

**RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA**

TUESDAY 5 JUNE 2012

AT 5.30PM

**IN THE COMMUNITY ROOM,
UPPER RICCARTON LIBRARY, 71 MAIN SOUTH ROAD
UPPER RICCARTON**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941 5133 or 027 434 7541

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

- PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**
- PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**
- PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

INDEX	CLAUSE	
PART B	1.	APOLOGIES
PART C	2.	CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES –15 MAY 2012
PART B	3.	DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT
PART B	4.	PETITIONS
PART B	5.	NOTICES OF MOTION
PART B	6.	CORRESPONDENCE
PART B	7.	BRIEFINGS
PART C	8.	APPLICATION TO THE RICCARTON WIGRAM 2011/12 YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – GRACE ELIZABETH PRENDERGAST
PART C	9.	TOWER STREET: PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION & P120 PARKING RESTRICTION

5. 6. 2012

- 2 -

- | | | |
|---------------|------------|--|
| PART C | 10. | RICCARTON/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE
REPORT OF MEETING HELD ON 9 MAY 2012 |
| PART B | 11. | COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
11.1 Riccarton Bush Trustee
11.2 Approval for the Board Submission on Annual Plan
11.3 Update on Recovery Discussions |
| PART B | 12. | ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE |
| PART B | 13. | MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS |

1. **APOLOGIES**

2. **CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES –15 MAY 2012**

The report of the Board's ordinary meeting of 15 May 2012 is **attached**.

CHAIRPERSON'S RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 15 May 2012 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

3. **DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

4. **PETITIONS**

5. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

6. **CORRESPONDENCE**

7. **BRIEFINGS**

8. APPLICATION TO THE RICcarton WIGRAM YOUTH DEVELOPMENT SCHEME – GRACE ELIZABETH PRENDERGAST

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Community Services, DDI 941-8534
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Recreation and Sports Unit
Author:	Lisa Gregory, Community Recreation Adviser

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an application for funding from the Riccarton/Wigram 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. The applicant, Grace Elizabeth Prendergast, is a 19 year old student who lives in Halswell and is seeking Community Board support to travel to Lithuania to represent New Zealand and compete at the Under 23 Rowing World Championships. The trip will take place from 11 – 15 July 2012.
3. Grace began rowing in 2007 as a member of the Villa Maria College team and instantly loved the sport. It only took Grace three years to gain selection for a New Zealand team and in 2010 she competed at the Junior World Championships in the Women's Four. Grace's team came away as World Junior Champions winning the gold medal
4. From here Grace was selected as a reserve for the Under 23 New Zealand Women's Eight where she trained along side her team as well as the rest of the New Zealand team. Grace's dedication and hard work paid off and she was selected as a member of the Under 23 New Zealand Women's Rowing Four in 2012. Selection in this team has meant relocation to Cambridge in March 2012 where the team is training until the end of June and will then travel to Lithuania and train for a further two weeks prior to the Championships.
5. Grace's short term goal is to win another gold medal at the World Championships and long term goal is to compete at the 2016 Olympic Games.
6. To date Grace has not raised any funding for her trip, but has indicated that the Canterbury Rowing Association will be holding a fundraising dinner to support all Canterbury rowers competing. Rowing New Zealand will be contributing \$2,000 to each New Zealand athlete and Grace's parent will provide the financial support that is outstanding.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

7. The following table provides a breakdown of funding requested:

GRACE ELIZABETH PRENDERGAST	
EXPENSES	Cost (\$)
Relocation / Rent / Karapiro training expenses	\$ 3,300
International Airfares and transfers	\$ 4,027
Accommodation / Meals	\$ 3,630
Travel Insurance	\$ 67
Team Uniform	\$ 915
Vehicle Boat Transport	\$ 165
Total Cost	\$ 12,104
Support from Rowing New Zealand	\$ 2,000
Amount Requested from the Community Board	\$ 10,000

8. This is the first time the applicant has applied to the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board for funding. At the time of writing the report there was a balance of \$4,903 remaining in the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's 2011/12 Youth Development Scheme.

5. 6. 2012

- 5 -

Do the Recommendations of the Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

9. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

10. There are no legal issues to be considered.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

11. Aligns with page 184 in the 2009-19 LTCCP.

Do the recommendations of the report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

12. Yes, see page 172, Community Support, Council Activities and Service, Grants.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

13. Application aligns with the Council's Youth Strategy and local Community Board objectives.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. All appropriate consultation has been undertaken.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Community Board support the application and allocate \$600 to Grace Elizabeth Prendergast as a contribution towards her trip to Lithuania from the 2011/12 Youth Development Fund.

9. TOWER STREET: PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTION & P120 PARKING RESTRICTION

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, City Environment Group, DDI 941-8608
Officer responsible:	Unit Manager, Transport and Greenspace
Author:	Steve Dejong, Traffic Engineer

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is to seek the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board's approval to install a No Stopping Restriction and a P120 Parking restriction in Tower Street.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. Tower Street is classified as a local road under the Christchurch City Plan.
3. Council have received a request from the New Zealand Police requesting the installation of a No Stopping Restriction on the inside bend of the road outside the Hornby Police Station located at 9 Tower Street.
4. Following the recent earthquake activity, police resources have been reallocated to various locations around the city. This includes 30 additional staff that now operate from the Hornby station site. The staff numbers have also increased the level of activity on the site and this includes additional emergency vehicle call outs from this location. These vehicles in particular require safe and efficient access to and from their site.
5. The existing road environment provides unrestricted parking directly outside the police station and this is frequently utilised for long term commuter parking purposes. Owing to the proximity of the police station's vehicle crossings and the sharp bend in the road, the kerbside vehicles are restricting visibility for emergency vehicles exiting the site; that often do so with haste.
6. It is not normal practice to install No Stopping Restrictions on bends within local streets unless there are extenuating circumstances. It is recognised that the presence of No Stopping lines will likely result in an increase in traffic speeds around the corner, however as the proposed location of these No Stopping lines is directly outside the Hornby Police Station it is not considered that this will be an issue in this instance.
7. Installing a No Stopping Restriction around the bend in the road and between the police station's vehicle crossings will improve the advance visibility for vehicles approaching the site from the north and also improve visibility for vehicles exiting the site (refer **Attachment 1**).
8. On the same eastern side of Tower Street at the end near the intersection with Brynley Street is an existing P10 Parking Restriction. It is understood that this is historical and links back to when post office boxes occupied the site. Owing to the surrounding land use activity, there appears to be little use for 10 minute parking in this location.
9. It is proposed to replace the existing P10 with a P120 which will provide a more practical use of the road space and would be more conducive and compatible with the adjoining and nearby land use activities. Retaining time restricted parking, as opposed to removing the parking restriction altogether, would ensure that the parking spaces still turn-over and would not be occupied by long term (all-day) parking.
10. On 2 May 2012, staff visited the tenants occupying the properties of 2 Brynley Street (Barker and Associates – Barristers and Solicitors) and 5 Tower Street (Hornby Dental Centre) which could be affected by this proposal. They have both indicated that the existing P10 receives little or no use and that they have no concerns with it being removed or changed.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

11. The estimate cost of this proposal is \$300.00

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

12. The installation of road markings and signs is within the LTCCP Streets and Transport Operational Budgets.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

13. Part 1, Clause 5 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2008 provides Council with the authority to install parking restrictions by resolution.
14. Community Boards have delegated authority from the Council to exercise the delegations as set out in the Register of Delegations. The list of delegations for Community Boards includes the resolution of parking restrictions and traffic control devices.
15. The installation of any signs and/or markings associated with traffic control devices must comply with the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

16. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

17. Aligns with the Streets and Transport activities by contributing to the Council's Community Outcomes-Safety and Community.

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

18. As above.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

19. The recommendations align with the Council Road Safety Strategy 2004 and Parking Strategy 2003.

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

20. As above.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

21. Consultation was not undertaken for the No Stopping Restrictions as this is considered a safety issue and the occupiers of the directly affected property have requested this change.
22. On 2 May 2012, staff visited the tenants occupying the properties of 2 Brynley Street (Barker and Associates – Barristers and Solicitors) and 5 Tower Street (Hornby Dental Centre) which could be affected by this proposal. They have both indicated that the existing P10 receives little or no use and that they have no concerns with it being removed or changed.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board approve:

- (a) That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Tower Street commencing at a point 114 metres south of its intersection with Brynley Street and extending in a southerly direction around the curve to the south east for a distance of 38 metres.
- (b) That the parking of vehicles currently restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes on the east side of Tower Street commencing at a point 28 metres south of its intersection with Brynley Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 23 metres, be revoked.

5. 6. 2012

- 8 -

- (c) That the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes on the east side of Tower Street commencing at a point 28 metres south of its intersection with Brynley Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 23 metres.

10. RICcarton/WIGRAM TRANSPORT AND GREENSPACE COMMITTEE – REPORT OF MEETING HELD ON 9 MAY 2012

General Manager responsible:	General Manager, Regulation and Democracy Services
Officer responsible:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser
Author:	Liz Beaven, Community Board Adviser

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to submit the outcomes of the Transport and Greenspace Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 9 May 2012.

The meeting was attended by Sam Johnson (Acting Chairperson), Mike Mora, Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Judy Kirk and Peter Laloli.

The Committee acknowledged the absence of the Chairperson, Ishwar Ganda who passed away on 24 March 2012.

1. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

JOE’S GARAGE PARKING – LESLIE STREET, RICcartON

Mr Athol Hamilton addressed the Board regarding the concerns he had surrounding the parking area outside of the new restaurant, Joe’s Garage in Leslie Street. The restaurant and relocated central city businesses are attracting a lot of traffic into the area and this additional parking is causing difficulties in such a narrow street. Mr Hamilton would like to see yellow parking lines painted on the road directly outside the restaurant.

The Acting Chairman thanked Mr Hamilton for attending the meeting. The Committee’s recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 6.1 of this report.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

3. BRIEFINGS

Nil.

4. MATTERS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE BY THE BOARD

4.1 Manurere Street – Heavy Transport Parking

The Board at its 31 January 2012 meeting referred the matter of heavy transport parking on Manurere Street to the Transport and Greenspace Committee.

Staff advised the Committee that heavy transport parking is hindering traffic flow and damaging berms in Manurere Street. Staff advised the Committee on the purpose of the Traffic and Parking Bylaw and how the bylaw is enacted.

The Committee’s recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 6.2 of this report.

4.2 Waimairi Road – Parking between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace

The Board referred the matter of installing parking restrictions in Waimairi Road between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace to the Transport and Greenspace Committee.

Staff advised the Committee that there has been a resident’s request for parking restrictions on Waimairi Road between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace. The Committee were advised that

Waimairi Road is a collector road and is designed to accommodate parking and large volumes of traffic.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 6.3 of this report.

5. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

Committee members held a general discussion on the following matters:

5.1 Columbia Avenue

The Committee were advised that there is an issue in Columbia Avenue where heavy transport cannot pass each other if there are trucks parked on the side of the road, although the width of the road is deemed as wide enough. There is also long term parking of articulated trailers and drivers leaving their units in the street to have maintenance/repairs done.

The Committee **requested** to meet with the Trucking Federation to discuss matters of mutual interest in relation to heavy transport in the Ward.

5.2 Greenhurst Street

The Committee were advised that heavy transport use Greenhurst Street from Main South or Middlepark Roads to avoid using the Sockburn Roundabout. Staff have discussed the issue with the Trucking Federation but residents are still reporting trucks using Greenhurst Street.

The Committee's recommendation on this matter is recorded under clause 6.5 of this report.

6. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Joe's Garage Parking – Leslie Street, Riccarton

That the Board seek staff advice on the installation of parking restrictions outside Joe's Garage in Lesley Street, Upper Riccarton.

6.2 Manurere Street – Heavy Transport Parking

That the Board take no further action at this time in relation to heavy vehicle parking in Manurere Street.

6.3 Waimairi Road - Parking between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace

That the Board install parking restrictions in Waimairi Road between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace.

On being put to the meeting the motion was declared **lost** on Division No 1 by 4 votes to 2, the voting being as follows:

For (2): Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen

Against (4): Mike Mora, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, Peter Laloli

That the Board do not install 120 parking restriction in Waimairi Road between Kiltie Street and Athol Terrace.

On being put to the meeting the motion was declared **carried** on Division No 2 by 4 votes to 2, the voting being as follows:

For (4): Mike Mora, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, Peter Laloli

Against (2): Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen

6.4 Columbia Avenue

That the Board **request** staff to work with the Trucking Federations and the developer of Columbia Avenue to resolve the issue of inconsiderate heavy transport parking.

6.5 Greenhurst Street

That the Board **request** a staff report to the Transport and Greenspace Committee on heavy transport movements through Greenhurst Street and advise on appropriate remediation.

- 11. **COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**
 - 11.1 **RICCARTON BUSH TRUSTEE**
 - 11.2 **APPROVAL FOR THE BOARD SUBMISSION ON ANNUAL**
 - 11.3 **UPDATE ON RECOVERY DISCUSSIONS**

- 12. **ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

- 13. **MEMBERS' QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

RICCARTON/WIGRAM COMMUNITY BOARD
AGENDA CONTINUED

TUESDAY 5 JUNE 2012

AT 5.30PM

**IN THE COMMUNITY ROOM,
UPPER RICCARTON LIBRARY, 71 MAIN SOUTH ROAD
UPPER RICCARTON**

Community Board: Mike Mora (Chairperson), Helen Broughton, Jimmy Chen, Sam Johnson, Judy Kirk, and Peter Laloli.

Community Board Adviser

Liz Beaven

Telephone: 941 5133 or 027 434 7541

Email: liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz

PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION

PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS

INDEX CLAUSE

**PART C 14 STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2012
REPORT**

14. STRENGTHENING COMMUNITIES FUNDING – KEY LOCAL PROJECTS 2012 REPORT

General Manager responsible:	General Manager Community Services, DDI 941-8607
Officer responsible:	Community Support Manager
Author:	Ruby Tiavolo

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. The purpose of this report is for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board to consider whether they wish to recommend any Key Local Projects to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund for 2012/13.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2. In a Board workshop, held on 15 May 2012, the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board considered the matter of Key Local Projects for 2012/13.
3. As part of the Strengthening Communities Grants Funding Programme, each Board may nominate Key Local Projects (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
4. The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:
 - Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
 - Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
 - Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
 - AND
 - Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area;
 - Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.
5. In addition, staff recommendations for Key Local Projects are also based on whether the project meets the following criteria:
 - The organisation undertaking the project has a proven track record with the Council in providing a high quality level of service;
 - Significantly contributes towards the Council's Funding Outcomes and Priorities;
 - Demonstrates leadership and innovation;
 - Demonstrates best-practice and collaboration.
6. Previous KLPs for Riccarton/Wigram Community Board are:

Name of Group	Year/s	Name of Project	Amount
Te Puawaitanga ki Otautahi Trust	2008/2009 2010/2011	Community Support Worker for Maori - Year 3 of 3	\$51,800
Te Puawaitanga ki Otautahi Trust	2008/2009 2010/2011	Community Facilities Coordinator Year 3 of 3	\$51,250
SEEDS (RUR Trust)	2011/2012	Young 1's and Shufflebumz	\$14,300
Community Development Network Trust	2011/2012	CDN Youth Work	\$50,000

7. Staff have reviewed all applications to the Strengthening Communities Fund 2012/13 to identify if there are any projects that could be considered for recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee as KLPs for 2012/13.
8. Staff recommend that the Community Board consider the following projects as KLPs in 2012/13. Attached is a decision matrix that provides information on the projects. (**Attachment 1**)

Name of Group	Name of Project	Total Project Cost	Amount Requested	Recommendation
SEEDS (RUR Trust)	Young 1's and Shufflebumz (Young Parents Support Programme)	\$26,441	\$14,300	\$14,300
Community Development Network Trust	CDN Youth Work	\$205,423	\$66,000	\$50,000

Timeline and Process

9. KLPs that are approved by the Board will be put forward to the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Funding Committee for consideration at its meeting on 13 July 2012. Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10. In 2012/13, the draft Annual Plan includes \$238,918 for the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Strengthening Communities Fund.

Do the Recommendations of this Report Align with 2009-19 LTCCP budgets?

11. Yes, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Have you considered the legal implications of the issue under consideration?

12. Yes. Community Board funding decisions are made under delegated authority from the Council.

ALIGNMENT WITH LTCCP AND ACTIVITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

Do the recommendations of this report support a level of service or project in the 2009-19 LTCCP?

13. Yes. Strengthening Communities Funding and Community Board Funding, see LTCCP pages 176 and 177 regarding community grants schemes including Board funding.

ALIGNMENT WITH STRATEGIES

Do the recommendations align with the Council's strategies?

14. The funding allocation process carried out by Christchurch Community Boards is covered in the Council's Strengthening Communities Strategy.

CONSULTATION FULFILMENT

15. Not required.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Riccarton/Wigram Community Board nominate:

- a) SEEDS (RUR Trust) project as a Key Local Project and recommend a grant of \$14,300 to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2012/13 Strengthening Communities Fund.
- b) Community Development Network Trust project as a Key Local Project and recommend a grant of \$50,000 to be considered by the Metropolitan Funding Committee for the 2012/13 Strengthening Communities Fund.

BACKGROUND

16. In October 2007, the Council adopted the Strengthening Communities Fund operational procedures, which included the process for nominating Key Local Organisations (KLOs), subsequently renamed Key Local Projects (KLPs).
17. Each Board may nominate (KLPs) in its area that are put forward to the Metropolitan Funding Committee for consideration for metropolitan funding.
18. The agreed process to determine if a “local” funding application should be processed as a KLP is detailed as bullet point 16 in the report that was adopted by the Council on 4 October, 2007:

The Metropolitan Funding Committee will make KLP decisions based on affordability and the following priorities:

- Strengthening Communities Strategy Principles and Goals;
- Funding outcomes and priorities as set out in Strengthening Communities Strategy;
- Alignment to local Community Board objectives;
- AND
- Projects deliver benefits to the city outside of the local Board area
- Key community issues contemplated under Goal 2 of the Strengthening Communities Strategy.

19. The process for considering KLPs is as follows:
 - i) Community Boards nominate and priorities their KLPs and make a recommendation to the Metropolitan Funding Committee.
 - ii) The Metropolitan Funding Committee makes decisions on Board recommended KLPs.
 - iii) Successful KLPs are allocated funding from the Metropolitan Strengthening Communities Fund.
 - iv) Unsuccessful KLPs are returned to the Community Board for consideration under the local Strengthening Communities Fund.
20. Community Boards are advised that where candidates for KLP funding consideration are successful in receiving funding from the Metropolitan Funding Committee, then there can be no further funding call on the Board for that project.
21. This is also the case, where a successful candidate is funded to a lower level than has been recommended by the Board. This reflects the “funding constraints” criteria agreed by Council in Appendix F of the 4 October 2007 report which states that *“Groups receiving funding at a Metropolitan level may only receive local level funding if the project is specifically local and no portion of it has been funded at the Metropolitan level”*.
22. Attached is a list of all applications to the Riccarton Wigram Board Strengthening Communities Fund 2012/13 (Attachment 2).