

6. **RICCARTON/WIGRAM WORKS AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE  
REPORT OF 16 FEBRUARY 2001**

<b>Officer responsible</b> Community Advocate	<b>Author</b> Roger Cave, Community Secretary DDI 372 2502
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Vol 1 3.2 Text 6	

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Works and Traffic Committee meeting held on Friday 16 February 2001.

The meeting was attended Helen Broughton, Bob Shearing, Ishwar Ganda and Mike Mora. Paddy Austin was also present, for clause 4.

An apology was received from David Buist.

**1. PROJECT ALLOCATION 2000/01**

With the reimbursement of the funds from the Hei Hei Heavy Vehicle Study, this Committee had a total of \$37,500 still available for distribution.

The Harakeke Kidsfirst Kindergarten has advised that at this time, they would not be seeking to undertake any on street traffic management works on Harakeke Street.

**Recommendation:** That the Community Board allocate the Community Traffic Management Project Funds to:

- |  |   |                 |
|--|---|-----------------|
| • Piko Crescent traffic management         | } | \$20,000        |
| • Wales Street traffic management          |   |                 |
| • Peer Street pedestrian refuges           |   | \$12,000        |
| • Peverel Street "living streets" function |   | \$1,000         |
| <b>Total</b>                               |   | <b>\$33,000</b> |

The first three projects being subject to satisfactory community consultation and safety audit.

**2. PROJECT FUNDS, 2001/02**

Discussion on the amount of Project Funds to be sought for 2001/02 to provide (financial) resources for those matters which are the responsibility of this Committee.

**Recommendation:** That the Community Board, through the Finance and Policy Committee, allocate \$30,000 Project Funds to the Works and Traffic Committee.

**3. HORNBY/HEI HEI HEAVY VEHICLE STUDY**

There was discussion on the recent deputation/speaking rights to the 9 February 2001 meeting of the City Services Committee.

This Board had been promoting the three stage strategy, on behalf of the community.

The Board recommendations, for Stage 1 were:

1. Encourage a reduction in engine brake usage throughout the study area.
2. Initiate truck bans along the following streets:
  - Foremans Road (Parker Street to Halswell Junction Road)
  - Wycola Avenue
  - Taurima Street
  - Hei Hei Road

3. Request increased speed limit enforcement on (various) streets.

The City Services Committee have responded with:

1. Support efforts to reduce the adverse effects of heavy commercial vehicles on people's quality of life.
2. That a briefing session and site visit of the roads recommended for the banning of heavy vehicles be arranged.
3. That the banning of heavy vehicles on the lower order (local and collector) roads (where, due to low percentages a ban would not traditionally be considered) be examined through the appropriate "Key Result Area" of the "Living Streets Charter".

The Works and Traffic Committee was concerned with the progress and direction that this issue was now following; also, that Dr Shane Turner (the author of the study) was not given the opportunity to address the City Services Committee.

Members were also advised that the Islington Residents Association had taken the initiative (in respect to City Services Committee decision for an on-site visit) to suggest that a meeting be held on either 13 or 21 March 2001 (4.00 pm).

Members commented on the need for the Board to be invited to any meeting which the City Services Committee was arranging.

In respect of the study area, it was **agreed** that some design options for the Gilberthorpes Road/Roberts Road traffic restraint (as identified in the LATM) be provided to the next meeting of this Committee.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the Community Board invite the Mayor to a (Community Board) forum to discuss issues of "delegated responsibility and processes", in light of recent decisions of the City Services Committee to recommended consultation outcomes that had been negotiated by the Community Board with its community.
  2. Also, that where standing committees are involved in community/Community Board brokered issues, that the Community Board be invited to participate in any subsequent meetings/on-site visits.
  3. That these recommendations be passed on to the Chairperson of the City Services Committee.

#### **4. WESTERN BUS SERVICE REVIEW NEW BUS STOPS IN MATIPO STREET**

The Committee was in receipt of a report from the City Streets Manager in which the location of new bus stops were discussed.

In view of the extended interest in this issue, with a deputation being received at the 28 February 2001 meeting of the Community Board (and the wish of officers from Environment Canterbury to be present at the Board meeting) the item has been separately scheduled onto the Board order paper.

#### **5. ENVIRONMENT CANTERBURY – PUBLIC BUS ROUTE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

At the 31 January 2001 meeting of the Community Board it was drawn to members attention that recent decisions of Environment Canterbury in respect of routing the No 8 Hornby bus service through Middlepark Road and Craven Street had drawn some concerns from local residents.

Whilst the Board had previously received a full presentation from Environment Canterbury (on this new route), it was agreed (by the Community Board) that Environment Canterbury be invited to today's meeting of this Committee.

(It was noted that the issue of siting of bus stops through these streets would be the subject of a report to the next meeting of this Committee.)

The following persons were welcomed to the meeting:

- Ms Jill Atkinson and Mr Matthew Noon (Environment Canterbury)
- Mr Paul Drysdale, of 27 Craven Street
- Mr Greg Campbell, CEO of Red Bus
- Andrew Hensley, City Streets Unit.

In a wide ranging discussion Ms Atkinson reiterated the extensive community consultation process undertaken by Environment Canterbury when reviewing current and new public transport services. Mr Campbell commented on the patronage and customer services aspects of his company.

Mr Drysdale advised the meeting that more attention needed to be given in the consultation process where a new bus route was being introduced into a street for the first time as was the case for Middlepark Road and Craven Street. He considered that residents of Craven Street did not want this service. Concerns were also expressed about the current bus timetables and their hours of operation into the late evening.

The following recommendations were promoted for discussion:

“Given that the service is non negotiable that Environment Canterbury hold a meeting with the residents in the Craven Street area to discuss the new bus service.”

and

“Members of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board attend that meeting to facilitate.”

The majority of members consider that the Board should not get involved in facilitating the meeting and these recommendations **lapsed** through want of a seconder.

- Recommendations:**
1. That the Red Bus Company be asked to demonstrate the new buses to the residents in Craven Street.
  2. That a report be provided on the community feedback following the first six months of this new service and that a full review report be provided at 12 months of service.

**Chairperson’s**

**Recommendations:**

1. That the report be received.
2. That the Community Board allocate the Community Traffic Management Project Funds to:
  - Piko Crescent traffic management } \$20,000
  - Wales Street traffic management }
  - Peer Street pedestrian refuges \$12,000
  - Peverel Street “living streets” function \$1,000

**Total \$33,000**

The first three projects being subject to satisfactory community consultation and safety audit.

3. That the Community Board, through the Finance and Policy Committee, allocate \$30,000 Project Funds to the Works and Traffic Committee.
4. That the Community Board invite the Mayor to a (Community Board) forum to discuss issues of “delegated responsibility and processes”, in light of recent decisions of the City Services Committee to recommended consultation outcomes that had been negotiated by the Community Board with its community.

5. Also, that where standing committees are involved in community/Community Board brokered issues, that the Community Board be invited to participate in any subsequent meetings/on-site visits.
6. That these recommendations be passed on to the Chairperson of the City Services Committee.
7. That the Red Bus Company be asked to demonstrate the new buses to the residents in Craven Street.
8. That a report be provided on the community feedback following the first six months of this new service and that a full review report be provided at 12 months of service.