



Christchurch City Council

**MEETING OF THE
RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD**

AGENDA

**WEDNESDAY 1 APRIL 1998
AT 5.00PM**

**BOARD ROOM
SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD**

INDEX

WEDNESDAY 1 APRIL 1998

1. Apologies
2. Confirmation of Report
3. Deputations by Appointment
 - 3.1 Native Trees Please
 - 3.2 Mary Sparrow - Templeton Needs Analysis
4. Templeton Community Needs Analysis
5. Draft Youth Policy and Strategy
6. Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Works and Traffic Committee
 - Report of 17 March 1998 meeting.
7. Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Community Affairs Committee
 - Report of 30 March 1998 meeting.
8. Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Environmental Committee
 - Report of 31 March 1998 meeting
9. Application for Easement over Trip Reserve
10. Charging for Occupation of Reserve Land (*John Allen will be in attendance*)
11. Rountree Street - Parking Management
12. Proposed Right of Way off Springs Road
13. Proposed Road Names Wigram Aerodrome Subdivision
14. Water Expo
15. Standing Committee Reports received of interest to the Community Board, March 1998.

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF REPORT

The reports of the 4 March 1998 meeting and the 20 March emergency meeting of the Board have been circulated to members.

Chairperson's

Recommendation: That the reports of the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board meetings of 4 March 1998 and 20 March 1998, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

3.1 Native Trees Please

Rae Knudson (Director of "Turning Point 2000") and Councillor Carole Anderton will be asking the Board for \$10,000 to plant native trees within the Riccarton/Wigram area.

The following Community Boards having received this deputation. The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board resolved to consider the request via a Project 2000 committee; the Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board has set aside \$5,000 per year project monies in 1998/99 and 1999/2000; the Shirley/Papanui Community Board has set aside \$5,000 each year for a "Year 2000" project (not necessarily for this native trees request); Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board has set aside \$3,000 for each of the two years.

A copy the "Native Trees Please" brochure has been circulated separately.

Chairperson's

Recommendation: That the Finance and Policy Committee consider funding the request from monies set aside from its Project 2000 allocation.

3.2 Mary Sparrow

Mary was employed to undertake the Templeton Needs Analysis and her report will be received by way of a presentation.

Members' attention is drawn to the report from the Recreation Development Officer which is clause 4 of this agenda.

4. TEMPLETON COMMUNITY NEEDS ANALYSIS

RR 7400

Officer responsible Community Manager	Author Maria Lodge, Recreation Development Officer
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Vol 1, 3.1, text 6	

BACKGROUND

The Riccarton/Wigram Community Board requested a community needs analysis of the Templeton community to ascertain the current usage and quality of facilities; highlight social/recreational issues and to determine the level and type of services required of the Community Board/Council.

Mary Sparrow won the tender and was contracted as an independent researcher to conduct the consultation. The objectives of the study were:

1. To determine what Christchurch City Council's role is in either facilitating, funding, providing or advocating for community services and recreation opportunities in Templeton.
2. To ascertain whether current facilities are meeting current needs. If *not*, is a multi-use community centre required and for what purpose.
3. To revisit the study carried out in 1993 by the Recreation Co-ordinator and determine whether the long-term issues are still relevant.
4. Identify any major gaps in service provision and/or barriers associated.

THE REPORT

The needs analysis has produced a thorough investigative insight into the community of Templeton as a whole. The reports outline issues pertaining not only to community recreation but highlights a number of traffic and planning related issues such as:

- The likelihood of a further urban subdivision in the Templeton area
- The limited range of shops at Templeton
- The speed and volume of traffic in the Templeton township
- The poor scheduling of public transport

The results of the study have been split into two documents.

'The Templeton Community Needs Analysis' (circulated separately to members).

This report gives an overview of the methodology, stakeholders and overall recommendations.

The second report is the formal *'Household Survey'* report (will be tabled). It has a number of additional appendices and will serve as a working document for relevant officers.

The summary of findings attached as pages 6 to 8 gives an overview of the Templeton Community, facilities in the area, key survey findings, likely users of a new community building and recommendations.

CONCLUDING COMMENT

The results obtained in this survey are a tribute to the co-operation of a number of Templeton groups and individuals.

The outcomes are to be presented to the Templeton Community at the Residents Association annual general meeting on Monday 30 March in order that the community takes ownership of these results. The research serves as both a complimentary and comparative study to earlier analyses undertaken, and the outcomes/recommendations provide a framework for further action.

- Recommendations:**
1. That the Board receives the ‘Templeton Community Needs Analysis’ and formal ‘Household Survey’ reports.
 2. That the Templeton Community Needs Analysis and recommendations be referred to the Community Affairs Committee for action.
 3. That issues related to traffic, parks and planning be referred to the appropriate standing committees/units.
 4. That the Templeton Community Needs Analysis report be made available to key Templeton stakeholders who contributed and other appropriate agencies.

Chairperson’s

Recommendation: That the officer’s recommendations be adopted.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.

The Templeton community.

Templeton is one of a series of overlapping, interlocking communities in the peri-urban area to the south of Christchurch.

Between 1986 and 1991 the number of people living in private dwellings in the Templeton Census Area Unit increased by 18 per cent, and between 1991 and 1996 this number increased by 25 per cent.

In 1996 the Templeton Census Area Unit had 1905 people living in private dwellings, and 79 per cent of these people lived in the Templeton township.

There will be no significant growth in the number of dwellings in Templeton until its sewerage system is up graded. Funds for this are included in the Council's 'proposed budget' for 2000/2001.

The Templeton community had a very high proportion of children 14 years and under, and relatively few people 60 years and over in 1996. It had the highest proportion of households with at least one child 4 years and under, and also the highest proportion of families with dependent children in the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's area.

The level of work force participation in Templeton in 1996 was significantly higher than for the Riccarton and Wigram Wards as a whole, and it was particularly high for women in families with their youngest child between 5 and 14 years of age.

Incomes in Templeton in 1996 varied widely, and reflect the fact that the community can be seen as a microcosm of New Zealand as a whole.

Facilities at Templeton and the Templeton Centre.

The facilities at Templeton used by groups based in the Templeton community are -

- The Templeton Community Hall
- The Templeton Primary School Hall
- The Templeton Anglican Church Hall
- The Prison Village Hall
- The Templeton swimming pool.

The Prison Village Hall will close when the houses at the Prison Village are vacated in the very near future.

The facilities at the Templeton Centre used by the Templeton community are -

- The indoor swimming pool which is used by the Templeton Swimming Club
- The hall which is used by the Templeton Primary School for its annual production

The other facilities at the Templeton Centre which could be of value to the community if they were became more readily available to those outside the institution are -

- A chapel
- A gymnasium
- A bowling green
- 2 lawn tennis courts
- A playing field with a large cricket wicket block
- A sports pavilion

Issues identified.

The main 'community needs' identified through consultation with people from the Templeton community, and from a household survey are -

- The lack of recreational opportunities for children and young people at Templeton.
- Limited services for pre-school children and their mothers/care givers.
- Difficulties with recruitment of leaders for community groups.

Other key findings from the survey were -

- There was a very high proportion of households with members involved with community organisations. The proportion involved with clubs and groups outside of Templeton was higher than the level of involvement with Templeton based groups. The majority of those involved with community groups were members of sports clubs.
- Recreational activities 'not available locally' was identified as a barrier to participation in activities outside of their home by a high proportion of respondents.
- Respondents were critical of the standard of the play equipment at the children's play ground in the Templeton Domain.
- The Templeton Community Hall was seen as 'very good' or 'good' by only 25 per cent of respondents. Respondents considered that it was 'too small' and 'too old' to meet the present needs of the community.
- A significantly higher proportion of respondents considered that the Templeton swimming pool was either 'very good' or 'good' in 1998, when compared with responses in 1993.
- A new community building at Templeton was seen as 'very important' or 'quite important' by 65 per cent of respondents.
- There was strong support for a multi-purpose building.
- There was 70 percent support for either 'full' or 'partial' involvement the management of a new building by the Christchurch City Council.
- Improvements to outdoor recreation facilities for young people at Templeton were also seen as being important.

Likely users of a new community building sited on the Kirk Road Reserve.

The Templeton Primary School would make extensive use of a new community building sited on the Kirk Road Reserve.

Other important users would be the Plunket Society, the Templeton Netball Club, the Templeton Indoor Bowls Club, the Scout Association, and the Girl Guides. The building could also include an office for the area's Community Constable.

Such a building would also provide a good venue for youth activities at Templeton.

A number of potential users of any new community building at Templeton expressed concern about its 'affordability'.

Summary of recommendations.

The recommendations from this 'community needs analysis' are -

1. That a new multi-use community building be constructed on the Kirk Road Reserve.
2. Consideration should be given to locating the proposed community building sufficiently close to the Templeton swimming pool, to allow it and the pool to become a single complex.
3. That hard surfaces, including two tennis/netball courts and areas suitable for skate boarding and roller blading be developed on the Kirk Road Reserve.
4. That the play equipment at the children's play ground on the Templeton Domain be up graded.
5. That the Christchurch City Council adopt a 'pro active' approach to the development of activities for youth at Templeton.
6. That the enlargement of the Templeton swimming pool should be considered in the near future, depending on population growth in the area.

These improvements are needed to meet the needs of the immediate Templeton community, and are not affected by the uncertainty associated with the facilities at the Templeton Centre.

5. DRAFT YOUTH POLICY AND STRATEGY

RR 7401

Officer responsible Community Manager	Author Roger Cave, Secretary to the Board
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Community Service Objectives 6.3 text 5	

Over the past 12 months the Youth Strategy Working Party of the Community Services Committee (comprising City Councillors, staff and community representatives) has developed a Draft Youth Policy and Strategy. The completed draft is about to be distributed for comment.

Community Board consideration and feedback is sought, in time for a report to the 8 June 1998 meeting of the Community Services Committee. The draft report will be available from Monday 30 March, and it is proposed that a small team led by the new Youth Advocate, Robyn Moore, attend meetings of each of the Boards to explain the study and its outcomes.

In order to meet the Community Services Committee deadline of 26 May it is suggested that the draft policy be referred to the Board's Community Affairs Committee and reported back to the May meeting of the Community Board for consideration.

Chairperson's

Recommendation: Not seen by chairperson.

6. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD WORKS AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE REPORT OF 17 MARCH 1998 MEETING

RR 7334

Officer responsible Community Manager	Author Roger Cave, Secretary to the Board
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Vol 1, 3.1 text 6	

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Works and Traffic Committee meeting held on 17 March 1998.

The meeting was attended by Helen Broughton (Chairperson), David Buist (present at the on-site inspections only), Mike Mora, Mark Kunnen (not present at the on-site inspections) and Ishwar Ganda.

1. MIDDLETON GRANGE SCHOOL

This was the second on-site visit to the School to discuss with their representatives (Messrs Peter Marshall and Vic Pollard) various matters relating to traffic and student movements.

Ms Lee Kelly, the Council's Road Safety Projects Officer, was also present.

In observing the Suva Street entrance to the school members identified:

- speed of through traffic
- inappropriate on-street parking to set down children
- continual foot traffic to playing fields
- informal crossing of the street by students and cyclists
- on-site set-downs which compromised safety
- general mismanaged 'conflict' situations between vehicles
- (greater concentration of movements in the afternoon)

Possible improvement opportunities discussed included

- better signage on Suva Street
- parking ban areas in the immediate vicinity of the Suva Street entrance
- mid-street refuge
- need for pedestrian-emphasis measures (not traffic emphasis)

Members also met Messrs M Kahu and D Hodgkinson who represented the Acacia Avenue Neighbourhood Watch Group; their concerns related to speed of vehicles in the street.

Members also observed the Acacia Avenue vehicle movements; use of this street by buses (five per day, morning and afternoon) would be ceasing shortly due to the school having purchased two properties in Arthur Street, this to become the set-down and pick-up area for buses.

Acacia Avenue provided two access points to the school, had daily instances of parking and excess speed incidents. Some form of traffic (speed) control measures were sought, with 'give way' or 'stop' sign at its intersection with Middleton Road.

Further consideration of the outcomes of the on-site inspection was given at the service centre.

Recommendation: That the concerns and problems identified in the discussions with representatives from Middleton Grange School and Acacia Avenue be the subject of a report from the Area Engineer to the 21 April meeting of the Works and Traffic Committee.

2. HILLARY CRESCENT NEIGHBOURHOOD WATCH TRAFFIC CONCERNS

For some months residents and staff have been discussing traffic and roading matters generally, but with specific reference to pedestrian and cyclists crossing Curletts Road, together with the speed of traffic along Suva Street (being used as a 'short cut' from Hansons Lane to Curletts Road).

Approximately 240 people cross Curletts Road twice daily, being students attending Riccarton High School, Riccarton Primary School; also going to and from Villa Maria College, Our Lady of Victories School and Middleton Grange School (Curletts Road was a 'divider' in this residential catchment area that provided students to all these schools.

The members met with local residents to discuss their concerns, also Mr Robin Habersfield (principal of Riccarton Primary School).

Matters of concern reinforced in discussion included heavy motor vehicle usage and traffic volume increases, continual 'informal' crossing of Curletts Road by students.

Controlled speed environment such as that in use at Linwood High School was seen as a possible option.

The most favoured option arising from discussion was a single-point traffic signal facility (with speed camera installation).

Further consideration of the outcomes of the on-site inspection was given at the service centre.

It was agreed that all schools (identified above) be kept informed of progress on the Curletts Road issue.

- Recommendation:**
1. That City Streets Unit implement an education safety programme with the schools in the Upper Riccarton area.
 2. That the concerns and problems identified in the discussions with representatives from the Hillary Crescent Neighbourhood Watch Group and local schools be the subject of a report from the Area Engineer to the next, or succeeding, meeting of the Works and Traffic Committee.

3. BUCHANANS ROAD / RACECOURSE ROAD INTERSECTION

The reason for this matter coming before the Works and Traffic Committee is due to the City Services Committee (10 March 1998) recommendations that a roundabout be the preferred traffic management option at the Buchanans Road/Racecourse Road intersection.

The Community Board had, at its 4 March meeting, received advice that the safety audit outcomes were inconclusive and would require more information/statistics to be gathered; this advice being at variance with the City Streets Unit's later report and recommendations to the City Services Committee.

Mrs Maureen Shimmin, chairperson of the Riccarton Park Residents Association, joined with the Committee for discussion on this topic.

A submission from the Association was tabled which addressed their concerns with the 'process' and aspects of the City Services report; Mrs Shimmin also indicated a willingness to seek speaking rights to Council on this matter.

Members were particularly concerned that the Council's consultative process to involve Community Board input was not followed; this was of concern in view of the strong Community Board and resident representation to the City Services Committee and Council in June 1997

Members agreed that an urgent request should be made to the City Manager for the withdrawal of consideration of the item from the Council business at its monthly meeting to be held on 25 March. After receiving advice that the Committee did not have such mandate without the overall approval of the Board, it was **resolved** as follows:-

That a letter be written to the City Manager and appropriate officers expressing concern that the Council community consultation process was not followed in that it should have approached the Community Board prior to the matter being placed before the City Services Committee; and that, as a consequence of this, a request be made for the matter to be withdrawn from consideration at the Council meeting on 25 March.

The Committee also discussed the best matter for redress to cover the request for the withdrawal not being granted, and agreed to recommend to the Community Board:-

The Committee **resolved**, also that the Chairperson call an emergency meeting of the Community Board to consider this matter and to seek speaking rights at the 25 March meeting of Council if the clause is not withdrawn from the (Council) order of business. The Community Board to be represented by a non-Councillor member of the Board.”

Officer’s Comment: Following the emergency meeting of the Community Board held on 20 March, members were advised that this matter had been withdrawn off the Council agenda of 25 March. No further action is required at this time in pursuing this.

4. ILAM PARK PLACE/PARKSTONE AVENUE

Arising out of the College of Education public meetings there was concern expressed by residents with regard to the lack of a footpath at this intersection.

The estimated cost of constructing a footpath would be in the order of \$10,000/\$15,000. If funding became available (from 1998/99 project or discretionary allocations) this work would have to be considered along with any other requests.

Concern was expressed as to the reasoning behind there not being a footpath on the north side of Parkstone Avenue (that section from Ilam Park Place back towards the College of Education entrance into their carpark) when this was a desire line for pedestrians to the College, this being a significant generator of pedestrian movements, and this section would link the footpaths.

It was agreed that an officer report be prepared to the next meeting of the Committee to answer the concerns expressed.

The meeting concluded at 11.15am.

Chairperson’s

Recommendations: 1. That the concerns and problems identified in the discussions with representatives from Middleton Grange School and Acacia

1. 4. 98

- 13 -

Avenue be the subject of a report from the Area Engineer to the 21 April meeting of the Works and Traffic Committee.

2. That City Streets Unit implement an education safety programme with the schools in the Upper Riccarton area.
3. That the concerns and problems identified in the discussions with representatives from the Hillary Crescent Neighbourhood Watch Group and local schools be the subject of a report from the Area Engineer to the next, or succeeding, meeting of the Works and Traffic Committee

**7. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
COMMITTEE REPORT OF 30 MARCH 1998 MEETING**

RR 7335

Officer responsible Community Manager	Author Roger Cave, Secretary to the Board
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Vol 1, 3.1 text 6	

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Community Affairs Committee meeting held on 30 March 1998.

The meeting was attended by Bob Shearing (Chairperson), Graham Berry, Mary Corbett, and Lesley Keast.

An apology was received from David Buist

1. HORNBY YOUTH WORKER

Lael Schwartzfeger joined with Members for discussion on this topic.

Members noted that Lael had commenced duties in November 1997 as part of an eighteen month contract, funded by the Board's project funding.

Lael tabled information about his direct employer, the Community Development Network Trust, and also his Hornby Youth Programme of activities for 1998. Lael further explained the basis for his present work, and offered some thoughts on his programme for the future.

Members noted that the first monitoring report had been received, and then proceeded to ask a number of questions related to Lael's work and his relationship with a number of other agencies working in the youth environment.

Members expressed satisfaction with the effort to date, and noted that the matter of youth "needs" could be further discussed with the Police during a meeting scheduled to be held prior to the May Board meeting.

- Recommendations:**
1. That the initial report now received be submitted to the next meeting of the Committee.
 2. That the Board express its appreciation for the work underway in terms of the contract with Lael Schwartzfeger.
 3. That the matter of youth "needs" be the subject of further discussion with the Police on 6 May.

2. OTHER YOUTH PROVIDERS IN THE RICCARTON/WIGRAM WARD

The Committee received a list of those organisations and individuals presently associated, through the Hornby/Riccanton Youth Liaison group, in the role of youth providers in the community.

Members noted that the opportunity would be available at a later date to meet with the Youth Liaison Group, and that there could be further discussion with the Police Youth Aid Officers on 6 May.

3. CURRENT COMMUNITY PROVIDERS

At an earlier meeting of the Committee members had sought a report on the 'big picture' illustrating the current level of support provided through Service Centre and/or Community Board funding for community 'projects'.

A schematic diagram (copy attached) was provided to illustrate the current initiatives.

Members were appreciative of the detailed explanation shown and, in receiving the information, asked for further detail relating to costs for each initiative.

1. 4. 98

- 16 -

1. 4. 98

- 17 -

4. **HORNBY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT WORKERS**

The Community Activities Officer (Denise Galloway) referred to a report and recommendations relating to the outcome from an earlier Broomfield Community Needs exercise which had been conducted by consultant, Nona Milburn. Although the report (*shown following the recommendations to this clause*) had not been pre-circulated as an agenda item for the meeting, the Committee agreed to consider the recommendations at the meeting.

The Board had received a presentation on this subject at its meeting on 4 February and had referred the report outcomes to the Committee to further consider the report and recommendations which included for the extension of the position of a community development worker to two part-time positions in order that the needs of Maori families could be identified and addressed.

Denise further reported on the outcome from the study, on the proposal for engagement of the community development worker in partnership with a professional social service agency, and on the source of funding if the Board's approval was granted. The normal requirements for monitoring of the project and reporting back to the Board would be provided for.

The Committee noted that a sum of \$20,000 had been included within the Service Centre budget, and that an additional sum of \$17,000 had been approved by the Board in its earlier allocation of the 1998/99 project funds. It was noted that this total sum would cover the necessary salaries for a period of 12 months, and that any extension to this or a similar project would be subject to a review and an additional funding source if approved. The Committee noted the identification of 'social isolation' as an outcome from the research; and also noted that one of the main roles of a community development worker was to facilitate the process of people 'connecting' with one another.

It was agreed that the recommendation for partnership with a professional social service agency should be endorsed.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the Board endorse the recommendation that the Council form a partnership with a professional social service agency to provide two part-time community development workers in the North Hornby area.
 2. That one of these part-time community development workers focus on identifying the needs of Maori families and continue to follow these through in a culturally appropriate way.
 3. That the \$5,000 allocated for Broomfield Research Outcomes in the 1997/98 project funds be used for appropriate programmes facilitated by the community development workers.
 4. That the approval be subject to confirmation of the funding sources.

HORNBY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT WORKERS

BACKGROUND

At the Community Board meeting on 4 February a Broomfield Community Needs Profile was presented by Nona Milburn.

The Board received the report and endorsed recommendation No 3 "*That the Community Affairs Committee considers the officer's recommendation to extend the position of a community development worker to two part-time positions in order that the needs of Maori families can be identified and addressed*". This recommendation was extended at the meeting to include a 'subject to funding' clause which was agreed to by the Community Board.

CURRENT SITUATION - FUNDING

Since the February Community Board meeting funding for the two part-time positions of Community Development workers in the North Hornby area has been approved by the Community Board through 1998 project funds (\$17,000). The *total* amount required for two 20 hour positions is \$37,000. The service centre budget has \$20,000 allocated for these positions. The money from the 1998 project fund (\$17,000) combined with the service centre budget (\$20,000) will cover the salary of the workers for a period of twelve months commencing 1 July 1998.

PROCESS

As stated in the Broomfield Research Report, "*the single most effective strategy to improve social wellbeing would be to employ a community development worker in partnership with a professional social service agency*".

With funding secured, the next step in the process to meet the above stated goal is to tender publicly for interest among appropriate agencies who would then submit individual proposals. On receiving these proposals an interview process would take place and an agency/organisation would be chosen on the quality of their proposal to form a partnership. The partnership would be secured by a contractual legal agreement. The agreement would focus on the employment, support and supervision of *two* part-time community development workers in the North Hornby area, one of whom would particularly be looking at the needs of Maori families. The contract would also include regular reports for monitoring and accountability as well as informing the Community Board of initiatives and progress.

CURRENT SITUATION

Since the Broomfield Community Needs Profile was presented, the report on Poverty and Hardship in Christchurch by Kath Jamieson has now become a public document. The Community Board members may have noted that in the latter document Hornby and Hei Hei were identified as two areas experiencing particular hardship, economically. Kath Jamieson also highlighted in her research that poverty is often accompanied by 'social isolation'. The Broomfield report also noted the number of people who did not have any friends or family living in the area.

One of the main roles/tasks of a community development worker is to facilitate the process of people 'connecting' with one another and thus building stronger and more supportive relationships in the community. They may do this in a variety of ways depending on the interests and issues related to that particular community.

At present there are no community development workers in the area. Friendship House has not re-employed anyone since 1996 in this role. There are other professionals such as public health nurse, youth worker, field worker (school), Barnardos family support workers in the wider Hornby area. However, these roles have a different focus which, although complimentary to a *community development worker*, does not include the tasks and goals associated with the latter position.

5. COMMUNITY'S PRIORITIES FOR PROVISION OF COMMUNITY BOARD SERVICES (ie OUTCOME FROM COMMUNITY CONSULTATION PROCESS)

The Committee received a report dealing with a proposal for the second stage of the earlier Glen Greer community consultation exercise. It will be recalled that the Board had earlier resolved to consider the best method of addressing the issue of "community safety" which was one of three particular issues ranked highest by the surveys.

At the 4 March meeting of the Board it was resolved that the Board endorse a programme for further action based on the process earlier used by the Spreydon/Heathcote Community Board.

The Community Activities Officer (Denise Galloway) reported that it was important that the next stage of the process needed to be completed within a period of 3 weeks, including the need to organise focus groups, interview stakeholders and, to conduct an appropriate literature review. Her recommendation to the Committee was that a researcher be employed to conduct this 'hands on' work, and that a cost of between \$5,000 and \$6,000 could be required.

The Committee noted that a balance of \$6,889 existed from funding previously allocated to the community consultation exercise and agreed to recommend to the Board that the next stage be endorsed along the lines recommended.

Recommendation: That the Community Affairs Committee endorse a researcher being employed to do the "hands on" aspect of running/organising the focus groups and interviewing the stakeholders which would enable this process to take place within the timeframe required and provide the outcomes back to this Committee.

6. OTHER MATTERS

(a) Templeton Needs Analysis

The Committee noted that there would be a presentation on this matter at the April Board meeting.

(b) Gilberthorpes OSCAR programme, and Friendship House Childcare

Officers reported on continuing action in working through the difficulties experienced with both these projects.

(c) Monitoring of Poverty

The Committee agreed that the Community Adviser, research (Kath Jamieson) should make a presentation to the Board at the May or June meeting.

NEXT MEETING Thursday 28 April, at 4.00 pm

The meeting concluded at 6.00 pm

**8. RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD ENVIRONMENTAL
COMMITTEE REPORT OF 1 APRIL 1998 MEETING**

RR 7336

Officer responsible Community Manager	Author Roger Cave, Secretary to the Board
Corporate Plan Output: Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Vol 1, 3.1 text 6	

The major item of business for the Committee is the Draft Heathcote River Floodplain Management Strategy Discussion Document.

A verbal report will be given to the Board on the outcomes of the Committee's deliberations.

9. APPLICATION FOR EASEMENT OVER TRIPP RESERVE

RR 7263

Officer responsible Parks Manager	Author Property Services Officer, Bill Morgan 3/8/179
Corporate Plan Output: Neighbourhood Reserves - Parks Unit	

The report is submitted to the Board for its comment and to the Parks and Recreation Committee for recommendation to the Council.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

An application has been received from the owner of no.70B Avonhead Road for a stormwater and sewer easement through Tripp Reserve to service a five lot subdivision being undertaken on his property. As the land is subject to the Reserves Act 1977 it will be necessary to obtain the Council's consent in accordance with Section 48 of the Act to the proposed easement.

PROPOSAL

As indicated above the Council has been approached by the owner of the property situated at no.70B Avonhead Road to acquire easements over Tripp reserve and these are depicted on the attached plan as parcels "N" and "P" which will service the five lots of the proposed subdivision. At the present time an existing stormwater easement runs along the western boundary to the reserve and the application is to connect the subdivision to the stormwater outfall across the area as depicted as parcel "N". In addition consent is sought to run the sanitary sewer along the southern boundary of the reserve to connect with the outfall in Tripp Place. This is shown as parcel "P" on the plan. While the sanitary sewer line will service a private subdivision, it should be noted that because the pipe exceeds 150mm diameter the Council will accept responsibility for its maintenance up to the property boundary and as such an easement will not be required over the line although the Council's approval is required to allow it to be installed across the reserve.

In considering the application the developer was asked to consider alternative proposals but none of these are viable given that the agreement of seven private owners would be required to locate the pipelines through their properties. Tripp Place and the reserve run along the southern side of the Avon River, the reserve being on the northern side of Tripp Place and Corfe Street. The proposed sanitary sewer is located to the southern side of the reserve and along the northern boundary of the property located at 6 Tripp Place. The reserve along this boundary is currently unplanted, is level in contour and can easily be reinstated to its present condition following the completion of the works. This matter has been discussed with the adjoining owner who has no objections to the proposal.

Given that the proposed easements present the developer with the least line of resistance and are a cheaper option, the Council engaged the services of Ford Baker, Registered Public Valuers, to assess the compensation payable for the easement. A sum of \$9,500 including GST has been assessed and accepted by the owners. In addition the applicants are to meet all survey and legal costs associated with the granting of the easement and will be required to restore the ground to its original condition following completion of the works.

As the proposal will not materially alter or permanently damage the reserve it is not necessary to publicly advertise the intention and given that the Council will assume responsibility for the future maintenance of the pipeline through parcel "P" once it has been laid the advertising requirements of the Reserves Act can be dispensed with. The Department of Conservation's consent will still however be required and is currently being applied for, subject of course, to the Council's agreement being obtained.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the Council consent to the granting of an easement to drain stormwater over parcel "N" depicted on the attached plan.
 2. That approval be given for the laying of the sanitary sewer pipeline across parcel "P" on the attached plan.
 3. That all work be undertaken under the supervision of the Parks Unit and that the ground be restored to its original condition following completion of the works.
 4. That the developers pay the sum of \$9,500 including GST compensation for the right to lay the sewer line through the reserve as well as meeting all legal and survey costs associated with the creation of the stormwater easement.
 5. That the Department of Conservation's consent be obtained to the easement.

Chairperson's Recommendation: That the Council adopt the above recommendations.

1. 4. 98

- 24 -

10. CHARGING FOR OCCUPATION OF RESERVE LAND

RR 7325

Officer responsible Parks Manager	Author John Allen, Area Parks Officer/Team Leader Consents
Corporate Plan Output: Consents - Sub Output: Leases Vol 3/9.4.8	

The purpose of this report is to inform Board Members of a paper that has been sent to all sports clubs and community groups who occupy reserve land to encourage discussion on how to charge for such occupation. This is a metropolitan issue which is being addressed by the Parks and Recreation Committee. The Council resolved at its meeting on 26 November that the draft discussion paper presented to it be circulated to the affected sports clubs and community groups for comment.

THE NEED FOR THE DISCUSSION PAPER

The need for this paper arises primarily because of inequity between existing sports clubs and community groups (SCCG). The inequity is largely as a result of the varied policies of the different territorial authorities prior to Local Government amalgamation in 1989. It exists in two forms:

1. Between SCCG on reserve land and private land. Those on private land pay rates (subject to remission) while those on reserve land do not pay rates.
2. Between existing SCCG leasing reserve land, there is a wide disparity in current annual rent levels. A comparison between two rugby clubs reveals one paying 10c while another pays \$300.00 for one seventh of the area.

In addition to existing charging inequity, from 1 July 1998, the Local Government Amendment Act No 3, 1996 will come into force. This Act requires, among other financial management responsibilities, that the Council conduct prudent management of its assets and expenses.

Reserves are Council assets and fall within the ambit of the Act, and therefore charging for occupation must be developed in line with the requirements of the Act. Other factors to be considered are: maintenance of public participation in these SCCG and consistency with the requirements of the Proposed City Plan.

ESTABLISH A FAIR AND EQUITABLE CHARGING POLICY

The goal, which is set out, in the discussion paper is to establish a fair and equitable charging policy by firstly establishing equity through a level playing field for all SCCG.

The proposal is that:

- All SCCG, whether on reserve or freehold land pay rates (subject to remission).
- All SCCG on reserves have rent calculated at a standard per square metre rate.

Secondly, establishing fairness by balancing prudent management of the asset and expenses with promotion of community development.

- Prudent management is achieved through recovery of costs and expenses whilst at the same time setting rents that take into account the value of the reserve itself.
- Promotion of community development is achieved firstly by ensuring that the proposed total charges (ie rent plus rates) will not create an unreasonable financial burden on SCCG. Rate remission and low rent levels ensure this. Secondly by phasing in the proposed charges to provide a sufficient adjustment period.

THE PROPOSED APPROACH TO CHARGING

The proposed charging policy applies to preferential use of reserve land under one hectare. This is the building footprint and may include a greenspace area around or attached to the building which used in association with it. The proposed method is a rate levy and rent charge.

Rates would be levied as per the existing rating policy on freehold land which includes a standard policy of partial remission.

Rent is calculated by use of a standard formula. This sets a rate per square metre which varies dependent on the type of land use, (ie building or greenspace), and the area of land taken. The rent payable will be the greater of either; a minimum rent to cover essential administrative costs or application of the formula.

- The effect of the proposed charges is that over 75% of rents go down but when rent is added to rates then nearly all SCCG incur an increase in total charges. Despite this overall increase the proposed charges compare favourably with other Local Authorities.
- A phase in period for the proposed charges is proposed to ensure the avoidance of significant adjustment difficulties for SCCG.
- The proposed rate charges would not be applied until twelve months after formal adoption of the policy, ie no sooner than 1 July 1999.
- The proposed rent would take effect after formal Council adoption of the policy, ie not before 1 August 1998, when existing leases expire or rent reviews fall due.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The Council is presently seeking the input of SCCG who occupy reserve land. A paper in which the important issues and options are outlined has been prepared to encourage discussion on how to charge for such occupation.

A choice of the options has been made by Council staff and developed into a proposed policy.

The Council is now seeking the input of SCCG to consider firstly whether all the important issues and possible options have been covered. Secondly whether the choice of options is appropriate. An assessment of charges that would be applied using the proposed policy has been provided to the SCCG so they can determine the effect on their organisation.

1. 4. 98

- 27 -

The Council consider that it is important SCCG consider the issues. All affected SCCG have therefore been supplied with a copy of the discussion paper. If any SCCG are uncertain as to how or why the proposed charges are set, they have been asked to direct their enquiries to Felix Dawson 371-1966, Lewis Burn 371-1522 or John Allen 371-1699.

A questionnaire has been sent to the SCCG concerned, requesting them to complete and return it as soon as possible, but before 29 May 1998 to The Property Unit, PO Box 237, Christchurch. C/- Felix Dawson.

A hearings committee of the Parks and Recreation Committee has been established to consider all written and oral submissions. A final charging policy will not be developed until after completion of this process. A period of nearly three months has been allowed to ensure that SCCG have sufficient time to undertake full discussion, and prepare any written and/or oral submissions. Those SCCG wishing to make submissions will be given adequate notice of the hearing date, which is expected to be in mid to late June 1998.

A copy of the information pack supplied to all SCCG is available at the Service Centres or the Parks Unit.

Recommendation: The information be received.

**Chairperson's
Recommendation:** That the information be received.

11. ROUNTREE STREET - PARKING MANAGEMENT

RR 7337

Officer responsible City Streets Manager	Author Paul Burden, Area Engineer
Corporate Plan Output: Road Markings and Signs	

The purpose of this report is to seek Board approval to alter an existing parking restriction.

A request to review parking restrictions has been received from the proprietors of “Campus Corner Dairy” and “Bens Fish and Chip Shop” at 2 and 4 Rountree Street, Ilam.

The proprietors are seeking the conversion of some of the existing P30 restrictions on the angle parking spaces in Rountree Street to P10 at any time.

The problem is that on a regular basis the twelve P30 parking spaces on Rountree Street are occupied by customers and staff of the neighbouring Chinese restaurant, particularly over the lunch period. The nature of the P30 restrictions tends to allow people an absolute minimum length of stay of 30 minutes before a ticket can be issued. In practice most vehicles can expect to stay around 45 minutes to an hour before receiving a ticket is likely. The dairy and fish and chip shop rely on very short term parking with a high turnover to maximise clientele. Typically a P10 at any time suits this type of activity.

There are two P10 at any time spaces on Ilam Road, however the submitters claim these are insufficient and are seeking a fairer share of the carparks on the Rountree Street frontage.

The submission seeks the conversion of the three carparks nearest the Ilam Road intersection to “P10 at any time”. This is a very reasonable request as nine spaces would remain for the restaurant.

The Parking Unit has received numerous complaints from the dairy proprietor and supports the submission as a fair resolution to the problem.

The owners of the Chinese restaurant have given their consent to the proposal also.

- Recommendation:**
1. That the P30 parking restriction on the north side of Rountree Street commencing five metres from the Ilam Road intersection and extending nine metres in a westerly direction be rescinded.
 2. That the parking of vehicles be limited to 10 minutes at any time on the north side of Rountree Street commencing five metres from the Ilam Road intersection and extending nine metres in a westerly direction.

Chairperson’s

Recommendation: That the officer’s recommendation be adopted.

1. 4. 98

- 29 -

12. PROPOSED RIGHT OF WAY OFF SPRINGS ROAD

RR +

<p>Officer responsible Environmental Services Manager</p>	<p>Author Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Consent Officer</p>
<p>Corporate Plan Output: Environmental Services, Subdivision Consents 7.3 text 6</p>	

At its meeting in July 1997 the Community Board was asked to confirm the naming of the right of way for this new subdivision in the former Maple Tree Orchard on Springs Road. The subdivision creates 14 lifestyle sections and is served by a central right of way.

The Board resolved at that time that the matter be put on hold pending a matter of resource consent that had been raised with the Legal Services Manager by one of the board members.

The Environmental Services Manager and Subdivisions Consent Officer now consider that this matter has been concluded and are seeking the Community Board's endorsement of Busch Lane as the name for this right of way.

A copy of the subdivisional plan is attached.

Recommendation: That Busch Lane be confirmed as the right of way for the subdivision on Springs Road.

Chairperson's Recommendation: That the officer's recommendation be adopted.

13. PROPOSED ROAD NAMES WIGRAM AERODROME SUBDIVISION

RR 7296

Officer responsible Environmental Services Manager	Author Bob Pritchard, Subdivisions Consents -Officer
Corporate Plan Output: Environmental Services, Subdivision Consents 7.3 text 6	

The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Board to confirm three existing road names and to approve a list of submitted names for ongoing subdivisional work at Wigram.

Three of the names HENRY WIGRAM DRIVE, HARVARD AVENUE and CAUDRON ROAD have been in use for many years, but have never been approved for use by the Council. A fourth name is required for this stage of the subdivision, and more will be required for later stages of the subdivision.

The other existing names in use at Wigram Base are Gordon, Oxford, Baffin, Bristol and Devon, but these are already longstanding names in use already in Christchurch, while Anson is in use close by in Kirwee.

It is proposed to obtain approval by the Board to a further list of names with close association to Wigram that can be allocated to the additional roads to be created in the later stages of the subdivision. These are set out below and have been obtained from the RNZAF Museum.

MUSTANG; CONSUL; AUSTER; SIOUX; IROQUOIS; BEAVER; VILDEBEEST; ELECTRA;) Aircraft Names LOCKHEED; AIRSPEED. (Manufacturers)
CECIL HILL (First Instructor, first fatality) LEN ISITT , JAMES FINDLAY, and TOM WILKES (Early identities and commanders)

Recommendation: That the Board formally approve the use of the existing names Caudron, Henry Wigram and Harvard, and approve the new proposed names for use on subsequent stages of the subdivision.

Chairperson’s Recommendation:

1. That the Board formally approve the use of the existing names, Caudron, Henry Wigram and Harvard.
2. That the Board consider the submitted list of names which are made up of aircraft and early aviation identities with the emphasis being on this latter category for adoption.

1. 4. 98

- 32 -

14. WATER EXPO

RR 7301

Officer responsible Water Services Manager	Author Christine Heremaia and Craig McGregor
Corporate Plan Output: Education Programme Volume 3 Page 9.3.5	

The purpose of this report is to provide information on the Water Expo programme, an educational programme to increase children's environmental awareness of the city's water resource.

The Water Services Unit has a responsibility to encourage environmental awareness of this resource in accordance with Policy 2.9.1 in the City Plan. This policy states:

To encourage greater public awareness of important natural features and habitats within the city, particularly waterways, the coast and their margins, the Port Hills and indigenous grasslands.

The Council's Environmental Policy Statement also states that:

The Council will promote an awareness within the community of environmental matters as they affect the city.

In 1996 the Council adopted the recommendations of the Children's Strategy Research Report which included ensuring that policies were good for children and that children's views and perspectives were taken into account in planning. They are also the next generation who will be managing and using this important resource.

The Water Expo Programme focuses on children year 6 to year 10.

The focus of the programme will be on information technology such as the computer and web sites. This technology is being rapidly introduced into schools and will encourage interactive participatory learning by the children as well as provide a lot of information easily. It is important that the Council provide local information, in order that Christchurch children understand their local resource as well as the situation worldwide.

Last year Craig McGregor and the Water Services Unit jointly applied to the Royal Society of New Zealand for a Science and Technology Teacher Fellowship in order to develop this programme and to run a pilot Water Expo competition. Craig is the Deputy Principal of Wharenui School. The application was successful. Craig has now taken a year off school and his replacement is being funded by the Royal Society of New Zealand.

The programme has three main components:

1. **Web Site**

The development of a children's web site on the Water Services Unit's home page. It will contain information on Christchurch's water resource, teacher and student work sheets, links to the school curriculum and information about the competition. Opportunities will be provided for students to e-mail their questions to the Water Services Unit and having their answers posted to the web.

2. **Audio Conferencing**

Audio conferencing will involve linking several schools at the same time by telephone and enabling them to interview an expert on a particular aspect of the water resource.

3. **Competition**

The culmination of children's study will be their (optional) entry into one of the following competition categories: photographic essay/poster, video presentation, computer multimedia presentation.

Recommendation: That the information be received

Chairperson's

Recommendation: That the information be received.

15. STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS RECEIVED OF INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY BOARD, MARCH 1998

1. COMMUNITY SERVICES, 9 MARCH 1998

- Annual report from Sister Cities Committee
- Sister Cities Convention, March 1998
- (Council) Children's Policy
 - draft policy being prepared and will come before the City Services Committee in June 1998
 - community views and input sought during July and August.

2. CITY SERVICES, 10 MARCH 1998

- Buchanans Road/Racecourse Road Intersection
 - received at the emergency meeting of the Community Board on 20 March 1998
- Draft solid and hazardous waste management plan

3. PARKS AND RECREATION, 11 MARCH 1998

- Halswell Lions Club; "adoption" of Halswell Quarry Reserve

4. ENVIRONMENTAL, 12 MARCH 1998

- Options for protecting trees in the City
- Plan change 20, Kennedys
- Possible Seminar Programme 1998
- Historical Heritage Management Review

5. PROJECTS AND PROPERTY, 13 MARCH 1998

- Monthly reports for
 - Centennial Pool redevelopment
 - Pioneer Sports Stadium
 - Westpac Trust Centre

6. STRATEGY AND RESOURCES, 16 MARCH 1998

- Nuclear Free resolution for municipalities
- Review of Rating Powers Act
- 1998 City Council Elections, Progressive Processing

+. PUBLIC EXCLUDED

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely clause +.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	REASON FOR PASSING THIS RESOLUTION IN RELATION TO EACH MATTER	GROUND(S) UNDER SECTION 48(1) FOR THE PASSING OF THIS RESOLUTION
+. +	Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7	Section 48 (i) (a)

This resolution is made in reliance of Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of the Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

+. Conduct of negotiations.

Section 7 (2) (i)

CONFIDENTIAL

Christchurch City Council

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY
BOARD**

**PUBLIC
EXCLUDED**

**WEDNESDAY 1 APRIL 1998
AT 5.00PM**

**SOCKBURN SERVICE CENTRE
149 MAIN SOUTH ROAD**

29. 10. 97