2007/08 DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN AND 2007 AMENDMENTS TO THE LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 11 May 2007

Ido NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered	Submissions close on 11 May 2007			
If you are representing, how many people do you represent? Name: Paul Knott Organisation: Contact Address: 11 Hay's Rise Governor's Bay Daytime Phone: 364 2941 Evening Phone: Email: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs brome by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infiniture because the projection of 'the there after, and desirable outcomes after some projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infiniture because the projection of 'the there fater' provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability today by starting to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to provisions of the Draft Amended Development. Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended Development. Port Amended Development: My points are simple and probably	<u> </u>	my submission at the hear	ing, and ask that this written submission be	
Name: Paul Knott Organisation: 11 Hay's Rise Governor's Bay Daytime Phone: 364 2941 Evening Phone: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes after failing to tackle today's dysfunctional management projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DcP? Any other remarks/comments: My poi	Are you completing this submission:		For yourself	
Organisation: Contact Address: 11 Hay's Rise Governor's Bay Daytime Phone: 364 2941 Evening Phone: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes starterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is subset of forward ad infinitum, because the projection of 'better later' provides an excuse for falling to tackle today's dysfunctionality, if the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by st				
Daytime Phone: 364 2941 Evening Phone: Email: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards infinitum, because the projection of 'better later' provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP? Any other emarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council ma	Name:	Paul Knott		
Daytime Phone: Sevening Phone: Email: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure to that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of 'better later' provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DcP do you opport and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DcP? Any other eres is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	Organisation:			
Evening Phone: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz	Contact Address:	1		
Email: paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz Date: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected point at which the desirable outcomes star is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of "better later" provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to contol them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DcP do you opport and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DcP do you oppore and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DcP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	Daytime Phone:	364 2941		
Pate: 1/5/2007 Your Submission: I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of "better later" provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you support and why? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to go about the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to go about the Draft Amended DCP do you want the Council to go about the Draft Amended DCP do you oppose and why? My points are simple and probably do not need ex	Evening Phone:			
I refer to p.72: Financial Overview and to p.19: Introduction from the Mayor. Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of "better later" provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended Development Contributions Policy (DCP) do you support and why? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you oppose and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	Email:	paul.knott@canterbury.ac.nz		
Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of "better later" provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to control them from 2007/2008? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you support and why? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you opun and the praft Amended DCP do you opun and the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	Date:	1/5/2007		
provisions of the Draft Amended Development Contributions Policy (DCP) do you support and why? Which principles and/or provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you oppose and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	Your Submission:	Contrary to the 2006 feedback reported by the Mayor, I consider it a Council responsibility not to fuel public sector inflation by continuing to project above-inflation rates and expenditure increases. It is inevitable that the Council will find little enthusiasm for expenditure cuts that may impact on some services. However, it is also the case that the Council should take into account the opportunity costs borne by ratepayers, who are in effect being asked to sacrifice discretionary expenditure to fund council activities. In my view the Council should take the difficult decisions required to keep rates increases close to the rate of general inflation. I am also suspicious of the projections on p.72 that suggest 'real' rates increases close to 6% until 2010 and zero or negative thereafter. This appears to represent a well-known dysfunctional management practice in which undesirable outcomes are projected for the near future and desirable outcomes afterwards. Typically, the projected point at which the desirable outcomes start is pushed forward ad infinitum, because the projection of "better later" provides an excuse for failing to tackle today's dysfunctionality. If the Council projects that it will have the ability to control rates increases beyond 2010, why does it not demonstrate this ability today by starting to		
provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you oppose and why? What exactly do you want the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	provisions of the Draft Amended Development Contributions Policy (DCP)			
the Council to do about the Draft Amended DCP? Any other remarks/comments: My points are simple and probably do not need explaining at the hearing. However, if there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	provisions of the Draft Amended DCP do you			
there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term	the Council to do about the			
	•	there is a need to clarify anything then I would be happy to speak. I would also be happy to meet with council managers to look in more detail at the short and long term		