

LTCCP 2006-16 Submission - Received by Email

Name:	Ann & Lynne [mailto:Lynnefl@xtra.co.nz]
CC:	
Sent:	Thursday, 16 March 2006 2:50 pm
Your Submission:	<p>Objection to proposed closure of small community libraries</p> <p>Dear Mayor and Christchurch City Council</p> <p>I wish to register my opposition to the proposed closure of smaller libraries in Christchurch. I suggest not spending large amounts on Council Buildings and the Botanic Gardens. A modest cut back here would enable the libraries to be funded.</p> <p>Local libraries cater in particular for the elderly and local children to walk to. Many of these important members in our communities would find travel further afield costly in terms of transport, time and energy expenditure.</p> <p>I ask for your leadership in seeing that these facilities are retained.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Lynne Florance 11 Flower St Bryndwr Christchurch 5</p>

LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 5 May 2006

I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this submission be considered.

I am completing this submission:
For yourself

Number of people you represent:

My submission refers to:

Page Number:
8

I also want to respond to: Other

Name: Lynne FLORANCE

Organisation:

Daytime Phone: 3596823

Evening Phone: 2596823

Email: Lynnefl@xtra.co.nz

Address:
11, Flower ST
Bryndwr
Christchurch 5

Your Submission:

Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft Community Plan?

Do you have any comments on groups of activities (The activities and services the Council provides?)

The proposed closure of Redwood, Bishopdale, Spreydon libraries, and mobile library service

These local facilities enable people to walk to the libraries (get books at the mobile service) As travel is increasingly costly it makes no sense to have to travel to a library further away

Libraries contribute to leisure activities of people in the community and therefore to their well-being (see p.7 under the outcome of recreation)

The amount needed to keep these facilities open is small compared with the costs of many of the projects-so re-distribute some of the large cost items e.g.

SUGGESTIONS

Cut back on costs of re-fit of Civic Offices (p4)-4.3 million

Cut back on Botanic gardens project (p4) 11.1 million

Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make?

Put the transport and housing systems back into the plan so that they cannot be sold off as assets!