

4. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

4.1 HEATHCOTE RAILWAY BRIDGE ENHANCEMENT

Jim de Malmanche, a local architect addressed the Board regarding an idea for the enhancement of the Heathcote Railway Bridge.

The Board **decided** to request a report from the General Manager City Environment which is to include current ownership details, costs involved, entranceway treatments and artwork enhancement by its first meeting next year.

4.2 STRUCTURE ON STREET APPLICATION FOR 40 KINSEY TERRACE

Kathleen Guy and Roger Buck addressed the Board regarding the structure on street application for 40 Kinsey Terrace.

They expressed their concern over the design and location of the proposed garage at number 40 and the impact that this structure would have on residents living on the street. They suggested that the issues of road access, parking, safety and aesthetics be considered as a whole, rather than approving an application on a case by case basis.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 6 of this report.

4.3 STRUCTURE ON STREET APPLICATION FOR 40 KINSEY TERRACE

Lloyd Bathurst, a local resident, addressed the Board regarding the structure on street application for 40 Kinsey Terrace.

He expressed his support of the application, as the proposed garage would allow residents and visitors who used Kinsey Terrace to more easily turn around, as public parking spaces, which make the turn around area smaller, would be removed.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 6 of this report.

4.4 STRUCTURE ON STREET APPLICATION FOR 40 KINSEY TERRACE

Ingrid Geldoff, the owner/applicant of 40 Kinsey Terrace, addressed the Board regarding the structure on street application for 40 Kinsey Terrace.

She stated that the proposed garage would allow residents and visitors who used Kinsey Terrace to more easily turn around as public parking spaces, which make the turn around area smaller, would be removed. She stated that road access and overall street safety would also be improved.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 6 of this report.

4.5 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Mike Olmstead, a local resident, addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

He expressed his objection to the removal of the trees and stated that the proposed alterations/enhancements in the reserve could be done without the removal of the trees.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.

4.6 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Adrienne Jackson, a local resident, addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

She expressed her concerns about the consultation process, and gave her opinion that the language used in both the original and revised consultation documents was simple and emotive, and that this, combined with short timeframes, was unreasonable and gave the community no certainty that its interests were being safeguarded.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.

4.7 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Brian Swale addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

He submitted that the following issues were of concern: the consultation period, the design of the questionnaire and consultation documents. He also stated that incorrect details had been included in the latest concept plan.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.

4.8 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Kathleen Guy on behalf of the Clifton Neighbourhood Committee addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

She expressed her concern about the simplicity of the original consultation document and stated that the consultation process was 'developer' rather than community driven.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.

4.9 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Jane Bryden and Richard Cowley addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

They stated that there had now been three rounds of consultation allowing the community more than enough time to present its view, that the current proposal was a compromise and that oral submissions should carry no more weight than written submissions.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.

4.10 BROWNLEE RESERVE CONCEPT PLAN

Nicky Geddes, on behalf of Globe Holdings Inc, addressed the Board regarding the Brownlee Reserve Concept Plan.

She reaffirmed that there are four main reasons for the proposal, being safety, shading, views and aesthetics.

The Board's decision in relation to this matter is recorded in clause 10 of this report.