported.

**2. 8. 2005**

- 12 -

**9 Cont'd**

**CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be supported.

**10. UPDATE OF BOARD FUNDS**

Attached are schedules with up-to-date information regarding the Board's 2005/06 Project, Discretionary, SCAP and Youth Development Funds, together with a copy of the Board's Outcomes and Measures.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the information be received.

**11. UPDATE FROM COMMUNITY BOARD PRINCIPAL ADVISER**

The Community Board Principal Adviser will update the Board on current issues.

**12. BOARD MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

Board members will have an opportunity to provide updates on community activities/Council issues.

**13. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS (IF ANY HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDING ORDERS 4.1.1 TO 4.1.5)**