

Our Community Plan Submission Form

Instructions

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission:

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:

ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at

<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>

Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on our draft Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered
OR
one I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission: For yourself On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to: Full version Page No. Summary version Page No.

Do you also want to respond to: Development Contributions Aquatic Facilities Other

Contact Name Jan Pole

Organisation name (if applicable) _____

Contact Address 41 Reynolds Ave

Bishopdale

Phone No. (day) _____ Phone No. (evening) 3599980

Email (if applicable) _____

Signature J Pole Date 30/4/05

Bishopdale Library Closure

I do not support the closure of the above library on the following basis:

1. This issue was never raised as a possibility at the last election campaign and there was no mandate given for the Council to close a much used facility.
2. The library services several primary (both state and private) schools in the area as well as intermediate and high schools. Access is safe for children.
3. The closest library at Papanui has poor access in that it is not within walking distance for the elderly, car parking is almost non-existent at the library and people should be encouraged to leave cars at home for short trips. This would only add to the congestion at Northlands.
4. As a community, we have a responsibility to encourage young people to use the resources available and libraries are a major resource.
5. I have read the published results of which councillors voted for and against the overall closures to be considered. What is of concern is that in the majority of cases, councillors voted against closures in their own areas and for closures in other areas. I would ask where is the team work that ratepayers expect from their council.

Visitor Centre

I do not believe that a visitor centre should be placed at the Botanical Gardens. When visiting another town or city, I expect to find the information centre in a central locality of the town visited. Such expenditure is of little benefit to the majority of local ratepayers and could be better utilized elsewhere.