542/1490





COSTS (Costs net of thirds-party contributions in brackets)

Total	Renewal	Backlog	Unallocated	Growth
\$2,483,238	\$993,293	\$640,676	0	\$849,268
(\$1,167,122)	(\$466,848)	(\$301,118)		(\$399,156)

COST ALLOCATION

Primary Driver:	Access to southwest Christchurch and network capacity.
Secondary Driver:	
Capacity discussion:	
References:	

ATTRIBUTES

Project Manager:	David Robinson
Work Planned:	Upgrade existing Wigram Road to tie in with Wigram – Magdala link and potential Wigram Road extension westward.
Location:	
Special features being addressed:	The CRETS Draft Transportation Strategy is proposing to extend Wigram Road to connect to Fountains Rd at Marshs Rd. Part of this link passes across the "Awatea" plan change area. This linkage would form part of a regional arterial connecting Southwest Christchurch to Lincoln. The arterial would also extend towards the CBD via the proposed Wigram – Magdala link.
A statement of the outcomes being addressed (LoS, Community Outcomes):	
Options considered:	
Implications of not doing the project:	

Linkages with other projects:	CRETS, SWAP
Location of other relevant supporting information:	

SPM Project Page 1 of 1

Project Cost Allocation Summary					
Project Name	Project Cost Allocation	Summary			
Project Name					
Project Name	=	1			
Project Assumager				Activity	Transport and City Streets
Year of first or placetable Policet Scope Project of Substitution 2006 Project Object Project Substitution 2006 Project Substitution 20	=	Wigram Rd	Upgrade		
Vern of current cost allocation 2006	Project Manager				
Project cord Security Secur			Project Scope	tbc	
Project Code	Year of first cost allocation		_		
Measure Meas	Year of current cost allocation		_		
Messure	Project cost	\$2,483,238	_		
Existing Capacity 1900	Level of Service Definitions				
Existing Demand 143.0 14	Measure	Ratio	Primary Driver	tbc	
Total Capacity Ca	Existing Capacity	100.0	_		
Dosign Capacity Year	Existing Demand	143.0			
End of Life Year 2056 Backlog Capacity 43	Total Capacity	200.0	Secondary Driver		
Racklog Capacity 43	Design Capacity Year	2041			
Sinch Capacity 100	End of Life Year	2056			
Signatury 100	Backlog Capacity	43	Capacity Discussion		
New Work Capacity 100 5% Backlog of New Work 43 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7		57			
Standard assumption for new work own ponent of Road Network Improvements New Works		100	_		
	• •	43			
	· ·	57	_		
			_		
New Works Sand Alone Renewal Cost Say3,293 Renewal Scope Standard assumption for renewal component of Road Network Improvements		looolitu			
New Works Sand Alone Renewal Cost Share So Standard assumption for renewal component of Road Network Improvements					
New Works Sund Alone Renewal Cost S993,293 Renewal Scope Standard assumption for renewal component of Road Network Improvements			100		
Stand Alone Renewal Cost S993,293 Renewal Scope Standard assumption for renewal component of Road Network Improvements	=				
Stand Alone Renewal Cost \$993,293 Renewal Scope Standard assumption for renewal component of Road Network Improvements	O&M Cost Share	\$0	_		
New Works	Renewal				
Stand Alone New Works Cost \$2,483,238	Stand Alone Renewal Cost	\$993,293	Renewal Scope	Standard assump	tion for renewal component of Road Network Improvements
Stand Alone New Works Cost \$2,483,238					
Stand Alone New Works Cost \$2,483,238					
Stand Alone New Works Cost \$2,483,238					
Renewal Cost Share \$993,293	New Works				
New Work Cost Share	Stand Alone New Works Cost	\$2,483,238	New Works Scope	Standard assump	tion for new work component of Road Network Improvement.
New Work Cost Share					
New Work Cost Share					
Preliminary Cost Shares Backlog Cost Share \$640,676 Growth Cost Share \$849,268 Growth project Stand Alone Growth Cost \$2,483,238 Growth Cap \$2,483,238 Unallocated costs Unallocated Cost Share \$0 Project funding External Funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation Net Cost \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0			_		
Secklog Cost Share \$640,676 Growth Cost Share \$849,268 Growth project Stand Alone Growth Cost \$2,483,238 Growth Project Scope Standard assumption for growth component of Road Network Improvements. Unallocated costs Unallocated Cost Share \$0 Standard assumption for growth component of Road Network Improvements. Project funding External Funding \$1,316,116 Standard assumption for growth component of Road Network Improvements. Summary of Cost Allocation Summary of Cost Allocation O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0		\$2,483,238	_		
Growth Cost Share \$849,268 Growth project Stand Alone Growth Cost \$2,483,238 Growth Project Scope Standard assumption for growth component of Road Network Improvements. Unallocated costs Unallocated Cost Share \$0 Sommary of Cost Allocation Summary of Cost Allocation Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth \$34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Preliminary Cost Shares				
Stand Alone Growth Cost \$2,483,238 Growth Project Scope Standard assumption for growth component of Road Network Improvements.	Backlog Cost Share	\$640,676	_		
Stand Alone Growth Cost Growth Cost Growth Cost Growth Cap	Growth Cost Share	\$849,268	_		
S2,483,238	Growth project				
Unallocated costs Unallocated Cost Share \$0 Project funding External Funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Stand Alone Growth Cost	\$2,483,238	Growth Project Scope	Standard assump	tion for growth component of Road Network Improvements.
Project funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Summary Net Cost Summary O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Growth Cap	\$2,483,238			
Project funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Summary Net Cost Summary O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0					
Project funding External Funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Unallocated costs				
External Funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Unallocated Cost Share	\$0	_		
External Funding \$1,316,116 Summary of Cost Allocation % Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Project funding				
% Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0		\$1,316,116			
% Total Cost Net Cost O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	Summary of Cost Allocation		_		
O&M \$0 \$0 Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	,		0/_	Total Cost	Net Cost
Renewal 40% \$993,293 \$466,848 Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0	0014		/0		
Backlog 25.8% \$640,676 \$301,118 Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0		I	40%		
Growth 34.2% \$849,268 \$399,156 Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0					
Unallocated 0% \$0 \$0					
15 ALCOHOL CORRUPTS	External Funding		U70	20	\$0 \$1 316 116

TRANSPORT PROJECT SCOPING BRIEF

Project Initiator:	Date:
CRETS Draft Transport Strategy	23/1/2007
Project Name:	WBS if created: 542-1490
Wigram Extension – Awatea to Halswell Junction	

Background Data:

(include project source – study, strategy, public enquiry, resource consent, etc.) (include/append data needed for prioritisation process)

A plan change to the City Plan urbanise the "Awatea" area is proposed for around the end of 2007.

The CRETS Draft Transportation Strategy is proposing to extend Wigram Road to connect to Fountains Rd at Marshs Rd. Part of this link passes across the "Awatea" plan change area.

This linkage would form part of a regional arterial connecting Southwest Christchurch to Lincoln. The arterial would also extend towards the CBD via the proposed Wigram – Magdala link.

At the time of writing Council had not formally resolved on the CRETS Study.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Issue, Problem or Deficiency to be addressed:

Access to southwest Christchurch without ramps on the Southern Motorway.

Transport strategy for southwest Christchurch for the next 20 – 25 years.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Possible solutions/suggestions:

(attach conceptual sketches¹, if appropriate)

- A) A new linkage as proposed in the CRETS Study Draft Transport Strategy directly connecting the realigned Wigram/Awatea intersection to Fountains Rd at Marshs Rd.
- B) A linkage using mainly existing Wigram and Whincops roads with service lanes and realigned intersections as necessary.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Proposed Budget Category:

Road Network Improvements Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Priority Rating (if relevant):

Very high priority. The route for this corridor must be decided and be able to be designated as part of the Awatea plan change.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Strategy or Strategic Objective(s) that the project will satisfy:-

CRETS Draft Transportation Strategy.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Date last reviewed. 25/1/2007

Cost Estimate (include how this was derived and the level of accuracy and year of \$\$): \$247,078 (Scheme assessment and designation only), \$9,914,124 Very Rough Order Cost, Oct 2006 assuming a completely new link. See electronic (S:\Budget preparation and monitoring\0708\Road

Network Improvements VROCs.xls) Budget or hardcopy(as yet unpacked David Robinson files)

Improvements\Project Scope - Wigram Extension - Awatea to Halswell Junction.doc

This is not to be a scheme plan drawn up by City Solutions, but more a transport planners pencil sketch that may be used for City Solutions to provide a Very Rough Order Costing if required. Its only purpose is for future reference as to what was the basis of the initial cost estimate. The project team should develop the scheme design. S:\Transport Planning\Templates\Project Scoping Template\Project Scopes\2007 2008\Road Network

developer contributions worksheets.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007: Note cost in DC is a portion of the above nflated for 2007/08 LTCCP.

Proposed Funding Method (for unbudgeted projects):

Date last reviewed:

Project Received by Capital Programme Team:

Project: Wigram Rd Traffic Management Upgrade - Awatea to Treffers - VROC 31/10/06	dement Up	grade - Awate	ea to Tre	ffers	VROC 31/	90/0			
Item Description	Unit Leng	Unit Length/m Rate		Amount	t L	Contingency Total	icy Tot		Comment
1 Preliminary and General									
1.1 Establishment				₩	154,260	\$ 30,	30,852 \$	185,112	185,112 10% road construction cost
2 Road Construction									
2.1 Wigram Rd upgrade	E	2400 \$	210	s)		\$ 100,800	\$ 008	604,800	604,800 Assume Ngai Tahu & subdividers do this as frontage
2.2 New culvert	m2	450 \$	2,000	↔	000,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 000	1,080,000	
2.3 New culvert approaches	٤	100 \$	1,386	↔	138,600		27,720 \$	166,320	
2.4			ı	↔	1	æ	⇔ '	,	
2.5			r	₩	1	€₽-	69 '	1	
2.6		\$ 0	1	क	1	€ S	↔	ı	Assume Chen gets additional road width where required through subdivision
2.7			ı	↔	1	æ	φ,	ı	
2.8		\$,	↔	1	(A	ر د	1	
2.9		\$ 0	ı	₩	ı	. 69	s	1	
		RC Su	RC Subtotal	*	1,542,600	\$ 308,520		1,851,120	
3 Miscellaneous						•			
3.1		\$ 0	1	↔	ì	↔	↔	1	
3.2		\$ 0	1	₩	1	₩	€ 9	•	
		TOTA			1,696,860	\$ 339,372		2,036,232	
4 Land Purchase									
4.1 Realigned Culvert	m2	300 \$	200	₩	000'09	\$ 12,	12,000 \$	72,000	
4.2		₩	1	↔	•	es	⇔		
6,4		\$	1	↔		€9-	· 63	ı	
4.4			ſ	↔	t	↔	⇔ '	ſ	
4.5		\$ O	1	↔	ŧ	↔	⇔	1	
5 Land Legalisation				↔	000'9		1,200 \$	7,200	7,200 10% of land cost
		TOTAL	T	\$	66,000	\$ 13,	13,200 \$	79,200	
6 Professional Fees									
6.1 I&R				s	50,906	\$ 15,	15,272 \$	66,178	66,178 2.5%,0.75%,3.25% of sum 1,2&3
6.2 D&PD				ss	84,844	\$ 16,	16,962 \$	101,805	101,805 4.167%,0.833%,5.0% of sum 1,2&3
6.3 MS&QA				↔		\$ 10,	10,181 \$	61,087	61,087 2.5%,0.50%,3.0% of sum 1,2&3
(D&PD + MS&QA)				€9			27,143 \$	162,892	
		TOTAL		\$	186,655	\$ 42,	42,415 \$	229,070	
		TOTAL	Γ	\$	1,949,515	\$ 394,987	\$ 286	2,344,502	
									111111111111111111111111111111111111111