

Our Community Plan Submission Form

Instructions

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission:

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:
ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz
Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on our draft Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered
OR
 I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission: For yourself *my family* On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to: Full version Page No. Summary version

Do you also want to respond to: Development Contributions Aquatic Facilities Other

Contact Name Catherine Tasker

Organisation name (if applicable) _____

Contact Address 5 Kingston Place
Chch 5

Phone No. (day) 3597648 Phone No. (evening) _____

Email (if applicable) _____

Signature C Tasker Date 5.5.06

Submission for 'our Community Plan'

Chesham City Council we would be pleased to see also

I am writing on behalf of many families of Chesham to object to the closing of Bishopdale Library as proposed on pp 8 of the 'Summary of the Draft of our Community Plan' under 'Services (and) Activities Reductions proposed'.

Bishopdale Library must be one of the best suburban libraries Chesham can boast. It is advantageously situated in the heart of a thriving community and developing small area with the Community Centre above + a new YMCA Cym about to open adjacent to it. Access to parking is excellent and the combination of other services + retail businesses in the small area ensures a steady flow of patrons.

With transport costs escalating, having a library within walking distance is an advantage worth keeping. One of the Council's stated aims is to strengthen communities, another to maintain services which rate payers find useful + beneficial. There can be no argument against the benefits our community enjoys from its local libraries, including the promotion of literacy skills in our children in an age of electronic entertainment which does not stimulate the brain like books do.

If Bishopdale Library was not used to half the extent it is, then the council would have grounds to propose its closure, but this is not the case. We hope the council will get its priorities right and keep such a wonderful asset for ratepayers in the north-west.

Our family is not alone in considering that money could be saved elsewhere therefore we have some alternative suggestions re reductions to make -:

1. If Jelly Park Pool is going to be upgraded (we think it's great the way it is) - p 15 - for \$11.6m, WHY is another pool proposed for the northern suburbs for \$8.5m? Are we not in danger of 'over pooling' for the area?

PTO

