

# Summary submission form

## Instructions

You may send us your submission...

### Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: we are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

### By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freeport 178  
Our Community Plan  
Christchurch City Council  
PO Box 237  
Christchurch 8003



### By email

Please email your submission to [ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz)  
Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

### On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at:  
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>  
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

## Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on the draft Our Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one  I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR  I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission:  For yourself  On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to:  Full version Page No. <sup>77</sup>86  Summary version Page No. <sup>7</sup>

Do you also want to respond to:  Development Contributions <sup>2256235</sup>  Aquatic Facilities <sup>130-134</sup>  Other

Contact Name Ian G. CHARK

Organisation name (if applicable) —

Contact Address 32 B Jeffreys Rd Christchurch 5.

Phone No. (day) 3519928 Phone No. (evening) 3519928

Email (if applicable) —

Signature Ian G. Chark Date 5.5.06

Page 77. I suggest more money than that prepared will be needed if the Port gallery is to meet the requirements of the Council.

P. 86. Libraries. Just when fuel prices are rising dramatically it is a short-sighted step to take to consider closing 3 libraries & the mobile library. Now more than ever many people especially older folk & the young without vehicles would find it difficult to easily obtain reading material & reading is our greatest recreation.

Community Halls. Some are apparently run down. Is this the fault of the Council? If they had been maintained they would probably remain in good use.

P. 7 of Summary & 86, 130 & 134 main book.

Swimming Pools. Quote - Recreation "we value leisure time". It's a strange way to value it when it is proposed to close 5 pools. I suggest the Council wait two years to see what effect the higher fuel prices has on people's mobility. To suggest another pool will be built either in Haverly or Haxwell is a strange solution. If only one is built the suburbs missing out will be severely disadvantaged. Please don't subscribe to the bean counter's philosophy of knowing the cost of everything & the value of nothing.

Libraries, parks, art galleries & museums don't cost - they pay in a myriad of intangible ways.

And the so-called saving is insignificant - only \$790,000 out of a budget total of over \$300 million.

P163 025 1035. Water Supply

Central Plains Water Trust.

Council statement "The Council hopes to mitigate the adverse effects of any proposed scheme on its own water supply."

So there will be adverse effects & what could or would be done to mitigate them?

Chlorination?

I believe that Christchurch's water supply is the important to be put in jeopardy by some scheme to largely benefit a relatively few people.

Our quality of water is vitally unique in the world & if we do not protect it we will be accused by later generations of acute irresponsibility if not vandalism. Our water is worth more than gold & is the greatest asset we have. It must be protected.

Ian O'Hank