

Summary submission form

Instructions

You may send us your submission...

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: we are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:
ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz
Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at:
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on the draft Our Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one	<input type="checkbox"/> I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006
Are you completing this submission:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For yourself <input type="checkbox"/> On behalf of a group or organisation
If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?	<input type="text"/>
My submission refers to:	<input type="checkbox"/> Full version <input type="checkbox"/> Page No. <input type="checkbox"/> Summary version <input type="checkbox"/> Page No.
Do you also want to respond to:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Development Contributions <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Facilities <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Contact Name

TIM DIE CASTRO

Organisation name (if applicable)

Contact Address

132A GLADDOCK RD

Phone No. (day)

3555-344

Phone No. (evening)

Email (if applicable)

tdecastro@xtra.co.nz

Signature

Tim Die Castro

Date

25/4/06

LTCCP 2006-16 Submission - Received by Email

Name: Tim de Castro [tdecastro@xtra.co.nz]

CC:

Sent: Mon 1/05/2006 11:12

Your Submission:

Ref our 'phone discussion this morning I follow with my concerns for ChCh City.

20 years ago when I re-located here ChCh proudly boasted its 'green belt' around much of the city - particularly to the south, west & nor'west. Further it's policy towards the then struggling Rolleston was one of 'we don't really want you there at all'. What pleased my family & myself was the council's policy on confining the city so that the emphasis was on development within the city boundaries. The policies of the day seemed to be against urban sprawl and we commended that.

So my 1st question is : what has happened the 'green belt' policy ? And why ?

In the worlds 'newer' civilizations urban sprawl is rife. Witness much of the USA, Australia and NZ. The ChCh policies that appealed to us 20 years ago have been eroded but worse still, is what faces us for the future. Look at the sprawl of Halswell, Templeton and Rolleston, Belfast, Burwood, and, further north Kaiapoi, Woodend and now Pegasus. I believe that ChCh citizens wanted something different for their city. Only now do we seem to be conscious of the strain all this development is placing on our roading system with congestion that is only going to deteriorate. Previously traffic flow was something ChCh was rightly proud of.

My next question is : Do we want our city to go the way of all the others with their significant urban sprawl problems and, associated with this, a deteriorating 'way of life' ?

Associated with the last question is : What about the consequences for the 'heart' of ChCh - the city centre ?

The timing for our urban sprawl could not be worse. We know the outlook for hydrocarbon fuels is for these to continue to rise in price as the world struggles to find new and costly sources of supply. The unstable situation in the Middle East and Central America as far as present supplies are concerned, is a continuing worry and will only aggravate the price of fuels.

This leads to my next question which is on public transport : what plans does the city have for the commuters from these outer suburbs and satellite towns ? Do the plans include fast commuter trains ?

I look forward to discussing these, and others relevant matters, during the hearings.

Thank you & regards,
Tim de Castro
132a Glandovey Road
Fendalton.
3555.344