# 542/1479 Hayton Road Extension



## **COSTS** (Costs net of thirds-party contributions in brackets)

Total	Renewal	Backlog	Unallocated	Growth
\$1,092,422	\$54,621	\$446,254	0	\$591,546
(\$513,438)	(\$25,672)	(\$209,740)		(\$278,027)

### **COST ALLOCATION**

Primary Driver:	Level of Service (congestion)
Secondary Driver:	Safety at existing Main South/Symes Intersection
Capacity discussion:	
References:	

## **ATTRIBUTES**

Project Manager:	David Robinson
Work Planned:	Extension across railway line (level crossing) to connect with Alloy Place
Location:	Parkhouse
Special features being addressed:	The previous Southern Arterial Transportation Study and the current CRETS Transportation Study both propose an extension of Hayton Road across the railway line to connect to Alloy Place and the Sockburn Roundabout which would eventually be replaced by offset tee traffic signals.
	Movements along the existing route at the Symes intersection with Main South Rd are restricted due to safety concerns. The intersection is also at the toe of the Sockburn overbridge.
	The CRETS study is showing that the top end of Springs Road near Main South Road would be congested without the Hayton Extension. The Parkhouse / Curletts intersection would also remain busy impacting on the efficiency of Curletts Road, as part of the ring road.

	Extension of Hayton Road across the railway line provides a solution to these issues.
A statement of the outcomes being addressed (LoS, Community Outcomes):	Improved network efficiency and capacity Improved Level of Service Improved Safety
Options considered:	
Implications of not doing the project:	Limited capacity means Level of Service will decrease  Continued safety concerns
Linkages with other projects:	CRETS, SWAP (South-West Area Plan)
Location of other relevant supporting information:	

SPM Project Page 1 of 1

<b>Project Cost Allocation</b>	Summary					
Background						
Project No	542/1479			Activity		Transport and City Streets
Project Name	Hayton Roa	d Extension				
Project Manager	tbc					
Year first spend on the project	2007	_ Project Scope		tbc		
Year of first cost allocation	2006	_				
Year of current cost allocation	2006	_				
Project cost	\$1,092,422	_				
Level of Service Definitions						
Measure	Ratio	Primary Drive	r	tbc		
Existing Capacity	100.0					
Existing Demand	143.0	_				
Total Capacity	200.0	Secondary Driv	er			
Design Capacity Year	2035	_				
End of Life Year	2050	_				
Backlog Capacity	43	<ul> <li>Capacity Discus</li> </ul>	ssion			
Growth Capacity	57					
New Work Capacity	100	_				
% Backlog of New Work	43	References				
% Growth of New Work	57					
Localities:		_				
Localities.						
	locality		comment			
	Wigram	100				
Operations and Maintenance						
O&M Cost Share	\$0	_				
Renewal						
Stand Alone Renewal Cost	\$54,621	_ Renewal Scop	e	Non Standard	assumption	for renewal component of Road Network Improvements
New Works						
Stand Alone New Works Cost	\$1,092,422	_ New Works So	cope	Standard assur	nption for n	ew work component of Road Network Improvement.
Renewal Cost Share	\$54,621	_				
New Work Cost Share	\$1,092,422	_				
Preliminary Cost Shares						
Backlog Cost Share	\$446,254	_				
Growth Cost Share	\$591,546	_				
Growth project						
Stand Alone Growth Cost	\$1,092,422	_ Growth Projec	t Scope	Standard assur	nption for g	rowth component of Road Network Improvements.
Growth Cap	\$1,092,422	_				
Unallocated costs						
Unallocated Cost Share	\$0	_				
Project funding						
External Funding	\$578,984	_				
<b>Summary of Cost Allocation</b>						
		%		Total Cost		Net Cost
O&M				\$0		\$0
Renewal		5%		\$54,621		\$25,672
Backlog		40.8%		\$446,254		\$209,740
Growth		54.1%		\$591,546		\$278,027
Unallocated		0%		\$0		\$0
External Funding						\$578 984

#### TRANSPORT PROJECT SCOPING BRIEF

Project Initiator:	Date:
Southern Arterial & CRETS Studies	23/1/2007
Project Name:	WBS if created: 542-1479
Hayton Extension	

#### **Background Data:**

(include project source – study, strategy, public enquiry, resource consent, etc.) (include/append data needed for prioritisation process)

The previous Southern Arterial Transportation Study and the current CRETS Transportation Study both propose an extension of Hayton Road across the railway line to connect to Alloy Place and the Sockburn Roundabout which would eventually be replaced by offset tee traffic signals.

Consideration is being given to including a scheme assessment stage of the Hayton Extension in other Southwest Christchurch Urban Growth Area Plan work. Urban development of the Wigram Airfield and adjacent Awatea area are contemplated. The status of this process needs to be confirmed before commencing any separate scheme assessment. (see David Robinson)

This project may have a B/C from congestion and route shortening. LTNZ will require a formal B/C analysis.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

#### Issue, Problem or Deficiency to be addressed:

Movements along the existing route at the Symes intersection with Main South Rd are restricted due to safety concerns. The intersection is also at the toe of the Sockburn overbridge.

The CRETS study is showing that the top end of Springs Road near Main South Road would be congested without the Hayton Extension. The Parkhouse / Curletts intersection would also remain busy impacting on the efficiency of Curletts Road, as part of the ring road.

Extension of Hayton Road across the railway line provides a solution to these issues. However, initial discussions with OnTrack suggest that the Hayton Ext will either be difficult or more expensive than budgeted if OnTrack standards are to be complied with. (See David Robinson / Lorraine Wilmshurst). Also, closure of the Grove Rd railway crossing will be required.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

#### Possible solutions/suggestions:

(attach conceptual sketches<sup>1</sup>, if appropriate)

- A) Hayton Extension, or
- B) Consideration of signalisation of the Symes / Main South intersection once the state highway function is removed, or
- C) Upgrading of Springs Rd at the Main South approach to provide additional capacity.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

#### Proposed Budget Category: Road Network Improvements. Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007 Priority Rating (if relevant):

Upgrading of the intersection has been programmed to coincide with urban development of the

Wigram Airfield area.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is not to be a scheme plan drawn up by City Solutions, but more a transport planners pencil sketch that may be used for City Solutions to provide a Very Rough Order Costing if required. Its only purpose is for future reference as to what was the basis of the initial cost estimate. The project team should develop the scheme design. S:\Transport Planning\Templates\Project Scoping Template\Project Scopes\2007\_2008\Road Network Improvements\Project Scope - Haytons Extension.doc

#### Strategy or Strategic Objective(s) that the project will satisfy:-

Congestion, accessibility

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007

Cost Estimate (include how this was derived and the level of accuracy and year of \$\$):

\$1,042,378, Very Rough Order Cost, Oct 2006. See electronic (S:\Budget preparation and monitoring\0708\Road Network Improvements VROCs.xls) Budget or hardcopy(as yet unpacked David Robinson files) developer contributions worksheets.

Date last reviewed: 23/1/2007 Note: Costs have been inflated for 2007/08 LTCCP.

Proposed Funding Method (for unbudgeted projects):

Date last reviewed:

**Project Received by Capital Programme Team:** 

Project: Hayton Ext To Alloy Place - VROC 34/10/06	SCP - VROC	31/10/06								
tem Description	Ilnit I on	Ilnit II anoth/m Pate		Amount		Contin	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10401		turmmen
_		Julius Mar		Ĭ			commigency Total	S S		
1.1 Establishment				↔	15,246	<b>⇔</b>	3,049	₩	18,295	10% road construction cost
2 Road Construction									•	
2.1 Hayton Ext	٤	110 \$	1,386		152,460	₩	30,492	\$ 18	182,952	At 66% of four laning cost
2.2		\$ O	ı	↔	1	↔	ı	မှာ	ı	1
2.3		<del>\$</del>	J	↔	•	↔	E	υ	1	
2.4		<b>\$</b>	ı	↔	1	↔	ŀ	S	ſ	
2.5		<b>\$</b>	1	↔	1	₩	1	<del>(y)</del>	,	
2.6			1	↔	Ī	s	t	↔	1	
2.7.		\$	1	↔	•	<del>S</del>	ı	↔	,	
2.8			1	s	ı	<del>(S)</del>	1	↔	1	
2.9		9 0	į	↔	•	€9	ľ	↔	1	
		S <sub>C</sub>	RC Subtotal		152,460		30,492	\$	182,952	
3 Miscellaneous										
3.1 Rail Crossing	rs	₩	100,000	↔	100,000	₩	20,000	\$ 12	120,000	
3.2		\$ 0	1	₽	1	\$	•	s	1	
		TOTA	TAL	\$	267,706	\$	53,541	\$	321,247	
4 Land Purchase										
4.1 Unspecified	r <sub>S</sub>		500,000		500,000		100,000		000,00	600,000 Needs property but costs unknown
4.2			t	↔	ı	<del>69</del>	1	↔	•	
4.3		<del>\$</del>	ı	↔	ŧ	↔	r	↔	1	
4.4		<b>\$</b>	ı	<del>s)</del>	•	↔	1	↔	1	
4.5			i	↔	•	↔	ı	S	ı	
5 Land Legalisation				\$	50,000		10,000	\$	30,000	60,000 10% of land cost
		TOTAL	TAL	\$	550,000	\$ 1.	110,000	9 \$	000'099	
6 Professional Fees		!				,				
0.1 <u>(</u>				<b>∽</b>	8,031	<b>↔</b>	2,409			2.5%,0.75%,3.25% of sum 1,2&3
6.2 D&PD				s	13,385	s	2,676			4.167%,0.833%,5.0% of sum 1,2&3
6.3 MS&QA				s	8,031	<del>⇔</del>	1,606			2.5%,0.50%,3.0% of sum 1,2&3
(D&PD + MS&QA)				<del>63</del>	21,417	\$	4,282	69	25,699	
		TOTAI	TAL	s	29,448	æ	6,692	s	36,139	
		TOTAL	TAL	\$	847,154	\$ 1	170,233	\$1,0	\$1,017,387	