

Summary submission form

Instructions

You may send us your submission...

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: we are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freeport 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:

ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at:

<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>

Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on the draft Our Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission: For yourself On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to: Full version Page No. Summary version Page No.

Do you also want to respond to: Development Contributions Aquatic Facilities Other

Contact Name Nate Cull

Organisation name (if applicable) _____

Contact Address 4/77 Fairfield Ave


Phone No. (day) 981 5178 Phone No. (evening) 981 5178

Email (if applicable) nate@natecull.org

Signature N Cull Date 4/5/2006

Summary submission form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views



See list of major projects in the summary document

Questions

1 Do you have any comments on the major projects in our draft Our Community Plan? page number

I am curious as to why the full cost of the new Council building (in the vicinity of \$80-100 million) is not listed under capital works, when it by far outweighs the next largest (bus exchange at around \$60 million).

Also would like to request that CityCare remains as a strategic asset as it seems like a lot of vital infrastructure knowledge could be lost if it goes.

City Housing should also remain.

2 Do you have any comments on groups of activities (the activities and services that Council provides)? page number

I would like to see the continuing development of cycleways as the fuel price rise is likely to continue.

I am not clear whether the \$59 million for the bus exchange expansion includes redevelopment of the central exchange or creation of new exchanges, but safety and lighting at the exchange needs to be improved especially on the outdoor platforms.

3 Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make? page number

The timeframe for consultation on this document seems very short for a ten-year plan. There is also little in the way of context to compare projected funding levels against previous annual plans. The document is well presented but lacks information.

Why is the target for satisfaction with public involvement in decision making only set at 65%? This seems too low.

For office use only

Submission #:

Referred to:

Date referred:

Date required:

Heard: Yes No

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission.