

## 6. WORKS AND TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE – REPORT OF 19 JUNE 2001

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Advocate	<b>Author</b> Peter Dow, Community Secretary Fendalton, DDI 372-2728
Corporate Plan Output: Fendalton/Waimairi Community Board Vol 1, 3.1 text 7	

The purpose of this report is to submit for the Board's consideration the following report and recommendations:

**Report of a meeting of the Works and Traffic Safety Committee  
held on Tuesday 19 June 2001 at 8.00am  
in Meeting Room 1, CCC Fendalton**

**PRESENT:** Val Carter (Chairman), Diana Bradley, Sally Buck, Pat Harrow and Keith Nuttall

Diana Bradley left the meeting at 8.43am and was present for Clauses 1 and 5.

Pat Harrow and Sally Buck departed at 8.53am and were present for Clauses 1, 5 and 11.

**APOLOGIES:** Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Barbara Stewart and Ron Wright.

### 1. CHAPTER STREET – PROPOSED KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL

The Area Engineer reported that in the preparation of contract plans, it was found that the high pressure asbestos cement water main would only be 300mm below the proposed road surface. In order to avoid relaying the water main, which is in good condition, it was proposed that the carriageway alignment be shifted 1 metre to the south so as to place the water main in the berm behind the kerb. This meant that the distance of the northern kerb from the northern road reserve boundary would be 5.5 metres and 3.5 metres for the corresponding southern kerb. The only difference to the landscaping was that the berm width would correspondingly be enlarged on the north side and reduced on the southern side.

It was proposed that residents be advised of this change when the start work notice and amended plan were distributed approximately two weeks before the commencement of construction.

Mention was also made of correspondence having just been received from one of the local residents expressing concern at the visual impact of the likely changes and the impact on the planting of trees in the narrower berm on the south side. Options to address these issues were suggested in the correspondence.

The Area Engineer responded on these aspects and emphasised that the changes were needed for technical reasons.

Some uncertainty was expressed about the intended process to inform the affected residents of the changes.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received.

(Note: Keith Nuttall asked that his vote be recorded against the above recommendation.)

### 2. COX STREET

The Committee was advised of the survey feedback received from local residents to the proposed installation of a two hour parking restriction on both sides of Cox Street.

The responses were elaborated on in the report which concluded with the suggestion that the parking restriction requested by the majority of the residents be installed but be reviewed after being in operation for three months.

- Recommendations:**
1. That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes between 8.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m. from Monday to Friday on both sides of Cox Street for its full length, a distance of 365 metres.
  2. That the P.120 parking restriction be reviewed three months after installation.

### **3. HOLMWOOD ROAD – KERB AND CHANNEL RENEWAL (SOUTH SIDE)**

Submitted for the Committee's consideration was the proposed publicity plan for the renewal of the kerb and dish channel on the south side of Holmwood Road for its full length.

The Area Engineer highlighted the key elements of the plan including the intended provision of angle parking near the shops at the Holmwood/Rossall intersection. Grass berms and the provision of street trees were other key elements of the plan.

A choice of preferred street tree species from three submitted options would also be included in the text of the brochure.

With Holmwood Road being a collector road, it was noted that the eventual design elements of the work would need to be approved by the City Services Committee.

**Recommendation:** That the publicity plan be approved for distribution and public comment.

### **4. ILAM ROAD/TUIRAU PLACE – INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS**

The Area Engineer outlined the feedback received to the publicity leaflet distributed in April which outlined proposed improvements to the Ilam Road/Tuirau Place intersection.

Technical comments on the feedback received were addressed in the report from the Area Engineer.

**Recommendation:** That it be recommended to the City Services Committee:

1. That a Give Way sign be placed against Tuirau Place at its intersection with Ilam Road.
2. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Ilam Road commencing at a point six metres north of the southern boundary of 196 Ilam Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 69 metres.

### **5. RHODES STREET – REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC CLAMING**

At its meeting on 5 June 2001, the Board received a submission from Mrs J Henley of 58 Rhodes Street, which inter alia, requested the stopping of Rhodes Street (West) to vehicular traffic.

The Area Engineer advised that the outcome of a similar request made to the Board in 1999 resulted in the Board submitting a project proposal request for a threshold to be provided at the Rhodes/Helmores intersection with a priority ranking of three.

Members noted from the information submitted that the circumstances in the street had not changed significantly since 1999 and that it would be appropriate for a similar project proposal request to be made to the Council.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received and the proposed threshold in Rhodes Street at its intersection with Helmores Lane be resubmitted for inclusion in the capital works programme as part of the Board's project proposals to be developed shortly for consideration with the 2002/03 Financial Plan.

## **6. ROSSALL STREET – PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN ISLAND**

At its meeting on 5 June 2001, the Board decided that the proposal for a pedestrian island in Rossall Street south of Holmwood Road be referred to the Committee for further consideration in response to a submission made at that time by Mr F Barker of 33 Rossall Street.

Mr Barker was in attendance and he presented submissions to the Committee calling on the Board to more closely examine the intended location of the island which he considered to be too remote from the more heavily used pedestrian crossing point at the Rossall/Holmwood intersection.

From the ensuing discussion, members felt that further dialogue was needed with Rangī Ruru Girls' School on aspects of the schools existing pedestrian access point to Rossall Street and the likely impact of the new boarders accommodation currently under construction in Rhodes Street.

**Recommendation:** That staff hold further discussions with Rangī Ruru Girls' School and report back to the Board.

## **7. STIRLING STREET – PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTION**

Residents of Stirling Street had earlier submitted a petition requesting the installation of a no-parking restriction on the eastern side of Stirling Street so as to enable unrestricted access to their driveways and also to retain two way traffic flow along the road.

Stirling Street was intensively used by those associated with the nearby Elmwood Normal School with school students in particular being dropped off or picked up from the street.

**Recommendation:** That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited between the hours of 8.00 a.m. and 4.00 p.m. Monday to Friday on the north eastern side of Stirling Street commencing at its intersection with Aikmans Road and extending to its intersection with Office Road.

## **8. TUDOR AVENUE – PROPOSED TRAFFIC CALMING**

Further to the Board meeting on 5 June 2001, the Committee gave consideration to the matters presented by Mrs B Myall of 27b Tudor Avenue who had opposed the installation of speed humps in the street.

The Committee also considered the Area Engineer's earlier submitted report and plan showing the location of the proposed two speed humps.

**Recommendation:** That the installation of two low profile speed humps in Tudor Avenue as shown on the submitted plan, be approved for construction.

## **9. ARBOR DAY 2001 - UPDATE**

The Parks and Waterways Area Advocate provided the Committee with an update on the planting programmes in the Board's area including the move by some schools to defer their plantings until Conservation Week in August.

The annual programme for Yaldhurst Bush involving the Yaldhurst Model School was also reported on.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received and that members available, attend the plantings at Yaldhurst Bush Reserve at 1.30pm on Friday 29 June 2001.

## **10. YALDHURST BUSH RESERVE**

The Parks and Waterways Area Advocate submitted a landscape concept plan for the Yaldhurst Bush Reserve showing the extent of planting proposed and of the intention to divert the Papparua Stock Water Race which flows along the north west side of West Coast Road, into the reserve.

**Recommendation:** That the landscape concept plan for Yaldhurst Bush Reserve be approved in principle subject to final working drawings and public consultation.

**11. HUDSON STREET – NEW STREET TREES**

The content of a letter recently sent to residents of Hudson Street was submitted for information.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received.

**12. JELLIE PARK - PLANTING PLAN**

A plan showing the extent of Stage III planting was submitted for the Committee's information.

Members noted that the extent of planting intended was more significant than originally proposed.

To gain a better appreciation of the plan, it was **agreed** that the Committee undertake a site visit of the area and that the opportunity also be taken to visit other locations in the Board's area where waterway enhancement works have been both completed and are proposed to be undertaken.

**13. JEFFREYS ROAD/WAIRAKEI ROAD - LANDSCAPING**

The Committee was informed that in response to feedback received from local residents, steps were being taken to change the intended street tree species due to opposition having been received from a nearby resident.

**Recommendation:** That the information be received.

The meeting concluded at 9.59am.

...../.....

**Chairman's**

**Recommendation:** That the report be received and the recommendations therein be adopted.