# Summary submission form

## Instructions

## Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: we are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission...

#### By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178 Our Community Plan Christchurch City Council PO Box 237 Christchurch 8003

#### By email

Please email your submission to: ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz
Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

#### On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at: http://www.ccc.govt.nz
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

# Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on the draft Our Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR Twish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held beween Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006					
Are you completing	j this submission:	For yourself	r yourself On behalf of a group or or		oup or organisation
If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?					
My submission refe	ers to: Full versi	on Page No.	Summ	ary version	Page No.
Do you also want to	respond to:	Development Contribu	itions Aquatio	: Facilities	Other
Contact Name	Parles	B. Tel	fer		
Organisation name (if applicable) Paulus Legal Sewices					
Contact Address 39 Liverton (Rescent					
Brynder 800,					
hone No. (day) 359-8141 Phone No. (evening) 359-8144					
Email (if applicable)					7700411111
Signature <u>(</u>	PAU		Date	5-	5-2006

39 Liverton Crescent
Bryndwr
Christchurch 8005
Phone 359 8147
Celluaphone 021 167 7942
Paulus@slingshot.co.nz

May 4, 2006

Our Community Plan Christchurch City Council Po Box 237 CHRISTCHURCH 8003

### Submission to the Christchurch City Council plan

I oppose the closing and the sale of the Bishopdale Library and other things and I wish to have my submission heard in person.

New Zealand has the distinction of being the most "refined corrupted country" in the OECD, as claimed by circles in the US State Department and the US Embassy staff here in Wellington. I fear that this refined corruption is influencing Christchurch City Council and New Zealand governmental policies. I base my experience acting as an Attorney and defending people in the courts. In one case, I was defending a person who was abducted into a mental hospital because he complained about a 470 square meter parcel of land that his demented mother had undersold to a neighbor for \$40,000 (worth \$120,000) in April 2005. Interesting enough, the land-purchasing solicitor said they never suggested the price of \$40,000. This property was supposed to have been valued by proper land Valuation Company, and it was they who came up with the price of \$40,000? Judge Bisbane at the second hearing saw things the same way as I did, he then immediately released my client. In the final hospital report, it stated in their wrapping up "that solicitors and others had acted inappropriately". However, disturbing things became apparent during the two court secessions held before my client's release. There was absence of any supporting paperwork regarding my client's abduction. It was stated at a court hearing that my client was accused by the hospital staff for not signing certain (council?) papers, and that he had been responsible for several Christchurch City Council investigations regarding the land sale of the century. My client said, "What papers", and this was first that he knew about them. The law is quite simple on this subject; for it is not a criminal offence by not signing papers. Working on the corruption theory, there were only two to profit from this land sale: one, the purchaser of the land

who stood to make around \$80,000 profit, and secondly, the council in rate revenue and charges in promoting this \$40,000 bargain property sale of the century. I could not imagine the purchaser or a lawyer having the influence and power to commit someone into a mental institution without any proper grounds. However, I have this strange premonition that certain unauthorized Council workers did involve the services of certain Police at a very high level. The police without doing any proper inquiries, or going through any proper procedures, or doing any paperwork in supporting their actions, used illegal stand over frightening bullying tactics on my client's doctor to have my client committed.

The law under section 7 of the Mental Health Act is very clear. An individual can be only committed into a mental institution for the following three reasons: One, they cannot wipe their backsides:

Two, they have had a serious attempt at contemplating suicide: Three, they are stalking their neighbors with an axe or a knife and so on: Fourthly, (which is unofficial and guite illegal in the courts system) if someone treads on the toes of a precious council or a government worker, or the police which affects their comfort zone and then anything goes. Simple you would think. When I explained the Mental Health Act to this certain woman judge at a court hearing, she then read the Act carefully and never commented. Then she committed my client for a further fortnight because my client might harm his sister? even though there was no supporting paperwork whatsoever. Shortly afterwards, showing hostility towards me. I was told by her to shut up and stop asking any more awkward questions, and this judge threaten me with two heavies acting in a menacing way. Anybody in New Zealand can have someone (a McKenzie friend, attorney or lawyer) to do their talking for him or her in the New Zealand court system. This gives you an idea of the amount of refined corruption that exists now in New Zealand. Initially my client was supposed to have banged a fence (once), climbing on cars (somebody else living down twenty doors down the road was doing this), and not signing papers and several council investigations – these were actual the grounds why he was forcefully abducted into hospital. Later it was stated that his sister who was fearing for her life, and yet when hospital staff rang her up, she was completely unaware of this and showed no interest in her or her daughter's personal safety. What a tangled web that certain police and council staff weaves. A bunch of liars.

Based on knowing how things or how corruption really works in New Zealand, I fear that the Christchurch City Council has a secret oriental cash buyer for the Bishopdale Community Center and the council is trying to invent an excuse to justify shutting the Bishopdale Library down altogether. Of course, any of the savings will go into the former Banks Peninsular residents' pockets, as the Mayor solemnly promised these former residents a large reduction in their rates if they voted for amalgamation with Christchurch. While the former Banks Peninsular residents clicking their heels while enjoying the champagne high lifestyle, the Bishopdale resident mugs pay, and loose out somewhere. The Christchurch City Council wildly claims that Christchurch is supposed to be a highly educated city; and yet it and the New Zealand government administration and Christchurch City Council desires the opposite and are

surreptitiously instigating a underhanded policy by denying books to the poor and working-class residents and their children in the Bishopdale area. I have no reservations in saying that the premeditated purpose is another form of social engineering - of turning the children of this area into future lackeys (a source of cheap labor, which can quickly dispensed with when no longer required) for the rest of lives. The girls of a private girls' school in Merivale enjoy a better library then do the Bishopdale residents. Even so, I say good on to private schools. In the words of Dr Lockwood Smith, "if had not been for the private schools, the New Zealand education system would have collapsed long ago". Perhaps the reason why Christchurch enjoys a higher education standard is because that there are more private schools.

What an evil dirty corrupt government we have that looks after the elite governmental servants and council workers at the expense of the poor. New Zealand unofficially is experiencing 15% year inflation and somehow deviously claims that this figure is only 3.5%. Somehow, nobody in political circles is willing to resurrect Mrs Hercus's supermarket trolley and see for themselves how prices have doubled in less then four years on most grocery items. Then there is house prices rises fuelled by the council to generate more rate income. To give you an idea of how bad things are in New Zealand. for every three tax dollars collected of which goes into what is commonly referred to as "the Cullen Fund", one dollar will disappear, vanish, evaporate, siphoned off, or become lost somehow in the system, one dollar will go towards the Higher Salaries Commission affected income people, and one dollar will go into so-called mainstream New Zealand. Moreover, you read between the lines of the Christchurch City Council plan you can see they want more money from the residents to pay for the government's so-called retirement scheme and to keep their precious elite council staff on comparable salaries, with back pays, and extras like their Higher Salaries counterparts do in Wellington. The more you give the Christchurch City Council, the more they want.

The Christchurch City Council claims to be very democratic and well liked. This is a falsehood, during a speech that I made in Redcliffs in 1998; I received a deafening applause after me saying that the Christchurch City Council "was a bunch of liars". The local body elections in New Zealand are a complete fraud. Only government-approved candidates are only the ones that are so-called elected into office during those local-body elections. The local-body elections in Christchurch and elsewhere resemble Belarus elections. For example:

At the last Christchurch City Council election, the results came out twelve days before the ballot box closes.

A certain newspaper and council staff tried to influence the outcome of an election

At the time, I received information that there are certain people going around collecting abandoned unopened voting papers and filing them in.

A British High Court Judge said after "the Birmingham Voter Fraud trial" in May 2005, "that local-body postal voting fraud was widespread throughout the country and was wide open to voter fraud".

Elite New Zealand government officials often make voting adjustments to the local bodies voting results.

New Zealand and Australian Taxpayer money is anonymously given out to certain third parties, then it is handed on to certain "approved" candidates. I have seen Council staff go red and start to cough and splutter when they hear the words "proper ballot box elections".

Back in 1996, I wrote a water report concerning the quality of water and that the Christchurch aquifer system was in danger not by seawater penetration, but by pollution entering aquifer level three and four, attributed by the Christchurch City Council excessive infill building policies, which are causing aquifer level one and two to diminish in quantity and quality.

The report contained this following information

One it was technically impossible owing to "the Laws of Physics" for seawater to penetrate the Christchurch aquifer system

The saline in the water in Heathcote well was attributed to latent volcanic activity and not seawater

The tritium counting (dating of water) showed that water under Cathedral Square was nearly hundred years old.

Through tritium testing it also revealed that there was abundant supply of water below Christchurch

However, aquifer one and two level have become much polluted, and the Christchurch City Council's infill housing was preventing the poisonous polluted residues from flushing out into the Avon River and so on.

The aquetards (the clay barriers) were suspect and polluted water could travel from aquifer level two into level three and so on.

Aquifer level three and four being a closed type would be unable to flush out the poisons and they would stay trapped forever.

Water meters charging would intensify the problem; it was far better for the Christchurch residents leaving their hoses on their lawns, for they were helping to flush out aquifer level two.

The technically illiterate Christchurch City Councilors and staff did not understand what I was talking about, I might as well been pointing to a map of Tipperary upside down. As soon as my back was turned, AGAIN they get talking on about seawater entering the Christchurch Aquifer System. There was even a shortage of water and so on.

Knowing how the council operates, anytime now there should be a shortage of water. The "Old faithful" yarn about the seawater entering the aquifer system should also reappear. What a bunch of liars.

Yours truly

Paulus B Telfer∕