

8. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

The Board **received** the following deputations:

8.1 KINSEY TERRACE - INGRID GELDOFF

Ms Geldoff spoke briefly in support of the proposed concept plan for the redevelopment of Kinsey Terrace and indicated that she felt that within the budget constraints a considerable amount had been achieved for the street and that she would like to see the proposed plan proceed.

8.2 KINSEY TERRACE - DAVID TUCKER

Mr Tucker spoke regarding two areas of concern in the plan relating to:

- The road edge by numbers 36-38 Kinsey Terrace.
- The turn-around at the west end of the street.

In respect to the road edge at numbers 36-38 Kinsey Terrace he noted that the GeoTech Ltd report had indicated sound base rock and indicated he felt that the Council should either investigate further the provision of a retaining wall or at the least provide a guard rail.

Mr Tucker also commented that he did not consider the turning circle at the western end of the street to be sufficiently large and would be unsafe and that this should be increased either by reclaiming legal road or providing a "Y" type solution.

8.3 KINSEY TERRACE - FAY TUNNICLIFFE

Ms Tunnicliffe tabled a petition signed by 31 residents of Kinsey Terrace, the prayer of which read:

"We the undersigned residents at the west end of Kinsey Terrace request the Christchurch City Council give more consideration to the west end for safe access, vehicle parking and turn around as was the unanimous decision of the residents of Kinsey Terrace in the 'Our Street' meeting process."

Ms Tunnicliffe advised that she considered the street renewal project should proceed but expressed concern that this did not provide sufficient parking for residents and visiting tradespeople and that insufficient funding had been provided to allow for a solution to the issues identified by residents at the western end of the Terrace. She also requested that the existing informal path by number 14 Kinsey Terrace be retained.

8.4 KINSEY TERRACE - MS KATHLEEN GUY

Ms Guy expressed concern at the proposed concept plan in regards to the omission of a new purpose built retaining wall in the vicinity of 36-38 Kinsey Terrace and also in respect to the turn-around area at the western end of the street. She requested that additional funding be provided to allow the provision of a retaining wall and guard rail, additional parking and an improved turn-around area.

8.5 MOORHOUSE AVENUE/BARBADOES STREET - BARBADOES CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT GROUP

Mr Don Whelan, Chairman of the Group, attended together with Reverend Father John Fitzmaurice, Catholic Cathedral and Ms Linda Oden, Manager, Christchurch Music Centre.

The deputation expressed its concern at the proposed intersection project in terms of its possible impacts on the area as a consequence of the removal of car parking and the installation of cycle lanes.

Representatives of the group spoke and expressed their specific concerns at the project in terms of:

- Traffic noise.
- Safety - with the placing of cycleways close to footpaths and egress points and shared use.
- Vibration from closer and increased traffic flows.

The deputation sought deferral or cancellation of the project to allow for more consideration of the concerns raised by them.

8.6 CHESTER STREET WEST - INNER CITY WEST NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION

Mr Bruce Bellis, Secretary of the Association, attended and requested that consideration of this item by the Board be deferred to allow his Association to consider the report in more detail.

The deputations as above (refer to items 8.1-8.6) were considered in association with the relevant reports on the agenda.

8.7 MS SARAH BROWN - 27 ELY STREET

Ms Brown addressed the Board regarding the present situation in respect to the erection of a new building adjacent to her 130 year old cottage in Ely Street.

Ms Brown indicated that she felt the City Plan should better cater for the protection of historic properties and that Special Amenity Areas (SAMs) should have greater enforcement and monitoring.

The Board **decided** to recommend to the Council that the review of the Special Amenity Area (SAMs) rules and design and appearance rules be given urgent priority in 2007.

(Note: The above recommendation is also included in Part A of this report.)