

# Our Community Plan Submission Form

## Instructions

### Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission:

### By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178  
Our Community Plan  
Christchurch City Council  
PO Box 237  
Christchurch 8003

### By email

Please email your submission to:  
ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz  
Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

### On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at <http://www.ccc.govt.nz>  
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

## Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on our draft Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one  I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered OR  I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission:  For yourself  On behalf of a group or organisation *SEE LETTER*

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to:  Full version *136* Page No. *(10/141)*  Summary version  Page No.

Do you also want to respond to:  Development Contributions  Aquatic Facilities  Other

Contact Name MR. W. M. LEAMON

Organisation name (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Contact Address 80 N. Z. POST SHOP, EDGEWARE, C.I., CHRISTCHURCH.

Phone No. (day) NONE / NOT APPLICABLE Phone No. (evening) N.A.

Email (if applicable) N.A.

Signature W. M. Leamon Date 4/5/2006.

# Our Community Plan Submission Form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views

See list of major projects in the summary document

## Questions

① Do you have any comments on the major projects in our draft Community Plan? page number 136  
*SEE: LETTER 4<sup>th</sup> MAY '06 & COPIES OF 20<sup>th</sup> NOV. 05 ENCLOSED.*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

② Do you have any comments on groups of activities (the activities and services that Council provides)? page number         

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

③ Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make? page number         

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

For office use only

Submission #:

Referred to:

Date referred:

Date required:

Heard:  Yes  No

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission.

"One Way Community Plan", Submission, 4<sup>th</sup> May 2006  
CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL OFFICES.

P.O. BOX 237, CHRISTCHURCH, C.I.

W.M. LEAMON, MAIL POINT,  
8 N.Z. POST SHOP, EDGEWIRE  
CHRISTCHURCH. 8001

Dear Sir or, Madam.

In making this submission on just one big issue, already addressed in past years, i.e. "Waste Management Plan" i.e. November/December, one realises that an individual recommendation is lacking, in what is, the support of a wide public opinion. This must always be the way until, perhaps, the innovation or idea, call it how you will, is more widely understood. So, I have initially, acquainted Mr Ralph Ross of the Shirley Residents Group, of my submitted "Waste Management" innovation regarding a "One Way" collection system for the city. I do, again, fully believe the economies implicit in this suggestion will be of benefit, whether a one week, two week, or other interval, is finally settled upon. It must be made lucid that...

... P.T.O. ...

2. . . the present and long-term method of the transfer to the distant Waipara-Kaiti Valley-Landfill site, will be assisted in the financial outlay necessary, because of the gain from "expense" in this one-way proposal.

I would hope that the Stanley Residents will influence other Residential bodies in supporting this plan, to save time, wear and tear on vehicles and . . . fuel!

Time does not allow a wider study of the full range of the Council Community Plan, but support to; Library Restriction-petitions, and Environment Canterbury, Plant Control Strategy plans, by me are in hand. I have written to the Mayor and Minister of Housing and the Conservation portfolio, on matters affecting N.Z. and the city, awaiting their consideration.

I remain, Yours Faithfully,  
- W.M. Lehman.

Waste Management Plan Submission 20<sup>th</sup> November  
CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL,  
P.O. BOX 237, CHRISTCHURCH, C.I. 2005

:W.M. LEAMON, MAIL-POINT,  
8 N.Z. POST-SHOP, EDGEMARE  
CHRISTCHURCH, 8001.

Dear Sir, or Madam.

I believe there are other options to your three-point plan. These have already been submitted to the Mayor in two letters of 20<sup>th</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup> March 2005, preceded by a 3 page letter of 11<sup>th</sup> March 2005. Copies of these were passed to M.P. Jim Barnett on 21<sup>st</sup> October 05. This latter meeting, included other matters and, being a council plan, will only concern, in his personal, not national, interest as a resident - Mr Barnett, possibly.

This letter concerns Questions in 2<sup>nd</sup> part of your form. I am an invalid, landlord at 47 Skipton Street, and not given to speaking in public as your submitters may choose to do. Here are my points. Includes 3\* . . . P.T.O. . . . .

\* BOOKLET

2/  
1985-1987

I have acted as janitor/gardener on several rented\* properties numbering half a dozen mainly. Between January 1982 and October 1997, I was in a 6-apartment, 2 floor house set on a half acre section in Linwood (Central city). This spanned the period of old dustbin, black biodegradable plastic bags. Firstly, as pointed out by a correspondent to the press some 10 days or less ago the new wheeler-bin schemes demand at least once monthly detergent type hygiene, as opposed to the grime of old bins. And, the bio-plastic black bags are. The experience of mine in Linwood brought forth an interesting approach by Council collectors - extremely tough in respect of your schemes. Name is an attempt by individual collectors to forage ahead of trucks to gather bags on to one side of a street, Armagh in my case so, that one trip down the road took bags away. Your stated plan to spread collection over 2 weeks is not something I recommend in view of hygiene standards.

3) Whether this covers wheeler-bins, as opposed to the similar size iron round dust-bins of pre-1986?

Some ingenuity needs to be applied if a one-way collection is to be implemented. Regular <sup>once weekly</sup> and transport times make such a method very <sup>\*commendable</sup>. To explore this in a preliminary way, the centre of many roads, already quite wide, offers space to establish clearly indicated collection stations, painted on to road surface. A circle, outlined or spot, a square or triangle, alternatively. But, what of say, Memorial Avenue with its medians or busy traffic feeder roads. Crawford Street, Colombo Street? A one side solution seems a possibility as opposed to the present two-way collections in such highways. Ingenuity in narrow cul-de-sacs and back streets could benefit by a one way collection as much as possible. Time and savings in vehicle wear and fuel is obvious.

\*COMMENDABLE

... P.T.O. ...

4/ As a single-person household, I can now see the need of resident groups in large apartment, and large house, quotas, as against my personal need, cut down, to sharing a green crate with a small 3, 4 family over the road and, a year's outlay of more like 220 kilos of waste, 12 bags for day, green trimmings, weeds, and (the heaviest of newspapers) adding a 2-4 weekly extra amount\* of 220 kilos? more or less, to the stockpile, here on Fridays, A.M./P.M. Think of the time factor as an "one-way" to the present. If 75% of greater city area could get "one-way", that would be an extra bonus to say the least. 90% better still, I add.

\*ANNUALLY 120-130 KILOS WEEKLY RATE (2 1/2 KILOS)

I have your "Zero Waste" form brochure, and I urge you all to give earnest consideration to this bright action of collecting waste in 1986? I stand to be corrected on this last point.

Yours Most Faithfully, William Leamon