

Our Community Plan Submission Form

Instructions

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission:

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freeport 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:

ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>

Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on our draft Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission: For yourself On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent? _____

My submission refers to: Full version Page No. Summary version Page No. 48/16

Do you also want to respond to: Development Contributions Aquatic Facilities Other

Contact Name STEPHEN FARGUELL

Organisation name (if applicable) _____

Contact Address 15 STOKES ST, SUMNER, CNCH

Phone No. (day) (03) 326 7663 Phone No. (evening) (03) 326 7663

Email (if applicable) _____

Signature A. Farguell Date 01.05.06

Our Community Plan Submission Form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views

See list of major projects in the summary document

Questions

- 1 Do you have any comments on the major projects in our draft Community Plan? page number **4**
- ① upgrading CHRISTCHURCH waste treatment plant
 - ② A revised developments contribution policy
 - ③ Fit out for new civic offices
 - ④ Tree renewal

- 2 Do you have any comments on groups of activities (the activities and services that Council provides)? page number **8**
- services and activities reduction proposal

- 3 Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make? page number **16?**
- Reduce minimization + disposal or wherever figures on cost of street sweeping occur as I was sure I'd seen them but not since — street cleaning — needs strong littering fines

For office use only

Submission #:

Referred to:

Date referred:

Date required:

Heard: Yes No

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission.

① pg 4A Upgrading Christchurch Waste treatment plant and various upgrades to sewerage treatment, water, roading and stormwater systems.

Considering the enormous pressure our aquifer supply, for even drinking water, is going to be under in the next 10 years I want the council to be putting its money from either a targetted rate or a loan designated to securing our pristine drinking water supply. (See also my comments on reconstituting and recycling water in Development Contributions Policy response.) If you as a council wish to insure "our quality of life", "wonderful lifestyle", "where people enjoy living", "where our environment supports the health of our people", and "attract people with diverse skills" as migrants, and "our heritage is protected for future generations" then make ongoing improvements to your plans to protect our most unique international resource - the water. Listen to Yuko Natasuhara, on page 20, who says "compared to other places the water here is fantastic". This is why I remain in Christchurch.

If the council fails to do more in raising the treatment protocols of our waste water through recognising the value of this essential ingredient while saving our drinking water from resource allocation more damage will be done to this city's profile than any amount of hard work in other areas can absorb.

& Janet (1/5 Stokess)
Summer
026 7663

① pg 4 ② "A revised Developments contributions policy, which provides for developers to pay a share of costs of infrastructure needed as a result of their development,"

Displace any contention or uncertainty with graphic financial responsibility for urban sprawl upon developers in this next 10 years.

"For example the cost of water and sewerage pipes alone has increased by up to 50%, these costs impact on both day to day operating costs and capital projects" - these costs can be attributed to somebody's

subdivision at one time or another and council needs to firmly bind knowledge of this into the costs of subdivisions. Growth, development, and profit have to be seen against the background of supportable

financial sustainability available to this council.

"As Christchurch continues to grow, the council must provide adequate services to maintain and improve the quality of life the residents expect, and protect the environment" - it's time new subdivision

becomes directly attributable in this cost and new development becomes less the burden of those of us already living here, and more clearly that of those profiting from it.

"The council is entitled to recover the reasonable costs incurred by

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

it for the matter which a fee is charged" to encourage superb
urban design and/sustained energy and waste management
plans" - Infrastructure needs resulting from subdivision could see a
timely change in the provision of water services into new developments
to require all appropriate functional water use to be from
reclaimed sources: Every subdivision beyond a specific area
is caused to buy into a local waste water/solid separation
facility before flow continues onto a treatment plant. Waste water
then enters a water purification plant that incorporates reservoiring
of the majority of storm water for purification and mixing with
this as a return supply for use in toilets, laundries, and all external
faucets including swimming pools. Unbound from this supply
aquifer water could be retained for drinking, cooking, bathing only
which the council could then sponsor to the regional council and cause
a real reduction in resource allocation stress as dialying and other
factory coolant and cleaning processes along with irrigation uses switch supply.
primarily revised Development contribution policy costs must
include long term landscape restoration and maintenance, sewerage
treatment, water treatment, pipe work, road work, lighting & traffic

① pg 7 (B)

③

mitigation, traffic management and also consider the displacement of any previous agricultural, horticultural or other landuse costs to the local economy in order to reflect the true costs of urbanisation.

If doing so means new developments: starts and becomes pricey or is subsidised to shift within certain boundaries well at least costs will have become open and realistic.

L. Jarnell

(15 stokest, summer)
(03) 326 7663

① pg 4 ② Fit-out for new Civic offices: \$4.3 million;

I hope these costs are specifically related to issues of functionality such as water leaks, heating, and new technology. The regular upgrading of décor is not something I respect. If anything council buildings ought to be conserved. What need is there for the council offices to feel 'fresh' as long as the air is healthy, clean, and without odor. Staff need to bring their own vigor - ask any student who has to strive even when their circumstances aren't plush.

I visit your offices and unless there are hidden crevices of decay they seem glorious certainly beyond my ability to sense disharmony and deterioration where there is a need to spend 4x the cost of your services and activity reductions on glamping up the pad!.

A. Jinnah
(1/5 stroke st)
Summer
(03) 3267663

① pg 4 ① Tree Renewal \$17.2 million

- The longer term maintenance of some trees and reducing the disposal of others as some species loose favour unnecessarily while relaxing the enforcement of species protocols outside of any new developments instead of so much beautiful but expensive retrofitting of landscapes.

Such change would unfortunately slow the renewal of natives into our landscapes but might not bite so much financially into the loss of other services and amenities particularly cycleways. Unless of course there are really good reasons for the widespread decimation of exotic tree species in council care.

A. J. J. J.

(1/5 stakest
Summer
(03) 326 7663)

2

Do you have any comments on groups of activities (the activities and services that Council provides)? page number

pg 8

services and activities reduction proposed

② pg 8 - services and activities' reductions proposed.

"A city where residents have a wonderful lifestyle and opportunities for learning, recreation, and fun"

The council is entitled to recover the reasonable costs incurred by it for the matter which a fee is charged"

A target rate is proposed for the 10.3 million Central City Mall redevelopment"

Council's reduction proposals for both library and pool access to Red Woods, Bishopdale, and Spreydon libraries, the mobile library and Edgeware, Belfast, Templton, Woolston, and Sockburn pools seem to contradict at least these statements from this year's documentation.

Target community localities with community rate increases to support local community focused services and activities

- The small valuable outdoor pools used by locals who don't want to fight 100's of screaming people in unpleasant unhealthy hyperpools. People who instead want a relaxing swimming experience in their own neighbourhood.

- Quiet local libraries and the mobile service where local

people who want a relaxed and uncluttered borrowing experience without travelling to the next suburb and are supported in that desire as not being unreasonable

If council has problems rationalizing low public attendance of such services or activities with the huge numbers you'd be able to compare them with try locally raising the profile of such sites.

I lived in St Albans for years before realising that a pool existed in Edgeware and if I had known I would have purchased it more before leaving the neighbourhood

A. J. J. J.
1/5 Stoke St
Summer
(03) 326 7613

estimation and advise based on numerous local enquiries that only 2000 had been 2000... The small volume of enquiries... to 2000 of enquiries... people who instead want a relaxing swimming experience in their own backyards

Quiet local libraries and the public service where local

? 9/16 ③

Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make? page number

street cleaning - needs strong littering fines

Multiple horizontal lines for writing additional comments.

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission.

For office use only

Submission #:

Referred to:

Date referred:

Date required:

Heard: Yes No

③ pg? Street cleaning - needs strong littering fines.

Street cleaning in this city is a laudible joke. When I see so many short-sighted disinterested citizens around or the evidence of their discarded rubbish I wish for an unappetizing instant that we could be like Singapore. There is not much of any real civic good about the way Singapore is run but there the attitude towards fining citizens for such societal transgressions might help here to convince those of a less conscientious nature to at least feel doubt, guilt, or even fear which may lead eventually to a change in littering behaviour. I find it disheartening that even living in Summer after the weekend or rubbish day the evident neglect and pollution of our city environment and the failure to comply with councils very basic rubbish disposal requirements demands a totalitarian response akin to Singapore's. Good solid fines to raise awareness that environmental pollution is no joke here and cameras to spot them at it...yeah!

A. Jemel
(15 Stoke St
Summer
(03) 326 7663)